

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

SEVENTY-NINTH GENERAL ASSEMBLY

EIGHTY-SECOND LEGISLATIVE DAY

JUNE 5, 1975



GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
STATE OF ILLINOIS  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Doorkeeper: "All those not entitled to the Floor will you please retire to the gallery. All those not entitled to the Floor will you please retire to the gallery."

Speaker Redmond: "The House will be in order. Members please be in their seats. We will be lead in prayer this morning by the Reverend Krueger, the House Chaplain."

Father Krueger: "In the name of the Father, Son and the Holy Ghost, Amen. O'Lord, bless this House to thy service this day. People don't plan to fail they fail to plan. Let us pray. We pray for our State of Illinois and for our great Nation, and all who are in authority but especially for this House of Representatives and for all true social reformers therein. That the evils under which both ethnic groups and other needed races are crushed down may be abolished, and that peace and happiness, truth and justice, true dedication and patriotism may be established in this land for all generations. Always remembering that our legislation has the effect to bring this to pass. Amen."

Speaker Redmond: "Roll Call for attendance. Consent Calendar. Second Reading, Second day."

O'Brien: "Senate Bill 85, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relation to County Zoning. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 121, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act relating to Alcoholic Liquors. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 147, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relation to Fire Protection Districts. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 148, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act creating Street Light Districts. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 149, a Bill for an Act to amend the Mosquito Abatement District Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 150, a Bill for an Act to amend the Public Building Commission Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 151, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relation to Public Water Districts. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 152, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act relating to Water Districts. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 153, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act to provide for the establishment of



JUN 5 1975

2.

water facilities. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 154, a Bill for an Act to amend the Sanitary District Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 156, a Bill for an Act to amend the Public Library District Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 168, a Bill for an Act to amend the Governmental Ethic's Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 220, a Bill for an Act to amend the Park District Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 221, a Bill for an Act to amend the Municipal Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 229, a Bill for an Act to revise the law in relation to counties. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 246, a Bill for an Act creating the Creve Coeur Tricentennial Commission. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 267, a Bill for an Act concerning fees and salaries. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 269, a Bill for an Act to amend the Sanitary District Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 270, a Bill for an Act to amend the Hospital District Law. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 306, a Bill for an Act to amend the Criminal Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 315, a Bill for an Act relating to Crab Orchard Wildlife Refuge. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 332, a Bill for an Act to amend the Municipal Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 336, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act concerning counties. Third Reading . . . Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 358, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act creating the Capital City Planning Commission. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 369, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act concerning powers of corporations authorized to accept and execute trusts. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 419, a Bill for an Act to amend the Civil Administrative Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 447, a Bill for an Act to amend the Chicago Sanitary District Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 448, a Bill for an Act to amend the Chicago Sanitary District Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 479, a Bill for an Act to amend the Chicago Sanitary District Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 521, a Bill for an Act to amend the Chicago Sanitary District Act. Second Reading of the



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

JUN 5 1913

3.

Bill. Senate Bill 594, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act approving, ratifying and enacting into law the Ohio River Valley Water Sanitary Compact. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 597, a Bill for an Act to vacate an easement in Jackson County. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 626, a Bill for an Act to require state agencies to pay fees for services rendered for them by County Record-ers and Registrars of Titles. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 642, a Bill for an Act to amend the Controlled Substance Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 709, a Bill for an Act to amend the Municipal Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 713, a Bill for an Act to amend the Fish Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 728, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 778, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 780, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 806, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 824, a Bill for an Act to amend the Banking Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 839, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act to regulate the practice of dental surgery and dentistry. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 840, a Bill for an Act to regulate the practice of dental surgery and dentistry. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 854, a Bill for an Act to amend the Election Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 884, a Bill for an Act to amend the Park District Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 885, a Bill for an Act to amend the Park District Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 901, a Bill for an Act to repeal Sections of the Election Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1082, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1086, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1088, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relation to Vocational Education and Vocational Rehabilitation of disabled persons. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1090, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1113, a Bill for an Act to amend the



JUN 5 1975

4.

Purchasing Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1137, a Bill for an Act to amend the Northeastern Illinois Planning Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1184, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act authorizing for a period of time public corporations to issue bonds, other evidences of indebtedness and tax anticipation warrants. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1288, a Bill for an Act to amend the Election Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1381, a Bill for an Act to amend the Municipal Code. Second Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading . . . honored with the presence of the former Mayor of the City of Pekin, who also served as a Member of the House of Representatives, George Shea . . . real good, he'll send you some sassafras tea. The order of business is Senate Bills, Second Reading, appears Senate Bill 4, any Amendments on that one? How about 8. Representative DiPrima, I saw him earlier. Do you want it to go with Senate Bill 4? Okay. Senate Bill 4."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 4, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Municipal Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #1, DiPrima, amends Senate Bill 4 by adding at the end of both Sections 10-1-16 and 10-2.1-11 the following:  
'This mandatory Act of 1975 does not apply to any municipality which is a home rule unit'."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative DiPrima."

DiPrima: "Yeah, are there two Amendments or just one?"

Speaker Redmond: "One Amendment."

DiPrima: "One, yeah, I move for the adoption of that, #1."

Speaker Redmond: "So called home rule Amendment. The Gentleman moves the adoption of the Amendment. All in favor indicate by saying 'aye', 'aye', oppose 'no'; the 'ayes' have it, the Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. House . . . Representative McPartlin on



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

JUN 5 1975

5.

the floor? 99."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 99, Greiman, a Bill for an Act to amend the Code of Criminal Procedures. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Committee Amendments. Any amend . . ."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. Which one was that?"

Jack O'Brien: "99."

Speaker Redmond: "You have 98 on the board. 100, Representative Greiman, Representative Hart."

Hart: "Ah . . . I've got an Amendment that I want to offer on that Bill. Would you take it out of the Record . . . ah . . . the Sponsor's not here anyway."

Jack O'Brien: "Which? 100?"

Hart: "100."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hart."

Hart: "I said I've got an Amendment that is being prepared for that Bill and the Sponsor is not on the floor so would you take it out of the Record?"

Speaker Redmond: "Take it out of the Record. 173."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 173, Marovitz, there's a floor Amendment on that one, I don't know . . ."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Marovitz, 196."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 196, Deuster, a Bill for an Act in relation to the practice of barbering. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments. Any from the floor."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 208."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 208, Beaupre, a Bill for an Act to amend the Election Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 209."



JUN 5 1975

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 209, Lundy, a Bill for an Act to amend the Revenue Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 241."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 241, Kornowicz, a Bill for an Act in relation to transportation of Law Enforcement officers by the Metropolitan Transfer Authority. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 271."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 271, Williams, a Bill for an Act to provide for alternative authorizations for the issuance and sale of general obligation bonds by certain local government units. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 325."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 325, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relation to oil, coal, other surface and underground resources. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 335."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 335, a Bill for an Act to amend the Revenue Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any . . . no . . . any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 353."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 353, DiPrima, a Bill for an Act to amend the Vital Records Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."



JUN 5 1975

7.

Speaker Redmond: "355."

Jack O'Brien: "Third Reading on that last Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 355. Take it out of the Record. 375."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 375, a Bill for an Act authorizing the Secretary of the Department of Transportation to convey certain property. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 391."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 391, a Bill for an Act to amend the Inheritance Tax Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None. Third Reading."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 334, Representative Youngue."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 334, a Bill for an Act to amend the State Housing Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #1 . . ."

Speaker Redmond: "Read the Amendment."

Jack O'Brien: ". . . Giorgi, amends Senate Bill 334 on page 1 and line 2 . . ."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, this is an Amendment that allows the County Board determined to create an advisory group to advise them on priority for low-income housing and I've . . . ah . . . talked with the Sponsor of the Bill, in complete agreement, and there's no objections to the Amendment; and I move for the adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Youngue."

Youngue: "I have no objections to the Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill . . . er . . . Senate Bill 334. All in favor indicate by saying 'aye', 'aye', oppose 'no'; the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "No further Amendments."



JUN 5 1975

8.

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 404. Representative Bradley on the floor? 456, Representative Schisler? Yeah, Read 456."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 456, a Bill for an Act to amend the . . . ah . . . Game Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Three Committee Amendments. Amendment #1 amends Senate Bill 3 . . . 456 on page 2 by deleting line 2 and inserting in lieu, thereof, the following: 'Before any person 16 years of age or older shall take or attempt to take any bird'."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schisler."

Schisler: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move for the adoption of Committee Amendment #1."

Speaker Redmond: "Gentleman has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 456. All in favor indicate by saying 'aye', 'aye', oppose 'no'; the 'ayes' have it, the Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "Committee Amendment #2 was tabled in Committee. Amendment #3 amends Senate Bill 456 on page 1 by deleting line 21 and inserting in lieu, thereof, the following and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schisler."

Schisler: "I got a Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schisler. Schisler."

Schisler: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move the adoption of Committee Amendment #3."

Speaker Redmond: "Gentleman has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment #3 to Senate Bill 456. All in favor indicate by saying 'aye', 'aye', oppose 'no'; the 'ayes' have it, the Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #4, Boyle, amends Senate Bill 456 on page 1 by deleting line 16 and inserting in lieu, thereof, the following: 'Public water fowl areas within the state but none of the monies'."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schisler."

Schisler: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move for adoption of Committee Amendment #4 to House Bill, Senate Bill 456."

Speaker Redmond: "Gentleman has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment



JUN 5 1915

#4 to Senate Bill 456. All in favor indicate by saying 'aye', 'aye', oppose 'no'; the 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 506. A request for a fiscal note on 506, Representative Londrigan, are you aware of that? Representative Londrigan, are you aware of the request for a fiscal note on Senate Bill 506?"

Londrigan: "No one told me about it."

Speaker Redmond: "Who requested it? You have requests for fiscal notes on Senate Bill 506, 543, 693 and 980 and the signature is more illegible than mine. So until we have somebody come up here and identify the signature why I don't think we can pay very much attention to this request. Representative Ebbesen."

Ebbesen: "Ah . . . Yes, Mr. Speaker, . . . ah . . . I've been reading your note . . . ah . . . into the 10 pages of House Bills into the Senate and . . . ah . . . no Senate count is available. Could you seek out some of these perhaps . . . ah . . . through your authority so we could find out if those . . . some over there as . . . aren't picked up."

Speaker Redmond: "Okay, I'll get you some Calendars."

Ebbesen: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Pass 506 temporarily until we find out who has signed the request for a fiscal note. 529."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 529, a Bill for an Act to regulate certain practices of mortgage lenders in the administration of escrow counts. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 543."

Jack O'Brien: "Fiscal note on that . . ."

Speaker Redmond: "Fiscal note on 543, is that that same batch of . . . of lists? Pass that one. Representative McClain, do you realize there's a request for a fiscal note on 543? 572."

Jack O'Brien: Senate Bill 572, a Bill for an Act to provide for the



5 1975

licensing and regulating detectives and detective agencies. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 639. Representative Walsh on the floor? Huh? You passed that. 645."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 645, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Aeronautics Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 675."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 675, a Bill for an Act to vacate an easement in Lee County. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 693."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 693, fiscal note request on that."

Speaker Redmond: "There's a fiscal note requested on that. 704."

Representative Schisler, do you want that one called? 704."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 704, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #1, Mautino, amends Senate Bill 704 on page 2 by deleting lines 16, 17, 18, and inserting in lieu, thereof, the following: 'The principle office of the Commission shall be located in Springfield, Illinois'."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schisler."

Schisler: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move the adoption of . . . ah . . . Amendment #1."

Speaker Redmond: "Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 704. All in favor indicate by saying 'aye', 'aye', oppose 'no'; the 'ayes' have it, the Amendment's adopted. Again we're going to depart from . . ."



JUN 5 1975

Jack O'Brien: "Third Reading."

Speaker Redmond: ". . . Third Reading, we're going to depart from the self-imposed restrictions here because we have a very unusual, distinguished guest. The Gentleman who's spent eight years in the House, eight years in the Senate, he was a Circuit Judge and whatever circuit Freeport is in, he is a member of the Supreme Court, the Honorable Judge Marvin Burk who is standing in the back there with Phil Carroll and . . . no, he's a Republican. 705. Representative Shea."

Shea: "Well, I, too, I suppose would like to break some . . . ah . . . those self-imposed rules, I don't know where he is now, but . . . there was a former colleague on . . . of ours on the floor a few minutes ago, Norm Shea, I think he's back here."

Speaker Redmond: "He's been introduced."

Shea: "Has he? All right."

Speaker Redmond: "But he's worth introducing twice. 705."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 705, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 740."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 740, a Bill for an Act in relation to establishment, operation and maintenance of public community colleges. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 742, Capparelli on the floor? 749, Representative Washburn. Take that out of the Record. 753."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 753, a Bill for an Act authorizing the Director of Conservation to convey certain real property. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 767."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 767, a Bill for an Act to provide for the



licensing of certain residential contractors. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 779."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 779, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 804."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 804, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relation to creation of Chicago Park District. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 819."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 819, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 829."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 829, a Bill for an Act authorizing the creation of mutual trusts and investment companies. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 830."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 830, a Bill for an Act to amend the Business Appropriation Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 831."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 831, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act in concerning the powers of corporations authorized to accept and



JUN 5 1975

13.

execute trusts. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 832."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 832, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Security Law. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 832."

Jack O'Brien: "We just did 832; 834."

Speaker Redmond: "832, are there Amendments on that?"

Jack O'Brien: "No, we just did that. 834."

Speaker Redmond: "Oh, 834, pardon me."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 834, a Bill for an Act to amend the Criminal Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 837, Representative Totten on the floor? Take that out of the Record. 911, Representative Gene Hoffman on the floor? 911."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 911, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Highway Code and the Animal Control Act. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment amends Senate Bill 911 on page 1, line 27, by inserting after the period the following and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, . . . ah . . . Senate Amendment #1 or Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 911 was adopted in Committee . . . ah . . . which provides, and this deals with the Animal Control Act, which provides that . . . ah . . . Veterinarians unless they're employed by the county are . . . could not be compelled to collect any fines or fees. There's been some concern . . . ah . . . in this area and at the request of the Veterinarian Association and with leave of the Committee we adopted



JUN 5 1975

14.

Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 911 and I would move for its adoption."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 911. All in favor say 'aye', 'aye', oppose 'no'; the 'ayes' have it, the Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #2, Gene Hoffman, amends Senate Bill 911 on page 1 on lines 10 and 11 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment #2 is an Amendment which was agreed upon in Committee and I promised the Committee that I would offer it. There was some concern about the language . . . ah . . . which we were adding as far as identification of . . . of dead animals. So we have removed language which said . . . ah . . . other evidences of identification and so now all the Bill is talking about is the reporting of tags that are actually found on dead animals, and I move for the adoption of Amendment #2."

Speaker Redmond: "Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #2 to House . . . to Senate Bill 911. All in favor say 'aye', oppose 'no'; the 'ayes' have it, the Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 837."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 837, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Mobile Home Safety Act. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment amends Senate Bill 837 on page 1 by deleting lines 1 through 3 and inserting in lieu, thereof, the following and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "I've read Amendment 1."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Totten."

Totten: "We have to adopt the Committee Amendment first."

Jack O'Brien: "Committee Amendment #1."

Totten: "Yeah, it's just a technical Amendment that was prepared by the Reference Bureau, and I move the adoption of the Amendment."



JUN 5 1975

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment #1 to the . . . that's 837, isn't it, Mr. Clerk? Senate Bill 837. All in favor indicate by saying 'aye', oppose 'no'; the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, Totten, amends Senate Bill 837 as amended in Item A of Section 2 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Totten."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, Amendment #2 was suggested by the Committee to clarify . . . ah . . . widths and lengths to comply with . . . ah . . . Motor Vehicles Law and . . . ah . . . I've complied with the wishes of the Committee and . . . ah . . . wish to adopt Amendment #2 to House Bill 837."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved the adoption of . . . Representative Shea."

Shea: "Now, would you explain what Amendment #2 does?"

Totten: "Sure. There was a question in Committee that the Bill was unclear as to widths and lengths to these modular housing, and what the Amendment says is the moving of mobile homes and manufacturing housing units on public streets and highways is subject to the provisions of the Illinois Size and Weight Law for house trailers and modular housing."

Shea: "It's subject to what?"

Totten: "Subject to the provisions of the Illinois Size and Weight Law for house trailers and modular homes so they cannot exceed those widths or lengths."

Shea: "Well, now last year in order to . . . you know, last year or a year ago we passed a Bill out of this House to increase the width and length of mobile homes that could be transported in the state. Does this in any way effect that?"

Totten: "No, this just complies with that. It was unclear to the Committee as to . . . ah . . . it said . . . in the original Bill it said it had a width of 18, I believe 4 more, and the length is so much at an 'or more'. The Committee felt the 'or more' left it wide open. So that what we've done with the Amendment is it just had to comply as that length and width changes, it's in the present



JUN 5 1975

16.

law, then this could."

Shea: "Well, could we look at it and would you mind taking it out, Don, because this gets to be very tricky . . ."

Totten: "I . . . I realize that and that's why we . . . put the . . ."

Shea: "I can't find the Amendment, that's my problem."

Totten: "All right, we'll take it out of the Record for a moment."

Shea: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Take it out of the Record. 913."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 913, a Bill for an Act to amend the Sanitary District Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 965."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 965, a Bill for an Act to amend the Park District Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 969."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 969, a Bill for an Act to amend the Unified Code of Corrections. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 980."

Jack O'Brien: ". . . request for a fiscal note on that . . ."

Speaker Redmond: "On which one?"

Jack O'Brien: "980."

Speaker Redmond: "I've been advised there's a request for a fiscal note on 980, Representative Shea. Are you aware of that? Re . . . request for a fiscal note on 980. 1030, take 980 out of the Record."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1030, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Housing Authority Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."



Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 1032."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1032, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Highway Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 1066."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1066, a Bill for an Act in relation to valuation and taxation of property equipped with solar energy systems. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 1070."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1070, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Air Carriers Act. Second Reading of the Act. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 1084."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1084, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No . . . No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 1087."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1087, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 1139."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1139, a Bill for an Act to amend the North-eastern Illinois Planning Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor? Third Reading. 1152."



JUN 5 1975

18.

O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1152, a Bill for an Act to amend the Civil Administrative Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 1153."

O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1153, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Highway Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "1165. Third Reading."

O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1165, a Bill for an Act to vacate easement in Cumberland County. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 1173."

O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1173, a Bill for an Act to vacate easement in Wayne County. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 1258."

O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1258, a Bill for an Act to amend The Election Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 1260."

O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1260, a Bill for an Act to amend The Election Code. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amends Senate Bill 1260 in the House on page 2, line 32 and 33 on page 6, line 12 by deleting 'but not prosecution for each of those places'."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kozubowski. Kozubowski."

Kozubowski: "Ah...Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.



JUN 5 1975

19.

This is a Committee Amendment which was agreed and I would move it's adoption."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker could we have an explanation of the Committee Amendment?"

Speaker Redmond: "Will you explain the Committee Amendment?"

Kozubowski: "I don't have my file here with me, Mr. Speaker, so take it out of the record."

Speaker Redmond: "Take it out of the record. 1285."

O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1285, a Bill for an Act to amend The Election Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 1289."

O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1289, a Bill for an Act to amend The Election Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 1291."

O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1291, a Bill for an Act to amend The Election Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 1369."

O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1369, a Bill for an Act to amend The School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 612."

O'Brien: "Senate Bill 612, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Pension Code. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amends Senate Bill 612 on page 1, line 18 by inserting a comma and underscoring, and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #1 is a Committee Amendment. It includes..ah..for a



JUN 5 1975

definition of service...ah...in addition to Civil Referee and Assistant Judges of the Probate Court of Cook County. It now includes Commissioners or Trial Assistants to the Chief Judge in the Municipal Court of Chicago. These were persons who performed the same function as Civil Referees. It is a Committee Amendment and I move the adoption of Committee Amendment #1."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman's move the adoption of Committee Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 612. All in favor indicate by saying aye, opposed. The Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, Calvo, amends Senate Bill 612 on page 1, line 1 in A by inserting after the figure, and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Houlihan are you familiar with this Amendment?"

Houlihan: "Well it's Representative Calvo's Amendment. He was going to handle it. I saw him earlier on the floor."

Speaker Redmond: "What's your pleasure? Representative Calvo."

Calvo: "Mr. Speaker..ah..Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 612 makes..ah.. some changes in the Judicial Retirement System where in their retirement will be computed on a percentage..ah..the same as it is now except it'll be on the highest the salary they obtained before leaving office..ah..this puts them in the same position that ah...our retirement is in in that connection if that's the way the General Assembly retirement is computed. I feel it's a good Amendment and..ah..I'd appreciate...ah..the adoption of Amendment #2."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Houlihan, do you care to respond?"

Houlihan: "No I support the Amendment also."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman is moved the adoption of Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 612. All in favor of the adoption indicate by say aye, opposed no. The Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 404."

O'Brien: "Senate Bill 404, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amends Senate Bill 404 on page 1, line 13 by deleting the comma and inserting the words, 'and so forth'."



JUN 5 1975

21.

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Bradley."

Bradley: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #1...ah...takes out the words competitive and puts the uses the term free enterprise in..ah..the system and the reason for it is that I understand in our books in economy, economics and the..ah high school and grade school that the term free enterprise is used to describe our system of instead of competitive system it's free enterprise. I move the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 404."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman's moved the adoption of Amendemnt #1 to Senate Bill 404. All in favor indicate by saying aye, opposed no. The ayes have it. The Amendments adopted. Any further Amendments."

O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. Senate Bills Second Reading appears Senate Bill 100. Representative Greiman. 100. Take it out of the record. 162, Representative Shea. 162."

Shea: "I'm waiting for an Amendment that I promised to put on with regards to competitive bidding."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative 1. Senate Bill 173. Representative Marovitz's on the floor? 742. Representative Capparelli. Will you read the Bill and the Amendment?"

O'Brien: "Senate Bill 742, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amends Senate Bill 742 on page 1, line 1 and 5, and so forth."

Capparelli: "Mr. Speaker...ah...Amendment #1 is an Amendment that just includes downstate school districts..ah..the Bill originally we just had Cook County and..ah..the Amendment includes the downstate school districts. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman's moved the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 742. All in favor indicate by saying aye, opposed no. The ayes have it. The Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 837, Representative Shea have you and Representative Totten resolved your problems? Representative Kozubowski are you ready on 1260? 1260. Turn on anyone you want."



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

JUN 5 1975

O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1260, a Bill for an Act to amend The Election Code. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment."

Kozubowski: "Thank you very much. Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House Committee Amendment #1 would delete the words but not prosecution from Senate Bill 1260. I've discussed this Amendment with Representative Schlickman and..ah..he has no objections at this time and I move the Bill to Third Reading."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman's moved the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1260. All in favor indicate by saying aye, opposed no. The ayes have it. The Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 837. 837. Representative Totten."

O'Brien: "We're on Amendment #2, Totten, amends Senate Bill 837 as amended in Item A of Section 2, and so forth."

Totten: "Thank you Mr. Speaker..ah..yes I indicated in Amendment 2..ah.. provides the weight and size subject to the Chapter 15 of the Illinois Motor Vehicle Code..ah..we.....for difficulties with and I move the adoption of Amendment #2."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman's moved the adoption of Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 837. All in favor indicate by saying aye, opposed no. The ayes have it and the Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 100. Representative Greiman."

O'Brien: "Senate Bill 100, a Bill for an Act to amend the Code of Criminal Procedure. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amends Senate Bill 100 on page 2 by deleting line 6 and 7, and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Greiman. 100."

Greiman: "There's no Committee....I don't think there're any Amendments..ah.."

Speaker Redmond: "There is an Amendment, yes."

O'Brien: "One Committee Amendment. Amends Senate Bill 100 on page 2 by deleting line 6 and 7 inserting in lieu thereof the following



JUN 5 1975

23.

'answers given by witnesses and every word spoken by any prosecutor or juror before the Grand Jury shall be made'."

Greiman: "Yea...that merely ex...that merely broadens the...ah... scope of the transcript to be taken, right."

Speaker Redmond: "The gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 100. All in favor... Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. I arise to oppose this Amendment. The Bill provides in it's original form that there be a transcript made of all the questions asked of and answers given by a witness before the Grand Jury. There is a companion Bill which provides that prior to a trial five days prior to a trial a copy of that transcript should be given to the witness. I think that this is a good procedure and I supported it. What I find unnecessary and going to the heart of the Grand Jury System is this Amendment that provides in addition to the transcript the questions opposed to and the answers given by a witness that there should be a transcript of statements made by the State's Attorney, and there's no provision in any Bill, there's no provision in existing law as to the disposition of that part of the transcript relative to the statements by the State's Attorney. I should further say Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, that in addition to being unnecessary it violates the secrecy of the Grand Jury proceedings. It was suggested in Committee that if this Amendment is adopted and if subsequently there are Bills or Amendments of the same rapport, we might as well abolish the Grand Jury System and for these reasons I oppose this Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Greiman."

Greiman: "Well...yea. I think that the Committee...ah...exercised it's wisdom in this matter by determining that there is a flavor. There is a surrounding...surrounding circumstances where you have a...ah...a State's Attorney who may be arraigning or maybe arraigning...ah...the state's...ah...the...ah...jury in order to induce indictment that it would not otherwise come forward with, and that sometimes only in the questions understood



JUN 5 1975 24.

in the context of the statements of the State's Attorney or the prosecutor who is handling the matter, and that...ah... certainly...ah...where you have transcripts available the...ah ...secrecy of the Grand Jury is...ah...perhaps a loser in any event at this point, and so I ask for the adoption of this Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further discussion? May we have some order. The question is on the adoption of the Amendment. All in favor of the adoption indicate by saying 'aye'...'aye'. The opposed 'no'. All in favor vote 'aye', all opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "I'm sorry Mr. Speaker. Would the Sponsor explain the Amendment again?"

Grelman: "The...ah...ah... Representative Stearney offered this Amendment actually in Committee but...ah...the Bill provides for a transcript of the answers and questions of the witnesses ah...ah...the Amendment adds to that the statements of the State's Attorney...ah...to the jury-as well."

Lechowicz: "Thank you. It's a good Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "There was another inquiry. Representative Madigan. Have all voted who wish? On this question... Representative Stearney. The Clerk will take the Record." On this question there is 64 'ayes' 16 'no'. The Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. Representative McPartlin. Order of business is Senate Bills Third Reading, and on Senate Bills Third Reading there are two emergency matters. 1487 is the first one."

O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1487, a Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of Children and Family Services. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Jaffe."

Jaffe: "Ah...Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. This is merely a re-allocation of certain amounts in the budget that were passed last year, and it's gone through both Appropriations Committees



and it's come out of the Senate without any disagreement...ah ...basically the most money actually goes into residential in care treatment, and we have to re-allocate a million dollars for that particular purpose and that's the main thrust of the...ah...the re-allocation Bill. It's an absolute necessity. They need this money to pay to pay the Residential In Care Institutions and I would urge an 'aye vote.'

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question is shall this Bill pass? All those in favor vote 'aye' the opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the Record. On...this... Representative Duff. On this question there is 138 'ayes' no 'nays', and the Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. 1489, Representative Hanahan."

O'Brier: "Senate Bill 1489, a Bill for an Act making supplemental appropriations for the State Board of Education. Third Reading of the Bill."

Hanahan: "Mr. Speaker would the Amendment that was put on the other day this supplemental appropriation which amounts to approximately forty one million dollars is needed to comply with the statutory provisions set down by law in the formula in the operations of the office of education. I move...I ask for a favorable vote on this Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question is shall this Bill pass? All those in favor vote 'aye' the opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? On this question... The Clerk will take the Record. On this question there is 138 'aye' no 'nay'. The Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. ....for the rest of the day instead of breaking for lunch we're going to go through Floor Session until about 4:30...5:30 or 6:00 in order to try to avoid a weekend Session because we have a tremendous number of Bills here. Some eight hundred Bills that we have to address ourselves to. The Committees that are scheduled to meet at 2:00 will meet at...ah...whatever time we adjourn. That's either 5:30 or 6:00, and that will leave



the evenings open for everybody except the lucky members of the Committees that will be meeting, but we will...ah...call the Rathskeller and see if they can get some coffee and sandwiches, and then we'll go straight through. I hope that meets with your approval but I think we will be able to avoid a weekend Session if we do that. Representative Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Am I to understand Mr. Speaker that we will go straight through till we recess for our Committee hearing and then..."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, we'll take about a five minute recess so that that the 2 o'clock Committees can actually convene and advise the general public and the witnesses that they are going to have to continue it until probably 5:30, and then we'll come back here on the Floor and stay here until 5:30 or 6 o'clock."

Geo-Karis: "And then are we going to recess at that time and come back later in the evening?"

Speaker Redmond: "No, not the House not the Floor. No Floor. This is to avoid a Floor Session tonight and also to avoid weekends if it is at all possible."

Geo-Karis: "Will we be here tomorrow to, will we not?"

Speaker Redmond: "Yes, we will be here tomorrow."

Geo-Karis: "Until about 6 o'clock probably?"

Speaker Redmond: "Depends on how we proceed. Yesterday we had a terrible day. The day before it was great. So if we have a good day why...ah... It all depends on how we go. We still have about eight hundred Bills and that's an awful lot to take care of."

Geo-Karis: "Well, thank you Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Senate Bills Second Reading. We have Senate Bill 980, Representative Shea."

O'Brien: "Senate Bill 980, a Bill for an Act to create the Career Prosecutors Act of 1975. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the Floor?"

O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "A fiscal note has... Representative Catania."



JUN 5 1975

27.

Catania: "Thank you Mr. Speaker but I do have an Amendment which is not up yet from the Legislative Reference Bureau which I mentioned to the Sponsor. I wish he would hold it for that Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Shea."

Shea: "Could I move it....I'm going to move it to Third and after we've talked about the Amendment, I'll bring it back Susan."

Catania: "O'kay. If there is no problem with you bringing it back, I don't have no objection to you moving it to Third."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. Representative Madison."

Madison: "Mr. Speaker and...ah...ah...in line with your announcement regarding our...our planned schedule. I would just like to...ah...I would just like to pose a personal objection, Mr. Speaker. It seems that what we're doing is busting our butts to get the Senate Bills passed, and the Senate in the same like is killing our Bills en masse Now this is about...ah... Mr. Speaker...ah...I think the responsibility for responsible legislative action falls on both branches, and the Senate appears not to be taking up their portion of the responsibility and I just don't think that we have the responsibility to be... to be working late at night and working weekends, and changing our schedule just to accomodate the Senate. I think we ought to deal with the Appropriation Bills as they come up, and come June 30th if we have not passed...ah...dealt with all the Senate Bills then just let them die."

Speaker Redmond: "So be it, but as I announced before there are ten pages of Senate...of House Bills in the Senate and there are no Sponsors. I have four Senate Calendars here and if the Minority Leader would like to come down and get or send his page down and get two of them so we can advise the Republican Members, and if the Democratic...ah...Majority.... Representative Hill."

Hill: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I certainly want to add my comments to the last speaker's comments. As far as I'm concerned what's happening in the Senate is disgraceful, and you tell us to go over there and try to secure a Sponsor for



one of our Bills. You're just spinning your wheels because there's a group over in that Senate that if your name is a certain name they pitch and hold your Bills so why should you go over there and spin your wheels, and I resent the fact that we sit over here day and night trying to pass those Senate Bills when you got a couple of idiot's over there just because of personalities that will put your Bill in a Committee and pigeon-hole it, and their getting cooperation from a couple of House Members on the Democratic side over here. Now maybe you think that you have heard me speak on this Floor but I want to point out to you Mr. Speaker, and the Democratic Leadership over here and I see part of them aren't even here. That I can be pretty damn obstinate, and if something doesn't move pretty soon you're going to find it out the hard way."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Pierce."

Pierce: "Mr. Speaker, I know the problems that we face in the House but one thing that brings down some discredit on the Legislature is when we post Committee Meetings for 2 o'clock, people come a long way and they don't have hotel reservations. I know I have Bills in Environment today at 2. People are coming from Deerfield and other communities up in Lake County. They're coming down and they will be here at 2, and it's too late to reach them and they will sit around until 6 or 7 o'clock before the Committee even meets, and then they'll be after you try to find a hotel room or drive home overnight, and I think that we should at least know a day or two in advance if we're going to change the time of Committee Hearings because of the inconvenience caused to the public, and therefore as Chairman of a Committee that was posted last week to be at 2:00 P.M. today I just want to express my personal disappointment to you that the 2 o'clock Committees won't meet til 6 o'clock tonight because of the inconvenience not caused to me I'll be here, but the witnesses that have traveled a long way and left home already, and it's too late to notify them and had we known this yesterday or Tuesday we could have notified them."

Speaker Redmond: "Well in response. The only thing that I can say



is that there was no way that I would know that we would have such a slow day yesterday. We had...it took an hour and fifteen minutes to pass two Bills it took three hours to pass nine Bills. If we would have had the normal production, this would not have occurred, and I apologize. It was something that I didn't know. Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "If this...If this is the order of gripes, I wonder when I'm going to get a letter back from Mrs. Ringo in response to my question?"

Speaker Redmond: "Ask Mrs. Ringo. I don't know."

Skinner: "Well, you're the one that promised that I'd be able to hear on this phone sometime this Session, and it hasn't occurred yet, and the Majority Leader can't hear either. I... I mean...excuse me...the Minority Leader can't hear either. Got it mixed up there Jerry, sorry. You've got a special phone."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "In response to Representative Skinner. I talked to...ah...the phone people and they've got some new equipment that's ah...been ordered. It hasn't been delivered yet and they've assured me that after we adjourn...it's supposed to be implemented. Delivery of the new equipment comes around July 15th or something in that area, and it's supposed to be corrected by the time that we return in the Fall but...ah...new equipment has been ordered."

Speaker Redmond: "Mr. Skinner."

Skinner: "Well, I've attempted to raise my voice and say what I think I ought to say. Somebody was sold a bill of goods on these damn phones. Nobody in this House except those people who have special ear...and I resent...."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Griesheimer."

Griesheimer: "Mr. Speaker, not on the telephones but referring back to the comments made by Mr. Pierce. I would like to call a serious problem in that Senate to your attention. Hopefully you have some input to the President over there. The Senate is failing to give House Members...ah...notices of when their



JUN 5 1975

Bills are posted in Committee. I have received third hand information and walked into some of these Committees five minutes after they've started and their canning out Bills by merely calling the number and killing them on the spot. The Senate Sponsors in many cases aren't even given an opportunity to be present and I think this is ridiculous. Also I would call your attention in one case I was given a notice for posting of my Bill and given three different dates and I said well when can I have my witnesses down here, and they said well have them down all three days we'll hear the Bill sometime on one of those three days. Now I don't know whether we have joint rules or not or where we're progressing in this area but if the Senate is going to be this arbitrary about House Bills it's seems like the only way that we can call this to their attention is the way you get attention of a mule. Hit them between the eyes with a brickbat and the way we're going to have to do that is just quit hearing their Bills over here. What else can we do?"

Speaker Redmond: "I'll discuss it with the President of the Senate. This is the first advice that I've had that you are not getting notices. Representative Lundy."

Lundy: "Well Mr. Speaker on a point of order. I I...ah..without trying to diminish the importance of the comments that some of my colleagues have made, it seems to me that these microphones are some times more of a hindrance to us than a help. I don't know one of the comments that was made that could not have been made privately to the Speaker, would have gotten an equal amount of action and would have taken a lot of less time of this House on the floor. I would like to see us to get back to considering and voting on Bills which is what we're down here to do and if we have private gripes we can communicate them to you. I've always found the Speaker a very easy man to approach and talk to."

Speaker Redmond: "Thank you. Senate Bills, Second Reading. Representative Hill. Representative Hill."

Hill: "Well Members of the House I resent the last remark because I went to the Leadership of the Democratic party before I got up and said anything. I started yesterday. I started three days ago. So



JUN 5 1975

31.

don't get up on this floor and say that you should avail yourself of Leadership. I tried that and it didn't work. Who do you think you're trying to kid?"

Speaker Redmond: "Senate Bill 8."

O'Brien: "Senate Bill 8, a Bill for an Act to amend the Election Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

O'Brien: "Amendments #1, Mautino, amends Senate Bill 8 on page 1, line 27 by deleting the word 'must' and inserting in lieu thereof 'may', and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McPartlin. Representative Mautino."

Mautino: "Ah...yes Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The Amendment to Senate Bill 8...ah...does nothing at all to the...ah.. the population figures within that Bill. What it does is remove the words 'must' in two places where it appears and inserts in lieu of the word 'may'. It makes it a permissive Bill rather than a mandated Bill. I respectfully...ah...submit your approval of this Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Shea."

Shea: "Will the Sponsor yield to a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "Indicates he will."

Shea: "Does the 'may' then let counties of 40,000 or more be permissive?"

Mautino: "I believe the Bill as written reduces it to 25,000 doesn't it?"

Shea: "Yes but by putting 'may' instead of 'must' would it then take those counties that are presently required to have automatic voting devices and make it permissive instead of mandatory?"

Mautino: "I believe it would not change that figure as I read the Bill."

Shea: "Well it doesn't change the figure but the Bill attempts to reduce the figure from 40 to 25,000. Your Amendment seeks to make it permissive instead of mandatory. At the present time the law is mandatory for all counties of over 40,000 by making it permissive would have then allowed certain counties to go back to using paper ballots."

Mautino: "Not as I read it. I believe the Bill...ah...if passed with this Amendment would be 25,000 would be...ah..."



Shea: "Yeah but would it then become permissive in all counties over 25,000?"

Mautino: "It's a good question Jerry, I guess I can't answer that. I'd... an interpretation would have to be...ah..a legal command."

Shea: "Why don't you just table the Amendment?"

Mautino: "I knew you were coming to that. With all due respect to the Sponsor and the Leadership of the Democratic party and the Senate Sponsor I, I would like to make mention of the fact that five out of 6 counties of which I represent...all the county boards have sent me resolutions..ah..opposing Senate Bill 8 and has asked that I..ah introduce the Amendment which I have done. I have approximately 140 letters concerning...ah...that position and I would like to..ah leave it in the Bill and I I ask for your favorable...ah...vote on Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 8."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kempiners."

Kempiners: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. I rise to support this Amendment. I think that if you take a look at the Bill we're trying to impose something on counties and if you're like I am you'd like to make it permissive and if you take a look at the Amendment what it does is insert on page 1, line 27...ah...by deleting the word 'must' and inserting in lieu of there in lieu thereof 'may' and if you look at the Bill this particular section addresses itself to counties 25,000 or more after the 1976 election and I think that what we're trying to do is to give those counties over 25,000 the ability if they so desire, if they so desire, to go to voting machines and I support this concept. There's some who would have...ah...real difficulties in transferring and I think that it would be a good idea to support this Amendment offered by Representative Mautino."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Byers."

Byers: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House...ah...I must also oppose this Amendment as our distinguished Majority Leader is. I do think that...ah...we need to have voting machines in these counties. I think it's time for Illinois to come into the 20th Century on counting votes and voting machines furnish...ah...good accurate way of getting votes in...ah...fast and also...ah..reduces vote fraud so I think we should vote no on this Amendment."



Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mautino."

Mautino: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. In response to the...ah...Representative Byers' comment there are two questions that arise concerning this Bill. First of all if House Bill 2987 which is the appropriation Bill for the...ah...machines would have passed in its present form and this Bill would have in its present form and the Governor saw fit to veto 2987 which is the appropriation it is then possible for Senate Bill 8 to pass and it would mandate that those counties would therefore have to go some other method of voting than paper ballots so we would be taking the financial burden and putting it back on the counties for that particular situation so I disagree with Representative Byers."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Washburn."

Washburn: "Thank..Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. We have..ah..risen on two or three occasions to oppose the appropriation Bill to...ah...Senate Bill 8 and certainly with this Amendment it will...ah...clarify and...ah...make the voting machines optional in counties between 25 and 40,000 and certainly I would hope that Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 8 is adopted."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Coffey."

Coffey: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I also would like to ask the colleagues here in the House to support the Amendment #1 and I would also like to explain that Amendment #1 will only make the counties...ah...with population between 25 and 40,000 a permissive Bill. Would give them the right if they so chose to have the voting machines only in those counties would not affect by the way the original Bill reads Senate Bill 8 reads would not affect counties over 40,000 and I would like to urge each and every one of you to support Amendment #1 to House Bill or Senate Bill 8."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. I have four counties in my district that don't have voting machines and as far as I'm concerned that's their business not the business of this legislature. I'm getting tired of the federal government forcing on the State rules that they have to abide by and I don't believe in the State putting rules on the counties and townships the things they should



do. Now there's been a new development and I've heard from my county. This outfit that sells voting machines is out real active right now trying to press this legislation because it's a big ripoff. They're going to sell a lot of these machines. It's good business from them but I can tell you it's not good business for us to be telling the counties what they should do. If they want voting machines they can have them now. If they don't that's none of your business."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ebbesen."

Ebbesen: "Ah...yes Mr. Speaker I think...ah...everyone knows how they're going to vote on this and I move the previous question."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved the previous question. The question is shall the main question be put? All in favor vote aye, opposed vote no. Vote aye. Vote aye. Representative Totten."

Totten: "Thank you Mr, Speaker and Members of the House. I rise to explain my vote. I'm awfully surprised by the opposition of the Majority Leader to this Amendment for it was oh..oh I'm sorry. Previous question."

Speaker Redmond: "You want to explain the previous question?"

Totten: "No I can't explain the previous question."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? The clerk will take the record On this question there's 116 ayes, 10 no, previous question, the motion is carried. Representative Mautino to close."

Mautino: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I believe that we have...ah...discussed this Amendment and everyone knows exactly...ah...what it entails and I believe that...ah...it would be a benefit to the smaller counties to have this option...ah... to make their own decision whether or not they should have something other than paper ballots and I ask for your affirmative vote."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is shall this Bill pass. All in favor vote aye, opposed, pardon me. The question is whether the motion shall be adopted? All in favor of the adoption of the motion vote aye, opposed vote no. The Amendment to Senate Bill 8. Take a Roll Call. All in favor of the adoption of the Amendment vote aye, opposed vote no. Representative Shea."



Shea: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I'd like to explain my no vote. If this Amendment is adopted and this Bill were to pass it would set election reform in this State back six or eight years. This Bill this Amendment would permit all counties to go back to paper ballots. This would permit all counties to go back to paper ballots. Just not counties over 25,000. This would allow those counties that we work so hard for to require them to get automatic voting devices to go back to paper ballots. Now you know what happened in this state years ago when it took two and three days in some of the bigger counties up North to get the election results. We now get them quickly and we can find out who's holding public office in this State but this would certainly set back election reform. I think it's a bad Amendment and I'd hope it would be defeated."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted? Representative Kempiners. Kempiners."

Kempiners: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. I'd like to explain my yes vote very briefly. This Amendment does not upset two provisions of existing law. The first is in relation to...ah...election commission. It doesn't affect any requirement that election commissions would have to have voting machines and it also does not affect any county over 40,000 because if you look at the law as it presently exists Section 24-1.1 deals with 40,000 or more. At the end of that Section is a new provision relating to counties between 25 and 40,000. This Amendment addresses itself to that addition and that addition only. So we are not taking away the mandate for voting machines in counties above 40,000. I want to make that very clear. I feel very strongly that we ought not be mandating this. We ought to be making a permissive which this Amendment does and I would urge your yes vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all. Representative Friedrich."

Friedrich: "There are a lot of buttons pushed on the other side of the aisle though the people are not here. I don't want to delay this House by a verification. I'll be willing to dump the Roll Call and make these guys vote their key only."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mautino."

Mautino: "Ah...Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House."



I'd like to have...ah...this Amendment taken out of the record at this time and the Sponsor will hold the Bill so we can have one point clarified and that is the difference between a 25,000 and 40,000 population. Yes, and then we'll have the Amendment back in. Thank you very much."

Speaker Redmond: "Take the Amendment take it out of the record. Committee Reports the order of business."

O'Brien: "Mr. Schneider from the Committee on Elementary and Secondary Education to which was referred House Bill 2158 reported the same back with a substitute therefore being House Bill 3050 recommended the original Bill lie on the table and the substitute Bill do pass. Mr. Fennessey from the Committee on Elections to which was referred House Bill 2225 reported the same back with a substitute therefore being House Bill 3051 recommend the original Bill lie on the table and the substitute Bill do pass. Mr. Fennessey from Committee on Elections to which House Bills were reported. Mr. Fennessey from Elections Committee reported the following Bill. House Bill 3052 and recommended that it do pass."

Shea: "Introduction and First Reading."

O'Brien: "House Bill 3051, a Bill for an Act to amend the Election Code. First Reading of the Bill."

Shea: "Second Reading."

O'Brien: "House Bill 3050, a Bill for an Act to amend the Capital Development Bond Act. First Reading of the Bill."

Shea: "Second Reading."

O'Brien: "House Bill 3052, a Bill for an Act in compliance to the selection of delegates to the National Nominating Convention. First Reading of the Bill."

Shea: "Second Reading. House Bills, Third Reading. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Duff."

Duff: "The point of the clarification Mr, Speaker. Were all of those ah...substitute Bills or Committee Bills according to the Speaker's ruling yesterday?"

Shea: "Substitute Bills."

Duff: "So they were then identical to the Bills that were previously put out?"



Shea: "That was my understanding from reading them. I'll check them again and we can go over them if you'd like."

Duff: "Thank you Mr. Speaker."

Shea: "On the order of House Bills Third Reading appears House Bill 339. Mr. Mugalian you want that out of the record? On the order of House Bills Third Reading appears House Bill 783. Mr. VonBoeckman on the floor? Mr. Giorgi would you find Mr. VonBoeckman please? There he is. Do you wish that Bill called sir? Read the Bill."

O'Brien: "House Bill 783, a Bill for an Act provides the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Industrial Commission. Third Reading of the Bill."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Pekin or Tazewell Mr. VonBoeckman."

VonBoeckman: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House..ah..ah..House Bill..ah..783 which is..ah..a Commission Bill for the Industrial Commission. It appropriates 3,788,400. This was...ah...is the...ah...agreement to total that was..ah..agreed in the Committee by the Department in the Governor's Office. The original amount was 4,000,4,120,000 and it was reduced to by..ah.. 331,600. I solicit your support."

Shea: "The question is shall House Bill 783 pass? On the question the Gentleman from Peoria Mr. Tuerk."

Tuerk: "Mr. Speaker would the Sponsor yield to a question?"

Shea: "He indicates he will."

Tuerk: "How's this budget...ah...compare with the a year ago?"

VonBoeckman: "It it...ah...it's different than it was last year because ah...last year you know the.....program was in on this and...ah...it's not involved in the in the expenditures of federal funds this year. This is strictly the State funds."

Tuerk: "Yeah but it is higher, right?"

VonBoeckman: "Yes it is higher due to the fact that they have..ah.. they're going to institute...ah...several changes. They're going to have..ah..four downstate locations. They're going to and they're going into a lot of electronic data equipment...ah...processing and all that and all this cost is involved there."

Tuerk: "How much is that involved do you know?"

VonBoeckman: "Ah.. \$855,000."



Tuerk: "And this...ah...involves the addition of more Commissioners to the Industrial Commission?"

VonBoeckman: "I..I think somewhere around two. If I'm not mistaken two."

Tuerk: "If I may address the Bill."

Shea: "Proceed sir."

Tuerk: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. The concept of adding Commissioners for downstate...ah...ah...Implementation is a good concept but I can recall one hearing in Chicago where the Chairman of the Industrial Commission admitted to the Subcommittee on Labor Laws Commission that it was rather difficult for him to keep the present Commissioners busy on a full time basis and therefore at that time..ah..I saw little need for adding Commissioners to the staff. That has not changed. I don't see any reason at this moment to add Commissioners as long as the present Commissioners are not kept busy on a full time basis. I think that...ah...the concept is good if there's the workload is there and he's able to keep his Commissioners busy on a full time basis. I would suggest that we pull this Bill back to Second Reading and reduce that at the moment..ah..to reflect that thinking and then at the time that we're satisfied that he's able to keep his Commissioners busy then we can let him add to his force."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Mann on the question."

Mann: "Well Mr. Mr. Speaker..ah..ah..for different reasons but because of some technical aspects of the Bill that I'd like cleared up ah...I wonder if the Gentleman would take it out of the record so I might discuss it...ah...with him."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Tazewell, Mr. VonBoeckman."

VonBoeckman: "Well Mr. Speaker in response to...ah...Representative Tuerk this Bill doesn't deal with the Commissioners Bill. It..ah.. ah..there's nothing in this Bill..ah..that appropriates that money ah..this Bill that Bill was handled under a different heading..ah.. but...ah..in response to..ah..my good friend...ah..but...ah..if it's the wishes of the House, if they want to hold this up...ah...I sure have no objections."

Shea: "Take it out of the record. On the order of House Bills Third



Reading appears House Bill 899. Do you want to call that Mr. Duff? 899 do you want to call that?"

Duff: "Ah...please take it out of the record Mr. Speaker."

Shea: "All right. House Bill 1172, Mr. Schneider. Do you want to call that? Call it Mr. Schneider. Take it out of the record at the Sponsor's request. House Bill 2103, Miss Chapman is she on the floor? Take that Bill out of the record. She's not on the floor. House Bill 2240, Mr. Boyle is he on the floor? Representative Boyle in the chambers? Take the Bill out of the record. House Bill 2445, Mr. Yourell. Take that Bill out of the record. Mr. Epton do you wish to be recognized sir? Turn Mr. Epton on please."

Epton: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, just an inquiry. I hate to appear stupid but I would..ah..I just can't seem to understand why we're having all of these appropriation Bills being passed on by the various Sponsors. Is there something that the troops should know or?"

Shea: "Well some of these Bills are either for Commissions or waiting for some piece of substantive legislation as I understand to catch up with them. But I'm obligated under the rules of the House to go down the calendar in the order on which they appear."

Epton: "Thank you Mr. Speaker."

Shea: "House Bill 2580, Mr. Deuster. Take that out of the record at the Sponsor's request. House Bill 2970, Mr. take that out at the Sponsor's request. 2812, Mr. Taylor. Do you wish to proceed with that Bill?"

O'Brien: "House Bill 2812, a Bill for an Act to make an appropriation to the Department of Local Government Affairs. Third Reading of the Bill."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Taylor."

Taylor: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. House Bill 2812 has the Civic Administrative Code. It has directed the Department of Local Governmental Affairs to grant more ordinances..... and make them available to the units of local government. The Bill is appropriating \$300,000. I solicit your support for House Bill 2812."

Shea: "Any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Would the Sponsor yield for one question?"



Shea: "He indicates he will."

Schlickman: "Is this amount in the Governor's budget?"

Taylor: "No it is not."

Schlickman: "Thank you."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Franklin, Mr. Hart."

Hart: "Ah...thank you very much Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House...ah...I don't...ah...really think that this Bill is necessary. We heard it in Appropriations Committee I...ah...I asked the Gentleman from Cook where the Bill came from and he said ah...I think he said his answer was...ah...gentleman named Schwartz who use to work here in this House but I don't know of any down-state community that's asking...ah...to have the State draw up any model ordinances for it...ah...to adopt and..ah..I don't think..ah.. we ought to spend \$300,000...ah...to any Department of the State for the purpose of...ah...drafting model ordinances for Cities and Villages so I think this Bill ought to be defeated because in the first place it's not a very good idea and in the second place it's not worth \$300,000."

Shea: "Is there any further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Houlihan, J."

Houlihan: "Ah...Mr. Speaker will the Sponsor of this legislation...?"

Shea: "He indicates he will."

Houlihan: "Ah...Representative Taylor you have...ah...\$300,000 here to the Department of Local Government Affairs have you set up any criterion? Ah...criteria by which they would make this money available to local governments?"

Taylor: "No I have not. We give it to the Department of Local Governmental Affairs so that they could utilize it in dispersing it to the agents that might need it for drafting this legislation. I mean this..ah..modern ordinance."

Houlihan: "Is there...ah...home rule Amendment on this particular Bill?"

Taylor: "No it's not."

Shea: "The question is, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Jaffe on the Amendment or on the Bill, I'm sorry."

Jaffe: "Ah...would the Gentleman yield for a question?"

Shea: "He indicates he will."



Jaffe: "Ah...Representative Taylor..ah..is this a cover for the Governor's action office?"

Taylor: "I'll let you be the answer of that."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Taylor to close."

Taylor: "Mr. Speaker I do think that this is this Bill is needed in certain areas, certain cities, municipalities throughout this State where they do not have the legal funds in order to draft these such ordinances and there are a number of them. So therefore I solicit your support for this Bill. House Bill 2812."

Shea: "The question is shall House Bill 2812 pass. All those in favor will vote aye, those opposed will vote nay. Shea aye. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Winchester votes aye. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. McGrew votes aye. Dyers votes aye. Have all voted who wish? Take the record Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 96 ayes, 28 nays, 13 Members voting present. The Gentleman from Franklin, Mr. Hart."

Hart: "Well if people insist on pushing everybodys switches I'm going to ask for a verification."

Shea: "The Gentleman has asked for a verification of the Roll Call. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Taylor."

Taylor: "Mr. Speaker will you poll the absentees please?"

Shea: "Mr. Clerk will you poll absentees please? The Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Mr. Speaker I'd like to suggest...ah...to you and the Sponsor and the person requesting the verification that we take another record and let's, you know, and get away from the verification. It just takes too long. People aren't you're going down the line asking people for their Bills and they're not having them called. That's the reason we're spending so much time here."

Shea: "You want to take it out of the record Mr. Sponsor. This is the Sponsor will take it out of the record. On the order of House Bills Third Reading appears House Bill 2834, Mr. Keller."

Keller: "Mr. Speaker...ah...that Bill was suppose to have gone to Interim Study Committee last week..ah..I made the motion and it was



adopted."

Shea: "Well let's do it again Mr. Keller so we have the record straight. The Gentleman ask leave to take the Bill from the order of House Bills Third Reading return it to the Committee from which it came and put on the order of postponed on Interim Study. Does he have leave? Hearing no objection leave is granted and it would so be ordered. On the order of House Bills Third Reading appears House Bill 2942, Mr. Brinkmeier. Take that out of the record. House Bill 2970, Miss Barn or Mr. Barnes. Is he on the floor? House Bill 3017, Mr. Lechowicz."

Selcke: "House Bill 3017."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. I'm waiting for an Amendment that'll be offered by...ah...Representative Brandt. I'd like to pull it out of the record at this time. Or can I move it back to Second Reading..ah..Mr. Speaker and...ah...hold it there? Will it jeopardize it because the Amendment is supposed to be ready today."

Shea: "So we'll hold it on the order of Third Reading?"

Lechowicz: "No move it back to Second and we'll come back, o'kay thank you."

Shea: "On the order of House Bills Third Reading appears House Bill 3028."

Selcke: "House Bill 3028, a Act in relation to the Illinois State Fair. Third Reading of the Bill."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Fulton, Mr. Schisler. Who is handling that Bill for the Committee on Agriculture?"

Schisler: "Mr. Speaker I would...ah...since I'm unalterably opposed to this legislation I would ask that Representative Brinkmeier handle it."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Stevenson, Mr. Brinkmeier."

Brinkmeier: "Yes Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I think this ah..Bill has been...ah..well discussed by all of us. I think we understand what's involved here. I for one I think and...ah...this sentiment is shared by most people. We're not particularly pointing our finger at the present administration or any previous administration except that what has happened in the past just



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

hasn't worked out. Now the Committee that has spent a great number of hours on this Bill have come up with I think a very good solution to it and that's encompassed in this Committee Bill...and...ah...at this time I would...ah...urge a...ah...red...green light."

Shea: "The gentleman from Cook, the Assistant Minority Leader Mr. Walsh."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker the Digest goes to one Bill short of this and I haven't seen this at all. Has the Bill been distributed? This is the problem we run into with the ruling of the Speaker yesterday."

Shea: "Mr. Clerk has this Bill been printed? They tell me that the Bill has been printed and distributed Mr. Walsh and it is in the Bill Books."

Walsh: "Is this the...ah... Will the gentleman respond to a question? Is this the same as House Bill 732?"

Shea: "I understand it is sir."

Walsh: "Identically?"

Shea: "I have no idea Mr...."

Walsh: "I was addressing it to the Sponsor Mr. Speaker."

Brinkmeier: "Representative Walsh if I may, I'd like to defer to Representative Jones. I'm sure he understands this Bill much better than I do."

Shea: "The gentleman from Sangamon, Mr. Jones. J. David."

J.D. Jones: "Mr. Speaker in response to the inquiry. This is a... exactly the same as House Bill 32...732 was that was the State Fair Bill as was on Third Reading on Friday, May 23rd when time ran out. This Committee Bill came out of the Agriculture Committee 17 to 0 and it is exactly as 732 was when it...ah... time ran out and we couldn't get it called on the cut off date. You've heard the debate when this Bill was on Second Reading the testimony as to the need to put the State Fair under the independent board was underscored by the Auditor General's report of last week which called the present system a disaster, and by the article in the Chicago Tribune of just last Monday which said quote "political interference by a succession of



administrative Democrats and Republicans is precisely why the Fair is in such bad shape" and this underscores what Representative Brinkmeier just said. This is not in the present administration as such because it would have troubles under both administrations, and I've addressed myself to it at those times too. What the editorial says is precisely why the Fair is in such bad shape. The time to put it under an independent, honest and decent management is long over due as in the quote from the Tribune art...editorial of last Monday. So right now is your golden opportunity for all of us to encompass this objective by voting green on 3028."

Shea: "Is there any further discussion on this Bill? The gentleman from Henderson, Mr. Neff."

Neff: "Thank you...ah...Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This Bill as...ah...Representative Jones brought out we've had it pretty well debated...ah...there's no question that we do need some changes made. I think that...ah...this Bill is directed to a change and certainly isn't political because this thing has been going on and I've watched the...ah...Illinois State Fair for the last twenty years and we've had our problems out there. Regardless of who the Governor was it certainly isn't a slap at the Governor of Illinois and I think that the Committee has bent over backwards trying to satisfy everybody as near as they could on this Bill, and I would hope that we would all give it a favorable vote."

Shea: "Is there any further discussion? The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Duff."

Duff: "Mr. Speaker, somebody pushed my button."

Shea: "Could that possibly happen? Is there any further discussion? The gentleman from Stevenson, Mr. Brinkmeier to close."

Brinkmeier: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. Ah...as the previous speaker has mentioned I think this Bill has thoroughly been heard. I think that everyone understands what's involved here...ah...this is a new approach and hopefully it's going to work out and I would solicit your vote."

Shea: "The question is shall House Bill 3028 pass? All those in



favor will vote 'aye', those opposed will vote 'nay'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the Record Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 128 'ayes', 12 'nays' 3 voting present. House Bill 3028 having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. On the order of House Bills.... The gentleman from Stevenson, Mr. Brinkmeier."

Brinkmeier: "Mr. Speaker, did you inadvertently bypass 3027?"

Shea: "Yes sir, I did. I'm looking at the Committee reports to make sure that they are in conformance with the Speakers ruling of yesterday on how these Bills could be heard. On these ...on the order of House Bills Third Reading appears House Bill 3026 from the Committee on Townships. Is Mr. Yourell on the Floor? Does somebody on the Committee of Townships know who is suppose to be handling this? The Minority Spokesman on the Committee on Townships, Mr. McMaster's."

Selcke: "House Bill..."

McMaster: "Mr. Speaker if you'll please, I just talked to ... ah ... the Chairman, Bus Yourell and I would rather wait until Bus is on the Floor then we will work together on it. I will be handling the Bill when the time comes."

Shea: "Ar the request of the Minority Spokesman on the Committee on Townships the Bill will be taken out of the Record. On the order of House Bills Third Reading appears House Bill 3027 from the Committee on Townships. Mr. Brinkmeier do you wish to wait until Mr. Yourell gets on the Floor? I understand that he is on his way here. Please take that Bill out of the Record. On the Committee on Public Utilities on House Bill 3030. Is Mr. McPartlin on the Floor? Mr. McPartlin is the Chairman of the Committee on Public Utilities who is to handle that Bill? The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Madison."

Madison: "Mr. Speaker these Bills have obviously not been distributed. We don't have them in our Bill Books."

Shea: "You do not sir?"

Madison: "No."

Shea: "Alright. At the.... I tell you what with leave of the House



I will make sure that these are all in and I will go to Senate Bills Third Reading and I will...well... Mr. Schneider are we on again off again? Alright, now Mr. Clerk would you make sure with the Pages on both sides of the aisle that the Committee Bills that appear on the Calendar through Committee Bill 3033 are in the Bill Books of the Members, please? Alright now, Mr. Madison."

Madison: "Mr. Speaker I think the problem is that the Democratic Pages don't realize that there are Democrats over here on this side, and the Republican Pages know that there are Democrats on this side and we get caught in the middle. We don't get anything."

Shea: "Well let me assure you that the Speaker knows that those are Democrats over there, and we'll make sure that you get them. On the order of House Bills Third Reading appears House Bill 1172. The gentleman from DuPage, the Committee Chairman on Education, Mr. Schneider."

Schneider: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. This is ah...the ordinary and contingent request from the...ah...EPA Budget this year...ah...it represents an increase...ah...to ...ah...."

Shea: "Mr...ah...Schneider would you be kind-enough to wait. The Clerk would like to read the Bill."

Selcke: "House Bill 1172, an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Environmental Protection Agency. Third Reading of the Bill."

Schneider: "Alright. Thank you again Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. This is the...ah...EPA Budget the amount that is ...ah...the final amount is thirteen million four hundred and sixty eight dollars and increase...rather...that includes the recommended cut from the Committee of two hundred and thirty six thousand dollars. I would simply move for it's adoption."

Shea: "The question is shall House Bill 1172 pass? On the question the gentleman from Rock Island, Mr. Polk."

Polk: "Would the Sponsor yield to a question?"

Shea: "He indicates he will."



Polk: "Ah...Mr. Sponsor what was the Committee vote on this Bill?"

Schneider: "Ah...I believe that it was unanimous."

Polk: "It was unanimous?"

Schneider: "Yep."

Polk: "Thank you."

Shea: "Is there any further discussion? The gent...the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. Would the Sponsor yield?"

Shea: "He indicates he will."

Schlickman: "Would you indicate the dollar increase for Fiscal 76 compared to Fiscal 75?"

Schneider: "Ah...Gene that's about one million nine hundred and ninety thousand dollars difference."

Schlickman: "What percentage does that...ah...?"

Schneider: "17.5."

Schlickman: "17.5 percent increase in a year?"

Schneider: "And I think if you remember last year, the EPA...ah...suffered a serious cut because of the...ah...partly because of the hassle between the Attorney General and the Governor."

Schlickman: "Thank you."

Shea: "Any further discussion? The gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Schneider to close."

Schneider: "Just ask for a favorable Roll Call, Mr. Speaker."

Shea: "The question is shall House Bill 1172 pass? All those in favor will vote 'aye'. Those opposed will vote 'nay'. Have all voted who wish? The gentleman from Macon, Mr. Borchers to explain his vote."

Borchers: "If you...Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. If you want to have an enlightening education, go out on Churchill Road and just walk as I have done several times from room to room and look at what goes on there. Ah...it's quite an interesting education. So...ah..I'm going to vote 'no', and I would advise a few more to vote 'no'."

Shea: "Have all voted who wish? Take the Record Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 136 'ayes', 5 'nays' 7 voting present. House Bill 1172 having received the Constitutional Majority is



hereby declared passed. Barnes E.M., Madison both voting 'aye'. Mr. VonBoeckman votes 'aye'. On the order of House Bills Third Reading have the Democrats on the one side of the aisle all got their Bills in their Bill Books? Miss Satterthwaite says no. So we'll go to the House Bills Third Reading...or...ah...Senate Bills Third Reading. On the order of Senate Bills Third Reading appears Senate Bill 28. Is Mr. Houlihan, D.L. on the Floor? Read the Bill Mr. Clerk."

Selcke: "Senate Bill 28, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections 4-8 and so forth to the Juvenile Court Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Shea: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Houlihan, D.L."

D.L. Houlihan: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. I would ask leave of the House at this time to move Senate Bill 28 back to the order of Second Reading for the purposes of an Amendment?"

Shea: "Is there an objection? Hearing none leave is granted."

Selcke: "Amendment #1, Houlihan, Dan. Amends Senate Bill 28 on page 2 line 11 and so forth."

Shea: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Houlihan on the Amendment."

D.L. Houlihan: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This Amendment is a technical Amendment drafted by the Legislative Reference Bureau to conform the Bill to changes which were...ah...in the Sections which are being...ah...changed by this Bill. Sections which were amended by Public Acts adopted in the 78th Session of the General Assembly. These are really just language changes. It's a technical Amendment and I move it's adoption."

Shea: "The gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #1. Is there discussion? All in favor will say 'aye'. Those opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments? Third Reading. On the order of House Bills Third Reading appears House Bill 69...or Senate Bills Third Reading, Senate Bill 69."

Selcke: "Senate Bill 69, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections 1 and 3 of an Act authorizing Townships to require and maintain land for Park purposes. Third Reading of the Bill."



Shea: "The gentleman from Madison, Mr. Sharp."

Sharp: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. Ah...Senate Bill 69 is legislation that changes or removes the ten acre restriction for Park land purposes for townships...ah...if the land is acquired through a gift. There's also an Amendment which allows...ah...a township in my district...ah...to purchase an additional thirteen acres that it has in option on the purchase and hasn't had an option for some period of time, and this is all that the Bill does and I would ask for your support."

Shea: "The gentleman moves for the adoption of Senate Bill 69. On the question... The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Palmer."

Palmer: "If the Sponsor would yield for a question?"

Shea: "He indicates he will."

Palmer: "What's the rationale of...ah...the...ah...population requirement that this...ah...applying to all counties except Counties of Cook?"

Sharp: "That's been removed. Through House Amendment #1 that is removed."

Palmer: "Well, House Amendment #2...."

Sharp: "No, House Amendment One it says...ah...let me see. That's Senate Amendment #1. No, Senate Amendment #2 it says...ah... by deleting population of less than a million. We deleted that."

Palmer: "So then it applies to everybody?"

Sharp: "Right."

Palmer: "Thank you."

Shea: "Is there any further discussion? The gentleman moves...the for the passage of House Bill 69. Ah...the question is shall Senate Bill 69 pass? All those in favor will vote 'aye' and those opposed will vote 'nay'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the Record Mr. Clerk. Maragos 'aye'. On this question there are 133 'ayes', 2 'nays'. House Bill... Senate Bill 69 having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. On the order of House Bills Third Reading Senate Bills Third Reading appears Senate Bill 73."



Selcke: "Senate Bill 73, an Act to amend an Act in relation to the adoption of persons. Third Reading of the Bill."

Shea: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Greiman on the Floor? Take the Bill out of the.... Oh there he is, Mr. Greiman."

Greiman: "Take it...."

Shea: "You want to take the Bill out of the Record Mr. Greiman? Take the Bill out of the Record. On the order of House.... Senate Bills Third Reading appears Senate Bill 103, Mr. Porter. Do you want that out of the Record? On the order of Senate Bills Third Reading appears Senate Bill 179, Mr. Porter. Do you want that out? On the order of Senate Bills Third Reading appears Senate Bill 200. Do you want that out Mr. Kane?"

Selcke: "Senate Bill 200, an Act to amend an Act in relation to meetings. Third Reading of the Bill."

Kane: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. What Senate Bill 200 does is...ah...amends the Open Meetings Act to allow the State Board of Investments to hold that portion of their meetings in closed session. In which their discussing the purchase or sale of securities. The State Board of Investments invest something like four hundred and fifty million dollars and they are buying and selling...ah...in the open market and the feuding of the board is with that kind of purchase and sale that they don't discuss it in a closed meeting and this is only perspective....ah....sales and purchases that it could have an effect on the price that they would have to pay. Naturally after the...ah...sale or the purchase is completed it would be public record, and I would ask for favorable consideration."

Shea: "The question is shall Senate Bill 200 pass? On a question the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Fleck."

Fleck: "I would just like to ask the Sponsor a question...ah...if the members of this board are precluded from investing in these securities as individuals?"

Kane: "Yes."

Fleck: "Okay."

Shea: "Is there any further discussion? The gentleman from Cook,



Mr. Duff, on the question."

Duff: "Well, Mr. Speaker, like so many reasons for . . . ah . . . people attempting to close the Open . . . Meetings Act and the Public Notices . . . ah . . . here's a perfect example of where there seems to be a value in favor it. If you think hard about the effect of this kind of decision, you first of all allow Members of the Board to have information and if they should chose to pass it on privately to individuals in a manner in which we . . . we the public, the Legislature, the Government would never even know or be aware. There are laws against this sort of thing at the . . . ah . . . Federal level when you're dealing with securities. There are no such laws related to these functions . . . ah . . . in the state level. Furthermore, if you accept the extension that it should be done here, then you would accept that it could be done very many other places in State Government that would effect values and judgments, including real estate, and highways, and lands, and contracts . . . ah . . . and . . . and . . . while it's a laudatory intention, the principle that is involved in the . . . in both the Open Meetings Act and the Public Notices Act would make me think we should be very careful before we . . . start these kinds of extensions."

Shea: "Is there any further discussion? The Gentleman from Macon, Mr. Borchers."

Borchers: "Well, Mr. Speaker and fellow Members of the House, this is a very dangerous situation we're getting into. Let us assume that . . . ah . . . perhaps someone wishes to invest bonds in . . . ah . . . or buy bonds from the Government of Ghana. Now, Ghana is not a particularly a strong financially in a strongly financial structure, but this could be done, and I feel that since securities, anyone can go back and dig about securities, it's a matter of publ . . . ah . . . to the publish and the background of the financial statement of publish and have to be. I think this is a worth no . . . a Bill that is not worthwhile and very dangerous to the investment boards that are involved. Ah . . . We handle securities every now and . . . ah . . . now and again, and I



think that when . . . we . . . my money being invested, I would not object to having the . . . ah . . . the news media know or be there or others be there to know what it's all about. Their very presence can preclude the possibility of a very stupid or a political investment that could be very harmful. So I suggest you vote 'no' on this."

Shea: "The Gentleman from . . . ah . . . the Gentleman from Sangamon to close."

Kane: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think that there is . . . ah . . . some misunderstanding on this Bill. The Board of Investment does have a code of ethics which . . . ah . . . governs the . . . the . . . the use of information of . . . on both the part of the staff and the Members of the Board. There are also rather strict limitations on which securities can be purchased by the Board of Investment, they have to . . . in . . . ah . . . in stocks, they have to be American cor . . . ah . . . the American corporations. The corporations have to have a history of a least 10 years of pay . . . ah . . . paying dividends and . . . ah . . . every purchase, every investment that the Board . . . that the Board makes is made public after . . . after the purchase of the sale and . . . ah . . . I think that with the possible abuse of information that . . . that private investors could make of this information if they had it ahead of time, I would urge an 'aye' vote."

Shea: "The question is, shall Senate Bill 200 pass? All those in favor will vote 'aye', those opposed will vote 'nay'. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record, Mr. Clerk. McAuliffe 'aye'. Katz 'aye'. No? Katz 'no'. The House will be at ease for a minute while the legalese look at some documents there. Hand me that Bill, will you please? Mr. Jones, J. David, votes 'aye'. Walsh, do you want to come up to the podium for a minute? On the question there are 110 'ayes', 27 'nays', Senate Bill 200 having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. On the order of House Bills, Third Reading, House Bill 3017."



Shea: "On the order of House Bills, Third Reading appears House Bill 3017. Mr. Lechowicz."

Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 3017."

Shea: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'm waiting for an amendment that will be offered by Representative Brandt. I'd like to pull it out of the record at this time. Can I move it back to Second Reading, Mr. Speaker, ah... and hold it there? Will it jeopardize it? The amendment is supposed to be ready today."

Shea: "So we'll hold it on the order of Third Reading?"

Lechowicz: "And then we'll move it back to Second and come back. O'kay. Thank you."

Shea: "On the order of House Bills, Third Reading. House Bill 3017."

Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 3017. A Bill for an Act making an appropriation for the ordinary and contingent expenses of certain agencies. Third Reading of the Bill."

Shea: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Brandt.... or Mr. Lechowicz has leave of the House to take it back to the order of Second Reading for the purposes of an amendment. Is there objection? Hearing none, Second Reading. Are there any amendments?"

Fredric B. Selcke: "Amendment #5, Brandt. Amend House Bill 3017 as amended on page 1, line 31 and so forth."

Shea: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Brandt on the amendment. The gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #3. Is there any discussion? #5, I'm sorry. Do you want Mr. Lechowicz to explain it, Mr. Brandt?"

Brandt: "Mr. Chairman and Members of the House. Amendment #5 increases the amount of ah... from \$50,000 to \$68,400 which is needed to pay additional staff. That was omitted in the original application for an appropriation. Item number two on that same amendment is for \$75,500 which represents \$35,500 which was to be used for the purchase of equipment in the various different offices. Along



JUN 5 1975

54.

with that we needed \$40,000 more for contingencies to supply the office equipment and necessary items to equip Senate Rooms 106 and 212. Item number three for the increase ah... for ah.... for reappropriation of \$2,500,000 for land acquisition. This was omitted in the ah... Appropriation Bill that was presented to the Appropriation Committee. I move for the passage of this amendment, Mr. Chairman."

Shea: "Is there any question? The gentleman moves for the adoption of the amendment. All in favor say 'aye' and all opposed say 'nay' and the 'ayes' have it and the amendment is adopted. Are there any further amendments? Third Reading."



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Shea: "On the order of House Bills, Third Reading, appears House Bill 3026 from the Committee on Townships. Was Mr. Yourell, I just saw him on the floor . . ."

Fredric Selcke: "House Bill 3026, an Act to revise the provisions for state revenue sharing with local governmental entities by amending certain Acts herein named. Third Reading of the Bill."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Yourell, the Chairman on the Committee on Townships. Who's going to handle this Bill on the floor Sir?"

Yourell: "Thank you, Mr. Chairman and Members of the House, Representative McMaster's is going to handle 3026 which is a Committee Bill of the Counties and Townships Committee which was previously heard in the Revenue Committee of the House and was reported out . . . reported out of that Committee with a Roll Call vote of 17 to 1; and you know what happened to that Bill and so it was put back into Counties and Townships and I yield now to Representative McMaster's relative to House Bill 3026."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Knox, Mr. McMaster's, on Hou . . . on House Bill 3026."

McMaster: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 3026 which was formerly 559 deals with the sharing of Illinois income tax revenues with cities and counties. Presently, 8.33 percent, 1/12 of the income tax revenue, is put into the Local Government Distributive Fund which is then allocated on a per capita basis to cities and counties. This Bill would increase the . . . the total state contribution to 548, an addition of about \$33,000,000, above the 448 for 1/12 now earmarked for the Local Government Distributive Fund. The Bill also creates separate funds for county governments and municipal governments so that each has a guaranteed percentage instead of competing for the same dollars. It earmarks 1/48 of the Income Tax Fund . . . income tax through the County Fund. In dollars, this would amount to a \$14,000,000 increase over the \$19,000,000 which counties would receive under present law. It earmarks 448 or 1/12 to the Municipal Fund. So at the outset there is an increase by about \$19,000,000



of the amount municipalities would receive as compared to what they'd receive under present law. The municipalities divide their fund on the basis of population. This is the same as present law. Under this Bill, counties would share half on the basis of unincorporated population and half on the basis of total population within the county. The major effect of this change in formula is that counties will be guaranteed a fixed share, 148, to divide among themselves regardless of what happens to their unincorporated population. At present, they are subject to a declining share. I would be glad to answer questions and would urge a 'no' vote, or a 'yes' vote, what'd I say."

Shea: "The question is, shall House Bill 3026 pass? On the question, the Gentleman from Sangamon, Mr. Kane."

Kane: "Would the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Shea: "He indicates he will."

Kane: "Ah . . . Could you tell us what other Bills have . . . ah . . . already passed this House which provide additional money from state revenues to . . . ah . . . counties and municipalities?"

McMaster: "Doug, to my knowledge, I don't think we have added anything from the Income Tax Fund . . . ah . . . to the counties and municipalities, unless you would consider Representative Hart's Bill that . . . ah . . . gave \$20,000 to each county as coming from that fund, \$20,000 to each county under Hart's Bill."

Shea: "Mr. Schlickman, did you want to say something?"

Schlickman: "Well, yes, Mr. Speaker . . ."

Shea: "No, I mean . . . you . . . you started to shout and I was wondering if you had some emergency or what."

Schlickman: "I wasn't shouting at you, Sir."

Shea: "Oh."

Schlickman: "Not now."

Shea: "Continue, Mr. Kane."

Kane: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, what this Bill does is transfer about \$33,000,000 from the state to the counties and municipalities. What we've already done is given the counties 25 percent of the inheritance tax which gives them about another



\$20 to \$25,000,000. Ah . . . We've given the townships a percentage of the income tax. Ah . . . We have also changed the . . . ah . . . percentage of the Motor Fuel Tax going to counties and municipalities and we've given them another, an additional half cent of the gasoline tax which originally was put on to pay back the State Highway Bonds that we authorized in 1969; and I think that what we're doing is giving to the local governments of this state a tremendous amount of additional revenue. The counties and municipalities are not in as bad financial shape as the state is and I would urge that we look very carefully . . . ah . . . at this Bill before we pass it and tell the local governments that they're gonna' have to tighten their belts just like the . . . like the state is. We are giving tremendous amounts of increased revenues to local school districts. Ah . . . We've also looked at Bills which would give additional money to the Park Districts and this is all coming out of the state revenues and if we continue on this pass, we're going to have to increase state taxes within the next fiscal year without any question. I'd urge a 'no' vote."

Shea: "Is there any further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Mahar."

Mahar: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, would the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Shea: "He indicates he will."

Mahar: "Tom, how does this effect municipalities and annexed unincorporated areas, would their amount of money remain the same?"

McMaster: "They would . . . ah . . . get an increase with their annexation. I think that the . . . ah . . . counties would more or less remain stable, due to the fact that we're sharing 50 percent on total population and 50 percent on unincorporated. It would not, in my estimation, effect the municipalities when they annex. I think they would gain."

Mahar: "Thank you."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Palmer."

Palmer: "Ah . . . Tom, what . . . ah . . . Representative Kane has said it would cost the state about \$33,000,000. I wonder where that



money is going to come to make up."

McMaster: "From the . . . ah . . . State Income Tax Fund."

Palmer: "Well, . . . ah . . . are we then using \$33,000,000 that . . . ah . . . we perhaps won't have when we . . . ah . . . come out at the end of this Session assuming that the Bills have passed and we've got about between a \$7 or \$8,000,000,000 budget . . . ah . . . will that cause or tend to cause an increase of a tax someplace on the people, that is, for the state to make up the \$33,000,000."

McMaster: "I find this difficult to . . ."

Shea: "Mr. . . . Mr. McMaster's, would you excuse me for a minute. Could the Members please be in their seats, and could we have some order. Now, there are a number of people on the the floor of the House of Representatives who are not authorized to be on the floor, I'm going to ask you to leave and please stay off the floor. If not, we'll have the Doorkeeper clear the floor. Proceed, Sir."

McMaster: "Romie, as you well know, we've passed many pieces of legislation down here effecting the . . . ah . . . funds of the State of Illinois. To say that any one piece is going to be one that causes a tax increase is difficult to say and you know this, Romie, we don't know which of these Bills are going to become law before we're through and . . . ah . . . quite often we appropriate by legislation more money out of the state funds that is going to be approved by the Executive Branch of the State of Illinois."

Palmer: "Thank you."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Sangamon, Mr. Londrigan, on the question."

Londrigan: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies of the House, I find it almost amazing that we in our tight state budget are now attempting to give away money which we do not have. This is just another form of revenue sharing. We always talk about strong Local Government. If we're going to have strong Local Government, they must be willing to tax themselves. If they're going to spend locally, they should account for it in tax locally. This is revenue sharing at its worst. We sitting here at the State Legislature should not be giving away state money to Local Government."



Shea: "Is there any further discussion? On the question, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I think the Sponsor of this Bill was correct when he initially, inadvertently solicited a 'no' vote on this Bill. This Bill is surely deserving of a 'no' vote. As he correctly stated, this Bill would result in a diversion from the State Revenue Fund of \$33,000,000. It furthermore changes the formula by which the money would be distributed to the municipalities and counties of this state, locking in 80 percent for municipalities and 20 percent for counties, and with regards to counties, that would be a permanent 20 percent lock in regardless of declining county population. I voted for the income tax in 1969, and I voted for state revenue sharing, but I'm very concerned about maintaining a balanced budget and I'm very concerned about avoiding further tax increases. There's no question in my mind, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, that if this Bill would be passed and would be approved by the Governor the next Session we'll have to, we absolutely will have to, increase the State Income Tax. If you want to do that next year, then vote for this Bill; but if you want to keep the level of the State Income Tax as it presently is, then I urge you to vote 'no' on this House Bill, 3026."

Shea: "Is there any further discussion? The Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Simms."

Simms: "Would the Sponsor of the Bill yield for a question?"

Shea: "He indicates he will."

Simms: "Representative McMaster, are . . . are the various counties, are they . . . ah . . . the taxing districts, are they at their maximum rate now?"

McMaster: "Yes, I am quite sure that . . . I'm not sure about all of them, there may be one or two that are not, but I feel reasonably sure that all of them are at this time, Tim."

Simms: "Okay, secondly, Tom, what . . . ah . . . are some of the things that the counties and townships then have done with this . . . ah . . . with the revenue sharing money?"



McMaster: "Their income tax sharing money goes into their general fund, Tim, to help alleviate the cost of the various services that the counties or municipalities must provide due to in many cases legislation that we passed down here. There is no determination in the event of the State Income Tax Funds that go back to the counties as to how it must be spent."

Simms: "Well, Mr. Speaker, in speaking to the merits of the Bill, I have before me a . . . a tax bill from my own county in Winnebago. They are not at the maximum local tax levy and . . . ah . . . with only in one of the categories are they at the maximum tax levy which they are allowable by law. Now, we've given a great deal of latitude through the Illinois Constitution and through the la . . . the previous Sessions of the Legislature to give more responsibility to local taxing units, and if we're going to maintain Local Government control, then I think Local Government has the responsibility first to utilize those revenues and those state . . . ah . . . avenues that are available to them. They have the opportunity to raise their own taxes locally if they're not at the maximum; and it's my understanding the majority of the larger counties in the State of Illinois are not at their maximum tax rates and . . . and for the various districts. So I would suggest that instead of the Legislature assuming the responsibility . . . ah . . . I think it's up to the local governments . . . ah . . . units to measure up to their responsibility and take some of the heat for their increased governmental spending and also for their increased type of programs they've created. Back when the General Assembly gave the increase in the . . . ah . . . gave the income tax to the local governments and using my own in particular about 90 percent of that money was given out in wages. It was not given for capital improvements, it was not given out to reduce the local tax levy, etcetera, but instead it was given up . . . ah . . . in one program or another for increases in salaries and other things. So if we're going to maintain local autonomy, I think it's time that the local governmental units assume their responsibility and let them answer directly to the taxpayers and not constantly running to



the Illinois General Assembly to increase the type of state funding. Let them re . . . assume the responsibility and let them answer to their own taxpayers, and for these reasons I'm going to vote 'no' on House Bill 3026."

Shea: "The Lady from Lake, Miss Reed."

Reed: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think it's just great that we keep sending the responsibility back to the Local Government, I'm a devotee of that concept, but by the same token, the State of Illinois has a responsibility to the units of Local Government just as we have . . . the Federal Government has a responsibility to us. This House Bill . . . Committee Bill recognizes the responsibility of this unit of government to those local units of government for whom we are responsible. I urge an 'aye' vote on this Bill."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. . . . or from McHenry, Mr. Skinner."

Skinner: "I wonder if the Sponsor has a list of how much extra money each county will receive?"

McMaster: "Yes, I do, Cal'."

Skinner: "Could I sneak back and take a look at it?"

Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Fleck."

Fleck: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Shea: "The question is, shall the main question be put? All those in favor will say 'aye', those opposed 'nay'; the 'ayes' have it and the Gentleman from Knox, Mr. McMaster's, to close."

McMaster: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, we have had a great deal of this discussion here about responsibilities of units of Local Government. There is necessity of taxing themselves in order to spend. We quite often overlook the fact that this Body, the General Assembly of the State of Illinois, often votes responsibilities on to the units of Local Government without giving them the money to provide those actual responsibilities. I would like to point out for instance the salary increase for the judges we passed. We put this back on the Local Government, we said nothing about how they were going to be funded, it's just a responsibility we gave them. We do many other things that



JUN 5 1975

62.

causes them to spend extra money; and I think it's only fair that we assume our responsibility to help relieve the problem of . . . possible in . . . ah . . . tax increases at the real estate level. I think this is good legislation, I think it deserves the support of everyone in this House no matter what part of the state you're from. I urge a 'yes' vote on House Bill 3026."

Shea: "The ques . . . the question is, shall House Bill 3026 pass? All those in favor will vote 'aye', those opposed will vote 'nay'. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Miller, to explain his vote."

Miller: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker, I'd like to incorporate the arguments of others who've spoke against the Bill and add to it the further consideration that our State Income Tax sharing without local municipalities and counties is based presently today on 1/12. Well, what that does, is permit a tax revenue increase to the counties and municipalities as the level of income raises in those areas. If those counties and municipalities need additional revenue, they will continue to have an increased level of revenue by the growth of income in their respective areas, and I oppose the Bill."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Kane, Mr. Hill."

Hill: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, we're again on that sled providing revenues for counties and municipalities at the expense of State Government, at the expense of eventually having to increase either the sales tax or the income tax. It seems to me that when we pass that new Constitution and gave municipalities and counties the right to home rule and do what they so desire to do, it seems to me that those local authorities should take it upon themselves to see that they get extra funds if they so desire them. But they're afraid to go to their people with a referendum vote. So, consequently, what do they do, they come into the back door of the Legislature and again we have to furnish all those funds for them in order to play around back in their counties and municipalities and, consequently, and eventually you sitting down here are going to have to raise taxes in order to take care of it. I think this is the wrong way to do it. I think you should vote against the piece of



legislation like this. It's bad for the people and consequently should be voted down."

Shea: "Have all voted who wish? The Lady from Lake, Miss Geo-Karis, to explain her 'aye' vote."

Geo-Karis: "Yes, you want to take a Roll Call, I don't have to explain my 'aye' vote."

Shea: "Have all voted who wish? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Downs, to explain his vote."

Downs: "Mr. Speaker, it cannot be passed without it being said that no Bill that I've seen this Session has more effectively passed the buck. In this instance, the responsibility and the difficulties that we're going to have when a state income tax is increased. There's going to be a problem borne by this Legislature because those interested in the counties and townships budgets have effectively now raided the State Treasury to them of an appropriation to them of \$33,000,000. That is wrong, we're going to only make a tax increase that much more likely and they're getting off the hook and that's just wrong, and I vote 'no'."

Shea: "Have all voted who wish? Take the Record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 109 'ayes', 44 'nos', 7 voting 'present'. House Bill 3026 having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. Mr. Walsh."

Walsh: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, we're privileged to have with us today a distinguished . . ."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Yourell, the Chairman of the Committee on Townships, who do you wish to handle this Bill, Sir?"

Yourell: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, . . . ah . . . this is a Bill again that came . . . ah . . . to us out of the Counties and Townships. It received the votes necessary to enable it to reach before the House in the posture of a Committee Bill and I would yield this Chairman to Representative Brinkmeier."

Shea: "Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Fredric Selcke: "Ah . . . House Bill 3027, an Act to amend Section 27.1 of an Act concerning fees and salaries and so forth. Third Reading



of the Bill."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Stephenson, Mr. Brinkmeier, on House Bill 3027."

Brinkmeier: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, previously this was House Bill 902, which initially came out of the Committee without a single dissenting vote, was placed on Consent Calendar and subsequently removed. Now, this Bill was called on Third Reading on Sunday night June the 18th and we probably had as few of people on the floor as anytime. I know that there were at least 6 Cosponsors of the Bill and 26 who had voted for it, but previously the previous year that were absent or not voting that night, and as a result I had to put it on Postponed Consideration and it never did get called. I just simply point out to you that if we do not grant an increase in salary to the E.S.R. people now, it will not be possible after August and of course then it would mean 8 years without an increase in salary. During that interval we've been here in the General Assembly I think have received at least two raises in salary. The school administrators around the state other than E.S.R. have made . . . generally . . ."

Shea: "Mr. Brinkmeier, please, Sir, excuse me. Will the Members please be in their seats and could we have some order, and Mr. Doorkeeper, I've asked people that are unauthorized please get off the floor of the House and I still see 'em here, clear 'em off the floor of the House. I'm sorry, Mr. Brinkmeier, proceed."

Brinkmeier: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, once more the school administrators around the state, the general . . . the average increase in salary during the past 6 years has been approximately 50 percent, during this interval, of course, teachers salaries have gone . . . and . . . almost . . . all public employees, almost everyone, I don't know of a single other category that has not received some, at least a minimal, income in salary during that interval; and if we do not pass this Bill, if this is not enacted into law, it will mean they will have gone 8 years in the inflationary times without an increase in salary. I think the . . . the issue is very clear, and I would answer any questions, and hopefully get your green vote."



Shea: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of House Bill 3027. On the question the Gentleman from Christian, Mr. Tipsword."

Tipsword: "No, Mr. Speaker, I had . . . ah . . . a parliamentary inquiry. Please proceed with the Bill and then I'll make it."

Shea: "You . . . with regards to this Bill, Sir."

Tipsword: "No, Sir."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Simms. Mr. Simms, if you'd quitpoliticking, you want to talk on the question or . . ."

Simms: "Ah . . . Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I rise in total opposition to this Bill. Now, let me state the reasons why, number 1, the basic reason for the consolidation of the office of County Superintendent in the schools into a regional situation was to save money. Number 2, this Bill not only raises the salaries for the Office of Superintendent of Service Region, but it also raises the level for those assistants under him. Thirdly, I think it's very imprudent at this time for the General Assembly to start making large increases in pay when we have a large percent of our population that's unemployed in the State of Illinois. In the larger counties such as from the area in which I am from, from Winnebago, we are raising this office of a position up to \$31,000 a year, and that's \$1,000 less that the State's Attorney rakes and you can't compare the responsibilities because they're a lot less. I think it's imprudent for the Legislature at this time to start raising salaries. The people of the State of Illinois don't want it, the taxpayers don't want it, and I think that it'd be very foolish for the Legislature starting to lo . . . to make large increases for a position that probably should be abolished anyway; and I'm going to vote 'no'."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Griesheimer, on the question."

Griesheimer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, when we speak of imprudence on raising salaries, it's hard for me to believe a Legislator in this state can get up and condemn this type of thing unless he can stand up and say he voted against the Legislative salary increases last year. Now, let's face this, in the last three to six years, every state



officer has had a salary increase except the Superintendent of Public Service Education Region. These men and women are pre . . . performing valuable functions for our state and we should not allow personality clashes growing out of certain situations in this state to allow us to vote against this very necessary Bill. We've given a great deal of authority to these superintendents to carry on the consolidated job of looking after the schools in these districts. This is a difficult job, it's a massive administrative job. Our superintendent in our area up in Lake County, Bill Thompson, is doing one heck of a job and working continuously almost on a day and night basis and to sit back now for personal . . . personality reasons and condemn every other superintendent in this state because of what's happening in one area of this state is grossly unfair. These are the only state employees who have not received a raise in the last six to eight years, and I think they deserve it and I urge your support."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Kankakee, Mr. Ryan."

Ryan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, will the Sponsor yield?"

Shea: "He indicates he will."

Ryan: "Representative Brinkmeier, how much money are we talking about here?"

Brinkmeier: "Yes, . . . ah . . . first and foremost, I would point out to you that in August of this year the E.S.R. regions will be reduced to 78, we started with 102, we're down to 78, by '79 we'll be down to 54. Now, with the . . . with the salary increase and with the reduction in the number of regions we'll still . . . the amount of money necessary will be approximately \$250,000 less than last year. The actual salary increase for the E.S.R. people would be approximately . . . at least \$2,000 per person, and, of course, it is . . . ah . . . specific category according to the population of the counties . . . ah . . . of the regions. So we're talking roughly about \$150,000 additional for the E.S.R. people and then of course there is a percentage rate, that raise that will go to the assistants. So I don't have the exact number . . ."

Ryan: "Well, you're saying then it's a \$2,000 increase per individual, is



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

that correct?"

Brinkmeier: ". . . This is for . . . yes, that is correct."

Ryan: "Over an 8 year period? That will represent an 8 year period, is that right?"

Brinkmeier: "Well, yes, from what they're getting at the present time, it's \$2,000 per year from now on."

Ryan: "Right. What I'm saying is then that \$2,000 represents . . ."

Brinkmeier: "Yes, this would be . . . for 8 years which means . . ."

Ryan: ". . . \$250 a year, is that right?"

Brinkmeier: "\$2,000 over the period . . . right, now this would mean that their raise is actually going to be less than 5 percent per year, which is far below inflation."

Ryan: "Ah . . . Thank you. Well, I'd like to address myself to the Bill, I see . . ."

Shea: "Proceed, Mr. Ryan."

Ryan: ". . . I certainly believe that this . . . there's nothing wrong with this Bill. Ah . . . It's a paltry sum when we think of some of the things we do in this General Assembly . . . ah . . . these people are the . . . the people that are in charge of our kids in our schools and certainly \$250 a year in increase isn't anything to quibble about; and I would encourage an 'aye' vote."

Shea: "The Gentleman from McClain, Mr. Deavers."

Deavers: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Shea: "The question is, shall the main question be put? All those in favor will say 'aye', those opposed will say 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it. The Gentleman from Stephenson, Mr. Brinkmeier, to close."

Brinkmeier: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I think the issue is very clear, I would like to . . . ah . . . answer one statement made previously about . . . ah . . . salaries in comparing with other county officials, other than judges and states attorneys . . . ah . . . no other group has a prerequisite as . . . as does the E.S.R. person. They have to have their administrative certificate. So this is some justification probably for that salary being as high as it is. Also I would point out to you that by '79 these regions will be reduced to 54. I think



this . . . ah . . . salary increase is very well justified and I solicit your support."

Shea: "The question is, shall House Bill 3027 pass? All those in favor will vote 'aye', those opposed will vote 'nay'. The Gentleman from Kane, Mr. Waddell, to explain his vote."

Waddell: "Mr. Speaker, in as much as I did not have a chance to ask a question previously, I would like to have the courtesy of the Sponsor and possibly in answering his vote to tell me what our Superintendent in Kane County . . . ah . . . now makes and on that rests my decision as to how much I'm going to either be for it or against it."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Stephenson, Mr. Brinkmeier, to explain his vote with a figure."

Brinkmeier: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I'll break this down for everyone so that they would know exactly what it would do for . . . regions between 16,000 and 47,999 they're presently being paid \$22,500, they will be paid \$26,000; for counties of 48,000 through 99,999 presently being paid \$25,500 and will receive \$29,000; . . . ah . . . for . . . ah . . . let's see just one moment please, for counties or regions of 100,000 through 999,999 presently receiving \$27,500 will receive \$31,000; and 1,000,000 and over presently \$29,500 and it will go to \$33,000."

Shea: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the Record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 126 'ayes', 11 'nays', 11 'present'; House Bill 3027 having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. Mr. Waddell."

Waddell: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, I was slow on the trigger there, would you vote me 'no', please?"

Shea: "Mr. Waddell votes 'no'. McAuliffe votes 'aye'. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. McAuliffe, or I mean, Mr. Yourell, I'm sorry."

Yourell: "Ah . . . Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, appearing on the Calendar and on the order of Third Reading, appears House Bill 3032 and House Bill 3033 which are identical to the Bills we just passed out as Committee Bills 3026 and 3027. I move now, Mr. Speaker, to table House Bill 3032 and House Bill 3033."



These two Bills were placed on the Calendar in error and I move that . . . they either be tabled or stricken from the Calendar with . . ."

Shea: "The Gentleman moves to have leave of the House to table House Bills 3032 and 3233, is there objection? Hearing none, the Bills will be ordered tabled. On the order of House Bills, Third Reading, appears House Bill 3029, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. McPartlin, the Chairman on Utilities, who's going to handle that Bill?"

McPartlin: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, Representative Leon will handle these two Bills."

Fredric Selcke: "Ah . . . House Bill 3029, an Act to amend Section 10.2 of the Electric Supplier Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Leon, asks leave to hear 3029 and 3033 . . . 3030 together. Is there objection? Hearing none, read 3030."

Fredric Selcke: "House Bill 3030, an Act to amend Section 1 of an . . . of the Public Utilities Revenue Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Leon, on these two Bills."

Leon: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 3029 and 3030 are reproductions of House Bills 927 and 928, which were heard on the floor, and at the time of the hearing, the impression was given of a fiscal note and the figures that were related were erroneous. I've discussed this with the person who opposed the Bill at that time and they have agreed with me that the figures were erroneous. I have figures on the fiscal implication of these notes, they range from 44,000 to 400,000. I don't know what the exact figure is and I have been unable to ascertain. I've been to the Department of Revenue four times and have got three different answers. I believe the proper fiscal implication is about maybe about \$100,00 and I would appreciate a favorable Roll Call on these two Bills."

Shea: "The question is, shall House Bill 3029 and House Bill 3030 pass? On the question, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Palmer."

Palmer: "If the Sponsor will yield to a question."

Shea: "He indicates he will."



Palmer: "Does this have to do with the consumer of electricity . . . ah . . . that is 3029?"

Leon: "No, no, the energy charge is to continue at the same rate. This applies only to the services connected with the . . . installation of material applicable for the consumption of electricity."

Palmer: "Well, what I wanted to ask really is whether or not this is going to cause the consumer's electric bill to go up?"

Leon: "No."

Palmer: "Is it going to cause . . ."

Leon: "It will cause it to go down."

Palmer: "Will it go down?"

Leon: "It . . . it will cause it . . . the charges will . . . should go down reflected on the reduction of the 5 percent charge for installing . . . ah . . . electric wires . . . ah . . . and utilities or accessories . . ."

Palmer: "All right."

Leon: ". . . connected with the use of electricity."

Shea: "On the question, is there any further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Barnes."

Barnes: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, would the Sponsor yield to a question or two please?"

Shea: "He indicates he will."

Barnes: "Representative, you indicated that these Bills were the same as House Bills 920 . . . 928 and 929 or 927 and 928?"

Leon: "I believe I said 9 . . ."

Barnes: "No way."

Leon: ". . . ah . . . 937 and --38, Mr. Barnes, I made an error in . . . ah . . . reading the figures."

Shea: "Those were Mr. Barnes' Bills."

Barnes: "Thank you."

Shea: "On the question, is there any further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, as the predecessors of these Bills were defeated previously, specifically on May 13th, they ought to be defeated today June 5. These are tax relief



measures for public utilities and I don't know how in good conscience having failed to provide tax relief to the general citizenry and by the appropriations we have been making this year . . . ah . . . how we could reduce our revenue of the state and provide this kind of tax relief to a special group and I would suggest the same treatment for these . . . these two Bills as we gave to their predecessors by a resounding 'no' vote."

Shea: "Is there any further discussion? The Gentleman from Marion, Mr. Friedrich."

Friedrich: "What . . . Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, when the utility tax was devised, I'm sure it was never intended to be a tax for anything except for the energy itself. This merely puts the tax on the . . . ah . . . energy that's sold and takes it off the service part of it . . . ah . . . which I think is in order and will reflect in a savings to the consumers."

Shea: "On the question, Mr. Leon, . . . er . . . on the question, Mr. Mugalian."

Mugalian: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, . . . ah . . . in speaking to this Bill I think I would just like to ask a rhetorical question. We have here two Committee Bills . . . ah . . . of Bills that were previously introduced to do the same thing and were defeated by this House. I . . . I just . . . I just ask if it's proper for us to take the time of this House to do this when there are other important Bills that were not heard or considered because of the deadline. We have already considered these same Bills and defeated them in this very same Session within the last six weeks."

Shea: "The question is, shall House Bills 3029 and 3030 pass? On that question, Mr. Leon, do you wish to close?"

Leon: "Yes, in answer to Mr. Mugalian, at the time these Bills were heard one of your seatmates got up and gave a fiscal note which he, after considering the question with me, realized that it was improper. Prior to his making that statement the . . . ah . . . Bills had received a sufficient number of votes to pass. I did not have the information available at that time and it . . . and



JUN 5 1975

72.

I could not answer the question. I would ask for a favorable vote."

Shea: "The question is, shall these Bills pass? All in favor will vote 'aye', those opposed will vote 'nay'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the Record, Mr. Clerk. On these questions these two Bills having received 51 'aye' votes, 36 'nay' votes, 34 votes voting 'present'; House Bill 3029 and 3030 are declared lost. On the order of House Bills, Third Reading, appears House Bill 3031."

Fredric Selcke: "House Bill 3031, an Act to amend . . . ah . . . Section 58 and to amend Section 59 of an Act concerning public utilities. Third Reading of the Bill."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Stearney, I understand, is going to handle this Bill."

Stearney: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Bill empowers the Illinois Commerce Commission to authorize and regulate the entry of public utilities under property for the purpose of assessing the suitability of the property as the site for a possible future facility; and I ask for your support on this endeavor."

Shea: "The question is, shall House Bill 3031 pass? On the question . . . on the question the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Downs."

Downs: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Shea: "He indicates he will."

Downs: "Point of order, Mr. Speaker, are you going to recognize that or may I ask my question?"

Shea: "You're raising a point of order, Sir? Mr. Berman raises a point of order."

Berman: "Now, Mr. Speaker, . . . ah . . . I notice that the . . . our Digests do not include the description of these Bills . . . ah . . . and I raise that point as to whether it is proper to consider these . . . nothing in our Digest."

Shea: "Is House Bill 3031 on your desk, and the Bill is on the Calendar, I think those comply with the rules, Mr. Berman."

Berman: "Thank you."

Shea: "Now, back to Mr. Downs."



Downs: "This Bill also sounds very familiar, what Bill does this replace?"

Stearney: "House Bill 2057."

Downs: "2057, and are there any changes in this Bill from House Bill 2057?"

Stearney: "Not that I know."

Downs: "May I speak . . . ah . . . to the Bill, Mr. Speaker?"

Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Downs, on the Bill."

Downs: "If I'm not mistaken . . . ah . . . this Bill was reached and defeated and we are now doing the same thing we did on the preceding Bill and I . . . ah . . . oppose the Bill on that basis as well as the merits of the Bill. Thank you."

Shea: "Now, the Lady from Lake, Miss Geo-Karis, insists that I recognize her. Proceed, young Lady. Turn Miss Geo-Karis on, will you please?"

Geo-Karis: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, you can turn me on any time. Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, may I be . . . ah . . ."

Shea: "A couple more remarks like that and I won't be able to sit up here. Proceed."

Geo-Karis: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, may I ask the Sponsor a few questions?"

Shea: "He indicates that he will yield."

Geo-Karis: "Ah . . . Mr. Sponsor, if I read this Bill correctly, on line 12, on page 1, do I understand this Bill to give the commission the power to grant authority to a public utility the right to enter onto private property and conduct investigations on it . . . ah . . . for determining suitability for such . . . of such property for sites for the production, transmissions and distribution of that system?"

Stearney: "Yes, that Bill simply entitles the Commission to authorize the utility just to make an inspection. This Bill goes no further than that, simply to make an inspection of a possible suitability of a future facility, nothing more, nothing . . ."

Geo-Karis: "Ah . . . Mr. Sponsor, my next question is this, . . . ah . . . this Bill . . . ah . . . when you say electricity it also



involves nuclear plants and so forth, does it not?"

Stearney: "Well, any utility that's engaged in producing electricity."

Geo-Karis: "And my third question is, this Bill would also authorize a quick-take provision under eminent domain . . ."

Stearney: "No, it would not. You are simply wrong."

Geo-Karis: "I think . . ."

Stearney: "I ask you to direct your attention to the Bill and find what page and what line."

Geo-Karis: "All right, Mr. Speaker, may I speak on the Bill?"

Shea: "Now, that you've . . ."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies of the Bill, how could you resist?"

Anyway, . . . ah . . . I'd like to submit that this Bill has a quick-take provision under the eminent domain and for my very respectful colleague and respectable colleague, I would call his attention to the bottom of page 1 of the Bill and the top of page 2. Now, if we are going to start giving public utilities the right of quick-take, which means taking the property away from someone and then having to . . . that determined, I think we're going too far. Already we are allowing the public utilities to charge off a charitable contribution in an effort to in . . . increase their petitions for rates . . . before the Commerce Commission. I submit we are not doing the public a favor at all with this Bill and I certainly urge a 'no' vote on it."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Madison."

Madison: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, would the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Shea: "He indicates he will."

Madison: "Mr. Sponsor, as I read this . . . the Digest on House Bill 2057, it provides that public utilities may exercise eminent domain, would you elaborate on that?"

Stearney: "Would you . . . would you direct your question to a page and line number so that I'll . . . because the question is very ambiguous?"

Madison: "Well, I'm . . . I'm not reading the Bill, I'm reading the Digest . . . ah . . ."



Stearney: "Well, the Digest is incorrect when it refers to eminent domain."

Shea: "Excuse me please, Mr. Barnes, on a point of order."

Barnes: "Yes, a parliamentary inquiry, Mr. Speaker. Now, the Sponsor of the Bill is alluding to reading the Bill. Now, I'm like some of the other Members, I'm having problems reading anything as it relates to the Bill. As I understand, House Bill 2057 that this Bill came from was assigned to the Interim Study Calendar and . . . has got back as it relates to our rules. Now, number 2, I don't have the Bill. So I cannot read the Bill or follow anything that's in the Bill. There's no copy here, the Bill, House Bill that was formerly on my desk is long gone now. They're not here, so there is no way for us to follow this. Well, one of my inquiries is how do a Bill get back in the current Calendar that was assigned to Interim Study Calendar according to our rules; and number 2, if the Sponsor of the Bill is not willing to explain what's in the Bill and he well knows that the Bills are not here now."

Shea: "Mr. Barnes, number 1 it's a Committee Bill. It may be similar or almost identical to that Bill but it's a new Bill. Number 2, . . ."

Stearney: "That's right, all the first . . ."

Shea: ". . . I had asked the Clerk, Mr. Madison, Miss Satterthwaite, Miss Willer, a number of Members on the Democratic side raised the point that these Bills were not in the Members Bill Books. Before I went back to this order of business I was informed that these Bills had been distributed on both sides of the aisle and were in the Members Bill Book. Now, might I ask you to take a look at your Senate Book, Bill Book 'cause I think that's where the pages might have been upon you."

Barnes: "Well, I started to say, Mr. Speaker, because the Bill Book from the House of most of us are gone. We don't even have them anymore."

Shea: "Well, take a look, Gene, in your Senate Bill and see if they didn't slip it in there for you. Proceed Mr. Madison."



Madison: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I'm looking at the Bill and . . . ah . . . the Bill does not have expressed language that relates to eminent domain, but on line 29 of the Bill it talks about the owner of the property as having the right to appear at a hearing and be heard as a party, and I'm wondering if the Sponsor of the Bill will relate to me . . . ah . . . what hearing and on what matter?"

Stearney: "Ah . . . Mr. Madison, this entitles the party that owns the property to be represented by himself or by the attorney before the Illinois Commerce Commission to . . . to object to the utility really coming upon his property for an inspection."

Madison: "Well, . . ."

Stearney: "That's all that it . . ."

Madison: ". . . if I understand . . . if I understand the Bill, Mr. Sponsor, the fact that the Commission may give a public utility a right to come upon the property without the express consent of the property owner, is that not a form of exercising eminent domain?"

Stearney: "Well, merely . . . we're just allowing a utility to make an inspection. This does not entitle them to take possession or to take permanent use of that property. It does not grant them a permanent . . . a permanent right or any fee interest in that property. Simply allows them to come upon the property for an inspection, nothing more."

Madison: "Mr. Speaker, I would like to ask the Sponsor if this Bill allows the public utilities to come upon the property of an individual without that individual's consent?"

Stearney: "Only after the Commerce Commission gives its sanction."

Madison: "So then the Commerce Commission can . . . can exercise a right for of the eminent domain?"

Stearney: "It is not eminent domain per se."

Madison: "Well, what would you call it, Mr. Sponsor?"

Stearney: "Oh, I don't have a term to describe it."

Madison: "It could be known as trespassing, couldn't it, Mr. Sponsor?"

Stearney: "Well, no."

Shea: "Mr. Madison, please, I don't want to get into a colloquy between . . ."



Madison: "I'm sorry, Mr. Speaker, I didn't have any . . . I have no further questions."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Fleck."

Fleck: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question, I'll direct his attention to a few lines in this Bill. Mr. Stearney, your power of eminent domain reminds me greatly of that double play combination, Tinkers-to-Evers-to-Chance, that was with the Chicago Cubs 10 years ago."

Stearney: "That is not a question, Mr. Fleck."

Fleck: "All right, but I'm going to ask you on page 1, 30 . . . line 35, sets out that the utility or whoever comes under the pervue of the above language of the Bill can . . . ah . . . in the area for 'necessary for construction, alternations, additions' and so forth and so on 'or for the conduct of any activities authorized under Section 50A of this Act,' then you go down to the next provision which is the quick-take provision for the Tollway Commission and the same with the Metropolitan Airport Authority there's language inserted in there after it gives them the quick-take authority or by 'public utility for the purpose of conducting any activity authorized for an order of the Illinois Commerce Commission.' So what this Bill does and I'm asking you if the Illinois Commerce Commission gives permission to the utilities to enter onto the land make their 'investigation' doesn't page 2 in the language that you inserted on page 2 of the Bill give them the quick-take authority. It's simple to me, I want to know if it's simple to you."

Stearney: "This is absolutely not, Mr. Fleck, and let me an . . . answer to you this, being a good lawyer, you have failed to read the remaining language which says, 'issue pursuant to Section 50A'; and 50A, Mr. Fleck, only allows the utility to come upon the property for an inspection only, nothing more, nothing less; and unless you read all that language, you are perverting the . . . intent of this Bill."

Fleck: "This . . . then why do you set forth this language under the quick-take provisions . . ."

Shea: "Mr. Fleck, Mr. Stearney . . ."



JUN 5 1975

78.

Stearney: "It is . . . it is under Section 50A, this only allows . . ."

Fleck: "May I speak to the Bill, Mr. Speaker, then?"

Shea: "Mr. Stearney, Mr. Fleck, let's not have any colloquies on the floor. If you want to ask . . ."

Fleck: "All right, may I speak to the Bill very briefly?"

Shea: "Proceed, Sir."

Fleck: "Well, the only thing I'd like to say in regard to this Bill, and this isn't the first time it's come up, is that the only way you can achieve happiness on this terrestrial ball is to either have a conscience or none at all; and I think anyone who would vote for this Bill is certainly lacking in conscience because number 1, the owner of the land doesn't have any right to give permission for the utilities to come on to the property, all it gives them the right is to appear at a hearing and that's it. They can drill, they can do all sorts of things when they get on that property and there's nothing in here that will give the landowner the right to be recompensed for any damages which might be incurred by the public utility. They could take sounding drillings and anything they want, tear up your backyard, cut down your trees. I think this is a God-awful Bill and anyone who cares about the landowners or the little people of this state will certainly vote against it."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Christian, Mr. Tipsword. The Gentleman from Kane, Mr. Hill."

Hill: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, if you take this Bill, you will certainly come to the conclusion that it's a bad Bill. It says here that 'any activity authorized by an order of the Illinois Commerce Commission' and then you refer back here to Section 502, 'in or upon private property for the production, transmission, or distribution of electricity', then you go down to line 22 and it says, 'surveys which includes surveys, sounding, drillings', and here's really the catchall, 'in any other investigation pertaining to facility site selection'. Now, this is not a new piece of legislation. There was a House Member who was elected to the Senate and now no longer serves over there because he was defeated last time, had this same identical Bill up in the



House here on several different occasions. Can't you see after the order issued by the Illinois Commerce Commission and I don't have that much faith in them, I've never had that much faith in them, and the order issued that a public utility, a pipeline, electrical company, gas line, I don't care what it is, all of a sudden comes into private property and you've got five acres and they decide to dig up your lawn, dig up your apple trees, I don't care what it is, you just planted your garden, they're going to come in there and they're going to destroy it, and if you vote for a piece of legislation like this, you are going to regret it."

Shea: "Now, I'm going to disturb the debate for a minute, again I have asked that Members not entitled to the floor or people not entitled to the floor please leave; and one of the Members suggested that we tell who's entitled to the floor so everybody fully understands it. Our House rules say the following persons are entitled to the area around the House, while it is in Session, Members and officers of the General Assembly, the elected state officers and one of their administrative assistants, the administrative assistants to the Governor, and of any official responsible to him, former Speakers of the House, employees of the Legislative Reference Bureau and of the Legislative Council and employees of the House Leadership. Now, I've read that, I think everybody I hope clearly understands it. I'll ask the Members to be in their seats and, Mr. Doorkeeper, anybody that does not fit within that category please ask him to leave the floor of the House of Representatives. On the question, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Capuzi."

Capuzi: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Shea: "The question is, shall the main question be put? All those in favor will vote 'aye' . . . or say 'aye', those opposed 'nay'; the 'ayes' have it, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Stearney, to close."

Stearney: "Mr. Speaker, in closing, I would just like to answer certain objections. One was there was no provision for damages. Now, I submit, however, on page 3 of this Bill on line . . . on line 3, it provides for the ascertainment of compensation after the



utility has performed it's work. There is the provision for damages to be allocated, in case that apple tree is cut down or in case there a digging. There is that provision and I submit further that anytime this Bill in any Section refers to the utility what it may do. It refers to Section 50A and 50A only allows that utility to come upon property simply for the purpose of an inspection. It can not do nothing more than what Section 50A allows it to do so and I say that those people who have objected are simply honestly mistaken because they haven't taken the real time to examine the purport of this Bill so therefore I ask a favorable vote Roll Call on this matter. Thank you."

Shea: "The question is shall House Bill 3031 pass? Those in favor will vote aye. Those opposed will vote nay. Have all voted who wish? Does somebody really want to explain their vote on this Bill? Does anybody want to explain their vote? Take the record Mr. Clerk. On the question there are 12 ayes, 117 nays. House Bill 3031 having failed to receive the constitutional majority is hereby declared lost. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Katz."

Katz: "Ah...Mr. Speaker the...ah...Members of Judiciary II Committee wish to hail the new champion the Public Utilities Committee they have...ah...great admiration on the job just done. Thank you very much."

Shea: "Senate Bills Third Reading. On the order of Senate Bills Third Reading appears Jenate Bill 207."

Selcke: "Senate Senate Bill 2, 207, an Act to amend Section I of an Act in relation to Compensation of Emoluments to Members of the General Assembly. Third Reading of the Bill."

Shea: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Daniels and we wish you better luck than your seat mate."

Daniels: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentleman of the House. Senate Bill 207 allows Members of the General Assembly an option in receiving their compensation. They may choose to receive it monthly. Now I emphasize that this is optional and you may receive it monthly, yearly or every two years. I also bring to your attention that this House passed House Bill 834 which is presently pending in the Senate which eliminates the two year



requirement but all this Bill does is give you the optional...ah... opportunity on the receipt of your salary. This was put in at the request of the Comptroller's Office due to the request of legislators to take their pay on a monthly basis and I ask for your favorable vote."

Shea: "The question is shall Senate Bill 207 pass. On the question the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Fleck."

Fleck: "I got a income tax problem with this. Under the present I understand when you're directed a annual salary IRS implies constructive receipt. Now if a Member let's say is receiving his salary on a monthly basis and he is directed by statute that he will receive a annual salary that's constructive receipt of the total salary. What happens if he dies in the middle of the year? He is paying tax on a implied construed receipt of a salary of let's say \$20,000, he is been paid \$10,000 after the six month he dies what happens to the tax? Does he have does the State have to pay tax on the...ah...constructive receipt?"

Daniels: "Well I in answer to that question Representative Fleck I think that the same answer would apply to the current state of law at the present time. I think it would be based upon the amount of money that was received but I'm not a tax lawyer and I certainly couldn't...ah...hold that out as a binding opinion or anything like that."

Fleck: "Well I I .....but I know there's been rulings like on the per diem and other things that it's constructive receipt when a statute dictates that you shall receive this salary. Now the General Assembly because of that takes his full salary at the beginning of the year because he knows he has to pay tax on the full amount whether he receives it or not and if he's going to receive it monthly and he dies he will no longer receive monthly salaries and just curious as to whether he's going to pay tax on the amount he has received by the monthly salary or as a full amount which the statute says his salary shall be."

Daniels: "Well the only the only explanation I could offer to that would be that at the present time if he takes his salary on an annual basis and then he dies I believe that the IRS only taxes



them on the annual salary of receipt...ah...on the other...the situation as it is right now if he takes it monthly it'd be on the amount of received but again I think you're asking a technical question which I don't have an answer to I I you know."

Fleck: "Mr. Speaker I would ask that maybe the Gentleman hold this and here's the reason why."

Daniel: "I'll take it out of the record sir."

Fleck: "We have some."

Daniel: "You've already won Charlie, don't keep talking."

Shea: "Take it out of the record. On the order of House Bill Senate Bills Third Reading appears Senate Bill 236. Miss Geo-Karis are you seeking recognition or are you just leaving your light flash there so in case."

Geo-Karis: "Ah...when I see you ... Mr. Majority Leader I don't know what I want to say sorry."

Shea: "Read the Bill."

O'Brien: "Senate Bill 236, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Pension Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Shea: "The Gentleman from DeKalb, Mr. Ebbesen."

Ebbesen: "Ah...yes Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 236 amends the...ah...Municipal Retirement Fund and State Employees Retirement System Articles of the...ah...Illinois Pension System and it provides...ah...in a basic Bill if the survivor or disability benefits shall not be reduced as a result of social security benefits...ah...it increase what had been a really related to the cost of living increases and...ah...it makes in other words certain that recipients get the cost of living increase. Now we do have some Committee Amendments...ah... one which was a clean up and clarification. The other Amendments addressed themselves to benefit increases and transfer of credits from other systems into the General Assembly for qualifying active Members and I would move for the adoption of this legislation."

Shea: "Is there any further discussion? The question is shall Senate Bill 236 pass? All those in favor will vote aye. Those opposed will vote nay. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Everybody voted now Mr. Ewell? Have all voted who wish?"



JUN 5 1975

Take the record Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 152 aye, 1 nay, 2 voting present. House Senate Bill 236 having received the constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. On the order of Senate Bills Third Reading appears Senate Bill 257. Oh I'm sorry I skipped one 256."

O'Brien: "Senate Bill 256, a Bill for an Act to revise the law in relation to habeas corpus. Third Reading of the Bill."

Shea: "The Lady from...ah...Lake, Miss Geo-Karis on the Bill."

Geo-Karis: "Ah...Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This is what you might term...ah...a clean up Bill. It passed the Judiciary Committee...ah...a unanimous vote if I recall correctly and what this is it amends the Act relating to habeas corpus in that it sets out the person who's seeking the habeas corpus by name rather than...ah...by name and with a caption after that people.....ah...this is an old common law. A pretense that the action brought by the sovereign when in fact it's brought by the aggrieved party and this Bill has the unanimous support of the Legislative Section and Civil Practice Committee of the Bar Association and I recommend it's...ah...passage."

Shea: "The Lady moves for the adoption of Senate Bill 256. On the question the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Rayson."

Rayson: "Ah...Representative when this was in Committee at first I believe you were going to adopt this Amendment...ah..."

Geo-Karis: "Not in this one but I'm ..... remember?"

Rayson: "All right all right. Gotcha, gotcha."

Shea: "Is there any further discussion? The Lady moves for the adoption of Senate Bill 258 256. All those in favor will vote aye. Those opposed will vote nay. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question there are 133 ayes, 6 nays, 1 voting present. Senate Bill 256 having received the constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. On the order of Senate Bills Third Reading appears Senate Bill 257. Is Mr. Flinn there Mr. Jacobs? Would you if you could find Mr. Flinn so we could move this Appropriation Bill? On the order of Senate Bills Third Reading appears Senate Bill 258. We're back to Miss



Geo-Karis again."

Geo-Karis: "Ah...Mr."

Shea: "No wait until we read the Bill, will you please?"

O'Brien: "Senate Bill 258, a Bill for an Act to revise the law in relation to mandamus. Third Reading of the Bill."

Shea: "The Lady from Lake, Miss Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Ah...Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House..ah.. Senate Bill 258 is another clean up Bill and this time it relates to the term mandamus. It provides that where action is commenced after September 30, 1975 the name of the person seeking the release shall be set out as a plaintiff without the following words, "people ex rel or "people under relation of." In the Supreme Court of the United States recently in a case of Warren verses Dankowski we should reverse the Supreme Court of Illinois in the one of its facets by removing the fictional designation of people exrel therefore, what we're saying now is let the person who wants to file the writ of mandamus file it in his own name. This too was recommended by the Legislative Section of the Civil Practice Committee of the Bar Association. I move for it's adoption."

Shea: "The question is shall Senate Bill 258 pass? On the question the lawyer from Adams, Mr. McClain. I thought you had a question on that. The question is shall Senate Bill 258 pass? All in favor will vote aye. Those opposed will vote nay. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 142 ayes, 3 nays, 2 voting present. Senate Bill 258 having received the constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. On the order of Senate Bills Third Reading appears Senaté Bill 260. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Capparelli. Read the Bill Mr. Clerk."

O'Brien: "Senate Bill 260, a Bill for an Act to make an Appropriation for Certain Retirement Benefits to Teachers. Third Reading of the Bill."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Capparelli."

Capparelli: "Mr. Speaker...ah...we'd like to have leave to have this heard with 261 and Mr. Houlihan will handle both of them."



Shea: "The Gentleman asks for leave to  
there objection? Hearing none leave is granted. Read 20

Clerk."

O'Brien: "Senate Bill 261, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois  
Pension Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Houlihan, D. L. on the question."  
Houlihan, D.L.: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the  
House. Senate Bill 260 is the appropriation Bill for Senate Bill  
261 which is the reason that we are asking that these Bills be con-  
sidered by the House together. Senate Bill 261 amends the Chicago  
Public School Teachers Pension and Retirement Fund Article of the  
Pension Code. The Section of the Article which is being amended is  
the retired teachers supplementary payment...ah...section. By way  
of background a pensioner on the rolls as of June 1961 who attained  
the age 60 was granted a minimum pension of amount equal to 15  
hours per year of validated service. Each retired teacher eligible  
for the supplementary benefit was required to make a cash payment  
of \$5.00 per year of validated service. In 1961 an appropriation  
of \$888,000 was voted and each year that appropriation has been re-  
duced. In 1975 the appropriation was \$250,000. Presently we  
have 47 men and 476 women receiving supplementary pension payments  
under this present Section of the statute. Their average age is  
79½ years. Their average service is 27 years and their average  
pension is \$112.00 per month. Now Senate Bill 261 amends this  
Section by increasing the supplementary payment from \$50.00 to  
\$100.00 for each year of service. By way of example, an annuitant  
with 30 years of teaching service would receive a minimum pension  
of \$3,000 per year or \$250.00 per month. Senate Bill...ah...261  
also amends the Section to require single payment from retired  
teachers added to the supplementary payment rolls just as was  
required in the 1961 legislation. The intent of this Bill was to  
provide older retired teachers who went on pension years ago with  
a supplement to their meager pensions. In 1974 the 78th session  
of the General Assembly passed a Bill similar to this to provide  
the same benefits for downstate teachers under Public Act 78.1272  
Senate Bill 260 which is the companion measure has been introduced



GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
STATE OF ILLINOIS  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

JUN 5 1976

to provide the appropriation, the appropriation is \$1,105,000 for the supplemental payments for fiscal 1976. Each year this amount will rapidly decrease because of attrition, both Bills have the support of the Pension Laws Commission, and I urge the support of the Membership."

Shea: "The question is, shall Senate Bills 260 and 261 pass? On the question all those in favor will vote 'aye', those opposed will vote 'nay'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the Record, Mr. Clerk. On this . . . on these questions there are 146 'ayes', 3 'nays', 2 voting 'present'; Senate Bills 260 and 261 having received the constitutional majority are, hereby, declared passed. On the order of Senate Bills, Third Reading, appears Senate Bill 262."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 262, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Pension Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Houlihan, D. L."

Houlihan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Bill 262 also amends the Chicago Teachers Article of the Pension Code. This would increase the maximum survivors' pension for spouse from \$260 to \$300 per month and maximum survivors' pension for spouse and minor children from \$440 to \$500 per month."

Shea: "The question . . ."

Houlihan: ". . . all right, this revision of formula for survivor benefits and deletion of specifications of certain percentage formulas . . . ah . . . as far as the language in it was recommended by the Legislative Reference Bureau. The provisions for the increase benefit of amounts were approved by the Pension Laws Commission in December of last year and their comment, and I have it here, is that this Bill would place the Chicago teachers in conformity with the recommendation submitted for the state employees and downstate te . . . downstate teachers system. I urge the support of the Membership."

Shea: ". . . The question is, shall Senate Bill 262 pass? On the question, all those in favor will vote 'aye', those opposed will vote 'nay'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish?"



APR 5 1975

Take the Record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 156 'ayes', 1 'nay'; Senate Bill 262 having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. Mr. Gaines votes 'aye'. On the order of Senate Bills, Third Reading, appears Senate Bill 295. Take that out of the Record at the Sponsor's request. On the order of Senate Bills appears 316."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 316, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Aeronautics Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Shea: "Is Mr. Richmond on the floor? Is Mr. Richmond on the floor do you know? Take that out of the Record. I'd like to just bring it to the attention of the Members of the House that behind the Speaker's rostrum there is coffee and sandwiches available in the Speaker's corridor. On the order of Senate Bills, Third Reading, appears Senate Bill 318. Is Mr. Keller on the floor? Take that out of the Record. On the order of Senate Bills, 318 . . . er . . . I mean on the order of Senate Bills, Third Reading, appears Senate Bill 321. Is Mr. McPartlin on the floor? On the order of Senate Bills, Third Reading, appears Senate Bill 327. Mr. Tipsword."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 327, a Bill for an Act to amend the Unemployment Compensation Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Christian, Mr. Tipsword."

Tipsword: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen, this is a Bill that would give to the Illinois Department of Revenue the same authority that is presently given under our statutes to the Internal Revenue Service of the United States Government for them to have a list of the employees, I mean, of the employers who pay unemployment compensation within the State of Illinois so they can check that list against that list of withholding contributor employees in the State of Illinois to be sure that all of the withholding tax under the income tax is actually being paid into the state that is withheld from their employees."

Shea: "The question is, shall Senate Bill 327 pass? On the question, all those in favor will vote 'aye', those opposed will vote 'nay'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the Record,



Mr. Clerk. Duff 'aye'. Take the Record. On this question there are 129 'ayes', 5 'nays', 2 Members voting 'present'; Senate Bill 327 having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. On the order of Senate Bills, Third Reading, appears Senate Bill 329. Read the Bill."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 329, a Bill for an Act to amend the Revenue Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Fulton, Mr. Schisler."

Schisler: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, . . . ah . . . Senate Bill 329 simply increases from 20 to 30 days the time that you'd have to file an appeal with the Board of Property Tax Appeal Board, I'd ask for a favorable Roll Call."

Shea: "The question is, shall Senate Bill 329 pass? On the question, all those in favor will vote 'aye', those opposed will vote 'nay'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the Record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 150 'ayes', no 'nays', no 'present'; Senate Bill 329 having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. On the order of Senate Bills, Third Reading, appears Senate Bill 330."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 330, Maragos, a Bill for an Act in relation to Cigarette Tax Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Maragos."

Maragos: "Excuse me, Mr. Speaker, I was eating my hero sandwich . . . ah . . ."

Shea: "Where's the green . . ."

Maragos: ". . . What this Bill does, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, is increase the amount of interest of a stock in any corporation from 1 percent to 5 percent before denying issuance of distributive permit. It is asked by the Department and is a . . . a . . . what it means is what it says, it means that what you have, Mr. Kosinski, is that right now if they'll deny a license or stamp for anybody or corporation that sells cigarettes they have to say the loss is 1 percent, but it's a very difficult amount because there is no control in the 1 percent, they want to increase it to 5 percent, which is still another control and that's



. . . ah . . . and the Department of Revenue has asked for this, and I think it's a good Bill."

Shea: "The question is, shall Senate Bill 330 pass? On the question, the Gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Skinner."

Skinner: "This is one of those Revenue Committee Bills that come through and you think is noncontroversial and you start asking yourself well why in the devil was the law passed in the first place? Well, I know that a number of the vending machine companies in the Chicago area . . . ah . . . are supposedly connected with the Underworld, at least according to the Chicago Crime Commission. There was no ethnic slur there, I don't think, and I just wonder if the Sponsor knows if that had anything to do with it . . . with the original 1 percent fee . . ."

Shea: "Mr. . . . Mr. Skinner, you made a statement and spoke on the Bill, you can't ask a question after that."

Skinner: "There was a comma, Sir, and all that was in quotes."

Shea: "Is there any further discussion."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, are you denying my right to ask a question after having laid the background for that question . . . that the Sponsor might know what I was talking about?"

Shea: "No, Sir. Sir, I'm trying to follow the rules of the House. The rules are you may ask questions and then speak on the Bill. You decided to speak on the Bill first, I'm obligated . . ."

Skinner: "Well, live and learn."

Shea: "Is there any further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Maragos moves that Senate Bill 330 pass. All those in favor will vote 'aye', those opposed will vote 'nay'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Maragos, you wish to explain your vote?"

Maragos: "I wanted to close, Mr. Speaker, but the . . . in answer to the question that Mr. Skinner . . . the 1 percent of 5 percent is not any different, it's just the fact that whatever . . . ah . . . 5 percent is not any more control than 1 percent is proportionately to the total picture, and it means that therefore if . . . ah . . . there's real minority stockholders in a corporation that have no



JUN 5 1975

interest in that regard, they . . . they would have . . . it would have 5 percent or 1 percent. So I think it's just the fact they don't have to go digging and take up extra time to find a very minute stockholder in this particular corporation as it went around."

Shea: "Have all voted who wish? Take the Record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 110 'ayes', 12 'nays', 37 Members voting 'present'. Senate Bill 330 having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. On the order of Senate Bills, Third Reading, appears Senate Bill 338."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 338, a Bill for an Act in relation to the use of certified mail by Department of Revenue. Third Reading of the Bill."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Stubblefield."

Stubblefield: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, this Bill very simple allows, it's permissive, and allows the Revenue Department in sending notices to do so by certified mail with the return receipt requested and this . . . ah . . . could be, I believe, about a .70 cents saving for mailing and . . . ah . . . it would be a way to save some money for the taxpayers of the State of Illinois. An Amendment was attached . . . ah . . . sponsored by Representative Epton and to explain the provision of that Amendment, I'd like to defer to him if it's permissible."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Epton, on the Amendment."

Epton: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen, as I previously indicated Representative Stubblefield is gracious enough to allow this Amendment to be placed on. When the Circuit Court of Cook County confiscates the cigarettes which have been purchased illegally, they store them in some place until they've become stale and worthless, in the mean time some of the charitable institutions have found that they have been able to utilize these cigarettes and, therefore, this Amendment simply allows the Department of Revenue to turn over the cigarettes to any charitable institution. It's . . . ah . . . it was to be to advise the Department of Revenue and meets their approval and I hope that you



JUN 5 1975

will concur in the passage of this Bill."

Shea: "The question is, shall Senate Bill 338 pass? All those in favor will vote 'aye', those opposed will vote 'nay'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the Record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 151 'ayes', no 'nays', 2 Members voting 'present'. Senate Bill 338 having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. On the order of Senate Bills, Third Reading, appears Senate Bill 349."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 349, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Lottery Act . . . Law. Third Reading of the Bill."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Terzich."

Terzich: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, I would like to have permission to hear . . . ah . . . Senate Bill 352 . . . ah . . . together with 349 since they are companion Bills."

Shea: "The question is, may the Gentleman hear Senate Bill 352 with 349? Is there objection? Objection? Hearing none, leave is granted. Read 352, Mr. Clerk."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 352, a Bill for an Act to amend the Currency Exchange Law. Third Reading of the Bill."

Terzich: "Ah . . . Senate Bills 349 and 352 amends the Currency Exchange Law and the Lottery Law which will permit currency exchanges to sell lottery tickets pursuant to the Illinois . . . ah . . . Lottery Law. The Department of Revenue supports this Bill which should increase sales, it should be noted that the legislation does not permit the Currency Exchanges to sell tickets, but rather allows them to apply for a license to sell these lottery tickets. I urge your support."

Shea: "The question is, shall these Bills pass? On the question, the Gentleman from Cook, or the Lady from Cook, Miss Willer."

Willer: "Will the Sponsor yield to a question?"

Terzich: "I'll try."

Willer: "Ah . . . There won't be any restrictions on the . . . ah . . . currency exchanges who are allowed to handle these once if they apply and then they get the license, it will be all currency exchanges, would it not, or would there be something . . . ah . . ."



JUN 5 1970

restricting those who belong only to the Currency Exchange Association."

Terzich: "No, what it amounts to is that these . . . this was at the request of the Department of Revenue to extend the sales of lottery tickets and it was in their original idea to expand the sales of these tickets when the Bill was originally enacted . . . ah . . . in the Legislature and all this does is simply allow them to apply, it doesn't . . . ah . . . they still have to be approved by the Department of Revenue."

Willer: "So the Department itself will then deal with them, no, there will . . . no . . . not be any mediary."

Terzich: "None, whatsoever., all it does is allows them to apply the same as the store or . . . ah . . . whatever anyone else can apply now."

Shea: "Is there any further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Washington."

Washington: "Will the Sponsor yield to a question?"

Terzich: "Right-o."

Washington: "Ah . . . Why did they pick currency exchanges, do you know, Mr. Terzich?"

Terzich: "Ah . . . No, I . . . let's see, the only . . . like I say, Representative Washington, it was the . . . ah . . . purpose was to authorize currency exchanges to become licensed agents by . . . ah . . . this department to sell lottery tickets. Now, they have gone to the different areas and it's simply to expand, I believe, the revenue for the . . . the lottery. Now, . . ."

Washington: "Yeah, the reason, I ask is because most currency exchanges, not all, but most currency exchanges are located in relatively . . . ah . . . impoverished neighborhoods, that's a fact, and I was wondering if they were attempting to get to the lower income market?"

Terzich: "No, because they're sold in supermarkets, they're sold in . . . ah . . . I . . . I don't know, liquor stores, candy stores, drug stores, and . . . magazine salesmen can sell them, so . . . ah . . . it doesn't . . . ah . . . mother and father, it's simply



another outlet, that's all it is, Representative."

Washington: "Yeah, may I speak briefly?"

Shea: "Proceed, Sir."

Washington: "It is an additional outlet, there is no question about it, and I certainly support the lottery Bill and I supported it all along and I hope they're successful, but I'm a bit concerned about this Bill for two reasons, I . . . I'm not particularly concerned about the thrust to get lower income people to buy lottery tickets if they want them, but I think there's a cleaning up that needs to be done in this industry, . . . ah . . . the case has been made on the floor of the House four and five times. I don't want to go into it again, but until . . . until such times as . . . as some things are worked out in that industry, I don't think we should be part and partial of a movement to expand their potential market and sources of income, so I'm going to vote 'present' on this Bill."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, or from Dupage, Mr. LaFluer, on a question."

LaFluer: "Mr. Speaker, it wasn't a question, but on the Revenue Committee when this was heard, I thought I would answer Harold that because of the law now, it takes explicit law to make it possible that . . . ah . . . currency exchanges can sell lottery tickets and that was merely the request and the request of the Department that this provision be granted, that they may have the ability to do it. It isn't a 'shall', it's a 'may', if they so desire they may sell lottery tickets if this Bill is passed."

Shea: "On the question, the Gentleman from Franklin, Mr. Hart, now in his own seat."

Hart: "Thank you very much, I would like to ask the Majority Leader a question. There are two Bills here, under the provisions of the 1970 Constitution, wouldn't it . . . would now be permissible to have these two incorporated into one Bill?"

Shea: "As I understand the Constitution, the subject matter of all except the appropriation Bills, you're not required to have just one subject matter on any Bill anymore."



5 1975

Hart: "Well, I know you have great influence with the Legislative Reference Bureau and maybe . . . ah . . . over the summer we could work out something where requests like this come in, they could put them in one Bill and we could cut down some of the criticism for all the number of Bills we file, when actually there . . . there really aren't that many."

Shea: "On the question, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Miller."

Miller: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, will the Sponsor yield?"

Shea: "He indicates he will."

Miller: "Under the . . . ah . . . present lottery law, Representative Terzich, are there any other . . . ah . . . facilities that are excluded from selling lottery tickets today?"

Terzich: "I believe they are . . . I think . . . ah . . . the . . . the present provision was those . . . those . . . ah . . . industries under the Retail Occupational Tax, but what they are, I really don't know."

Miller: "Would a bank . . . ah . . . be excluded from selling lottery tickets?"

Terzich: "I believe, yes, they are excluded under Federal law, that . . . I . . . was brought up in Committee."

Miller: "Thank you."

Shea: "On the question, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Palmer."

Palmer: "Ah . . . Just a short question, ambu . . . under 252, ambulatory currency exchange, and I assume ambulatory meaning vehicle, . . . ah . . . could sell lottery tickets, am I correct on that, 252?"

Terzich: "On 252, or . . . were on 352?"

Palmer: "I'm sorry, 352, is the one that I'm on, under 352."

Terzich: "I really don't know, Representative."

Palmer: "Well, it . . . it reads that way that an ambulatory . . . ah . . . currency exchange are not restricted or limited from . . ."

Terzich: "Well, I would think that if that's what it says, that's what it is, but if they say that's the whole Bill . . ."

Palmer: "Yeah, so then . . ."

Terzich: ". . . it simply applies that the . . . it just let's them



JUN 5 1975

apply to sell the tickets, the Department of Revenue does not have to grant them the . . . the right to sell them . . ."

Palmer: "I understand the Bill is not . . . that's correct, they perhaps would be granted that right, but do you think it's in sound public policy that ambulatory vehicles or vehicles should go down the streets selling lottery tickets?"

Terzich: "Well, it all depends on how you interpret it. Look, if the . . . if the neighborhood store or the newsstand or . . . ah . . . a drug store, or mom and pa', what difference does it make, they're available at the present time?"

Palmer: "Yeah, all right, so you . . ."

Terzich: ". . . So if you want to go down to the corner store, you can . . . lottery ticket or . . ."

Shea: "Mr. Palmer, Mr. Terzich, may I remind you about the rules of the House, you can ask questions and receive answers, but no colloquy, please."

Palmer: "Joe, just a final remark that you could perhaps have two Good Humor men on the street."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Ewell."

Ewell: "Mr. Speaker, . . . ah . . . Ladies and Gentlemen, I'd like to point out this Bill deals with currency exchanges. We had a very difficult time with currency exchange Bill in the Senate, the Senate has had a policy of ignoring the wishes of the House, not even accommodate in the least, no matter what our desires and wishes are. Now, here you have an example of an industry that's reaching for a bit of the pie. They are one of those industries that's all in and nothing out. Ah . . . We pointed out some of the serious abuses in this particular industry and here again they now want to profit at the expense of the state, and I'd like to point out that currency exchanges again are those type of agencies which do proliferate for the most part in poor areas of the city; and I'd like to say that by ignoring the regulation, I think that what we ought to do, there is no great emergency for this. If we would just saw to hold this Bill around until the currency exchange industry came around to look into their own abuses, I



JUN 5 1915

96.

think we might be better suited; but our policy of passing out of the House pell-mell any Bill for any cause for any reason that comes over from the Senate is ridiculous. They have no respect for us, for our wishes and they will continue to do so simply because of our policy of passing any type of legislation they wish simply because they ask for it. I think we ought to hold this Bill or at least I, for one, am going to vote against it at this time, not that I'm merely into the idea."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Macon, Mr. Borchers."

Borchers: "Mr. Speaker and fellow Members of the House, I've gone out of my way in the past to go and observe the people who cash their checks in currency exchanges, and I think that by far of the law, by far and large, they are the social security, the old, and welfare, and I feel by . . . by putting this power, this right to sell into these areas, we would be taking away and contributing to the loss of monies to people who need it and people whose families are in dire need. I don't think we should grant this privilege . . . privilege. I think I agree fairly with what was said by Representative Ewell, so I would say vote 'no' when the time comes."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Peters."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Shea: "The question is, shall the main question be put? All those in favor will say 'aye', those opposed 'nay'; the 'ayes' have it. Back to Mr. Terzich to close on these two Bills."

Terzich: "Yes, Mr. . . . Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I realize just because this Bill has currency exchange put on it, it's supposed to be taboo. I personally have voted against those currency exchange Bills, but I certainly do not see anything wrong with these particular Bills. It was presented by the Department of Revenue, the money that goes to the state does go to a worthy cause, these tickets are available to the corner store, the Good Humor man or anywhere else; and all this simply does is . . . it expands the sale and it just gives them the right to apply and if anyone thinks that they're gonna' make a lot of money on .2½ cents a ticket, . . . ah . . . God bless them;



JUN 5 1975

97.

but . . . ah . . . I have voted against those exchange Bills; and I personally I don't see anything wrong with these Bills and I would appreciate your support."

Shea: "The question is, shall these two Bills pass? On the question, all those in favor will vote 'aye', those opposed will vote 'nay'; to explain his vote, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, in explaining my vote, it seems to me that there ought to be some limitation on how far we go in encouraging the purchase of lottery tickets. This Bill makes as much sense as authorizing employers to make employee deduction for the purchase of lottery tickets; and I'm voting 'no', and would encourage others to do likewise."

Shea: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Maragos, to explain his vote."

Maragos: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to point out here that the Department of Revenue at the present time allows . . . has standards of criteria before it issues a permit to anybody to sell these lottery tickets. They want more distribution and many people like to get them besides the stores, and there's other forms of gambling going on in our society and if we're going to give any revenue to the State of Illinois, it's just another form of getting additional revenue, when the . . . when there is a spread of other private sources which may be more mysterious and more . . . more cut-throat in si . . . society in the first place. All this saying is that the particular entity does want to apply for it, they still have to meet all the standards that we have in the lottery law today; and I think this is a good Bill, it gives us an opportunity for additional people to become involved in this procedure and I ask for your 'aye' vote."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Berman, to explain his vote."

Berman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I stand up in support of this Bill. I . . . something bothers me about a whole series of these Bills, and appears that some people are trying to carry on a vendetta



against an entire industry, and I think that's a . . . that is not justified. All this Bill is doing is allowing currency exchanges to apply to sell lottery tickets. Now, when those applications come in, if there are individual currency exchanges that have been violating the public trusts or their system of operation, then appear there and object to them getting the license, but I don't think that there should be any reason to eliminate an entire industry from the opportunity which is granted by our laws to almost anybody else who has the . . . who has the mere sales tax number to sell . . . to sell or to apply for the permission to sell lottery; and I don't think everybody in this business ought to be put into one category of M.G.; and that's what's been discussed on this floor time and time again. There are plenty of honest, hard working, conscientious, small, honest people running currency exchanges. I think they ought to have this opportunity to sell lottery tickets and don't condemn the whole industry because of a few people that may have given them a bad name. All we're asking for here in this Bill is this opportunity to apply . . . to apply to sell lottery tickets; and I would like to see a few more green lights."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Washington, would now like to explain his vote."

Washington: "Very briefly, Mr. Speaker, . . . ah . . . there is nothing vindictive against the currency exchange industry in the State of Illinois. We have attempted over a period of the past two or three weeks to simply make out a fair, objective case to the basic proposition that the industry as such has realized a tremendous amount of unjust enrichment at the expense of poor people, let me repeat, that they have become unjustly enriched at the expense of poor people. We illustrated time after time that the going rate that they're getting for the cashing of checks is 1 percent, that's \$3 on a \$300 welfare check or workman's compensation check or . . . or a social security check or a pensioner's check. These are the kind of people that are being hurt; and we feel that the industry in doing this has been unconscionable in its attitude towards



people. Now, I, for one, want the currency exchanges to thrive and grow and be a . . . tremendously strong institution in my community but I don't want them to take all the action, all the money, leave a little bit for the folks to live on. Now, if we turn around and permit them to gain additional revenue at our behalfs, we're not blocking them, they've already been blocked by existing law, on top of the obvious case we have made against these people, it seems to me to be totally unfair. I think this Bill should be defeated, I think that the industry should make it clear that they're going to address themselves to the legitimate questions that have been raised on this House floor and supported by this House, and at that time, 6 months, a year, we can come back and reconsider the question of their application so that they can sell our lottery tickets. I'm not going to get into the social disorganization, that sort of thing, I'm simply saying we shouldn't be part and partial of supporting an industry which has demonstrated they simply doesn't realize it's vested with the public interest and it's abusing poor people; and I very strongly urge you to vote anything other than 'yes'."

Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Duff, to explain his vote."

Duff: "Well, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I don't think this Bill should necessarily be defeated, but the currency exchange people right now are trying as hard as they can to kill a Bill that we sent over to the Senate to limit the amount that could be charged for a public aid check; and it seems to me that if the Senate passes that Bill, then we ought to pass this Bill; and if the Senate doesn't pass this Bill, then we ought to kill this Bill. Now, I think the Sponsor ought to hold it on Postponed Consideration until we see what happens."

Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Terzich."

Terzich: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I personally in my five years in the Legislature never put in a Bill that hurted poor people, and I'd let your conscience be your guide on this Bill and I'd like to have Postponed Consideration."

Bradley: "The Gentleman asks for leave to have it placed on Postponed



Consideration. Does he have leave? Leave being granted, this Bill will be placed on Postponed Consideration. Senate Bill 350."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 350, a Bill for an Act to amend the Impoundment and Disposition of Stray Animals' Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Bradley: "The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Deuster."

Deuster: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, . . . ah . . ."

Bradley: "Pardon me, Mr. Deuster, we'll place both of those Bills, the last two Bills, on Postponed Consideration with leave of the House. Leave being granted, both Bills, Mr. Clerk. Go ahead, Mr. Deuster, on 350."

Deuster: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Bill 350 amends the Impoundment and Disposition of Stray Animals' Act to bring in compliance or conformity with the Animal Control Act which we passed . . . ah . . . last . . . in 1973. Ah . . . Actually the law used to be when you had a dog that bit somebody, it was automatically confined in the . . . in the animal pound for 10 days at the owners expense, there was no option or no . . . ah . . . no other way to handle it. Then in 1973, Representative Capparelli handled and sponsored the Animal Control Act which provided that normally when a person has been bitten by a dog, the dog would be confined or another animal, the animal would be confined, but where there was evidence that the dog or the animal had been innoculated against rabies, why in the discretion of the administrator of the animal control program, it could be confined in the owners, home if the veterinarian or the administrator . . . ah . . . thought that that was safe and . . . ah . . . so Senate Bill 350 conforms the Impoundment of Animals' Act and had not changed to bring it in line with the Animal Control Act so that now the law is . . . now would be uniform. I'd be happy to answer any questions, I urge a favorable vote on Senate Bill 350."

Bradley: "Any discussion, the question is, shall House Bill . . .

Senate Bill 350 pass? All those in favor will signify by voting



JUN 5 1975

101.

'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. All voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the Record. On this question there are 116 'ayes', 3 'nays', 5 voting 'present'; the Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. House Bill or Senate Bill 351."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 351, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act for the assessment and taxation of private car-line companies. Third Reading of the Bill."

Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Kelly."

Kelly: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, House Bill 351 . . . ah . . . merely changes the title of the Department of Revenue to the Department of Local Governmental Affairs. I ask for your favorable support."

Bradley: "Any discussion? The question is, shall Senate Bill 351 pass? All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'; Have all voted who wish? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Miller, Tom Miller. Have all voted who wish? On this . . . the Clerk will take the Record. On this question there are 119 'ayes', 1 voting 'no', 6 'present'; this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. Senate Bill 357."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 357, . . ."

Bradley: "Just a minute . . ."

Jack O'Brien: ". . . Beaupre . . ."

Bradley: ". . . Is Mr. Beaupre on the floor? Mr. Beaupre on the floor, take it out of the Record. 390."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 390, Farley, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act to revise the law in relation to private employment agencies. Third Reading of the Bill."

Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Farley."

Farley: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Bill 390 amends the Private Employment Agencies Act by qualifying the present definition of employment agencies. What we're talking about here is first of all changes most of the paragraph that defines employment agencies so that the term



June 5 1975

encompasses more specifically the following: 'Individual seeking work or workers for employers when liability for payment of any fee to any such person becomes a liability of the individual seeking employment or an individual that gains a job due to services performed by such person, or the fee charged is contingent upon actual employment of an individual due to services performed by such person'. The term 'employment agency' in this Bill excludes any person engaged in business as a management consultant or a management executive recruiter in situations such as when such person receives agreed upon progress fees as the search continues regardless whether the assignment is successfully completed, when the salary for such position is not less than \$20,000 per year, when the individual is in no way liable for any part of a fee to the management consultant or management executive recruiter, or when the management and when the management consultant or management executive recruiter performs no activity designed for employment agencies in this section. This is the Bill that was called Monday that Representative Katz asked me to hold so that Representative Pierce could talk to me about it as far as an Amendment. I did talk to Representative Pierce and . . . ah . . . we did decide that . . . ah . . . the Amendment that he had to offer to this Bill . . . ah . . . I explained that I didn't think was necessary or pertinent to this Bill. Ah . . . We then called the Bill again night before last at which time Mr. Mann had a question about one of his constituents which I think I answered as far as Representative Mann's constituent is concerned. Some of you have received telegrams or correspondence on this particular Bill about professional psychologists. Professional psychologists would not be effected by this Bill or have to be licensed in that they are not in the Employment Agency Act because they do not in fact place people. What they do is consult or they council and are hired by a particular company to do so. I did pass around a Bill analysis that the Illinois State Chamber of Commerce put together. I do appreciate everybody's consideration in this matter, and I would appreciate a favorable Roll Call."



MAY 5 1975

Bradley: "Further . . . the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Bradley: "He indicates that he will."

Leverenz: "Is it true that any current management consultant that operates on a contingency could then not operate any longer because of the progress fees clause on page 1, thus, eliminate a number of firms from the business and this would serve a executive recruitment market of about 21 firms?"

Farley: "Would you repeat that please, I was getting the Bill out, you referred to a certain page?"

Leverenz: "Page 1."

Farley: "Right."

Leverenz: "I believe it's line 24, and the question is, there are a number of management consultant firms that operate on contingency fees, by that I mean, they approach an employer and instead of working on a retainer basis and receiving progress fees, they make the placement and are only paid after the placement is made. The employer incurs no expenses until the employer is satisfied and hired an individual. I understand in reading this Bill that it would eliminate those firms from this marketplace and thus serve about 21 firms to my knowledge that deal on a retainer fee basis and do not guarantee that the employer will get an individual satisfied by him."

Farley: "Well, that is . . . that is currently the law, the management consultant or the management executive recruiter by law now can obtain fees on a contingency basis whether or not that individual is in fact placed with that employment agent or that employer. That is the present law and that would not preclude an employment agency from executive recruitment, but would restrict the executive recruiter or management consultant from being in the employment agency business."

Leverenz: "The second question, any accounting firm that has an executive recruiting department or a group that places a person under a \$20,000 salary level with one of their client firms namely they may recruit an accountant for a \$16,000 salary level would they



APR 5 1975

now have to obtain an employment agency license?"

Farley: "An accounting firm is in the business to do accounting work for a particular company. If a division of that accounting firm is also in the business of placing people, then that . . . then in fact they would have to be a licensed employment agency if they dealt under \$20,000."

Leverenz: "Mr. Speaker, let me speak to this Bill at this point."

Bradley: "Proceed, Sir."

Leverenz: "It's my knowledge and I tried to work out an agreement with the Sponsor of the Bill to exclude these parts and I understand the Illinois Certified Accountants Society is in opposition to the Bill, the Illinois Employment Association is in opposition to the Bill. I cannot support a Bill that would benefit a handful of businesses and eliminate competition. Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Davis."

Davis: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, I'd like to ask a question, if the Gentleman would yield?"

Bradley: "He indicates that he'll yield, Sir."

Davis: "The Act as it is now written is all inclusive. I don't understand why you want to take some people out. Why do you want to take some people out of the Act?"

Farley: "Well, Representative Davis, the Act as it now reads excludes management consultants and executive recruiter research . . . or executive recruiter firms. They are already at the present time excluded from the Act. What we're dealing with is the tightening of the language as far as employment agencies. When we're talking about tightening up the definition of employment agencies, we're trying to bring into the licensing area those people that would act outside of that licensed activity and actually end up not doing a service to the people that are legitimately seeking a job or the people that come to them and want to be placed in a particular . . . ah . . . company. I . . . they are already excluded."

Davis: "I'm referring to the language . . . if . . . the synopsis is right which says specifically excludes from the definition management consultant, executive recruiters under specified conditions. Why



JUN 5 1975

105.

do they want them excluded?"

Farley: "They are already excluded, Representative."

Davis: "Then why is it necessary to pass this Bill then?"

Farley: "Because of the language of the Employment Agencies Practicing Act was originally drafted loosely and employment agencies would in fact act not in behalf of those people that are legitimately seeking em . . . employment, but act and circumvent the licensing procedure. They would be acting outside of the law in that they would be acting as management consultants and in fact placing people in different job capacities and not be licensed. This Bill, the language that we're addressing ourselves to tightens the definition, makes it more reputable as far as an employment agency and as far as the activity of a consultant, a management consultant firm. It's a good piece of legislation."

Davis: "I still don't understand it, I guess I . . ."

Bradley: "Further discussion? The Gentleman to close."

Unknown: "Mr. Collins has a question."

Bradley: "Oh, I'm sorry, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Collins."

Collins: "Well, Mr. Speaker, . . . ah . . . apparently there is some confusion concerning this Bill and I . . . I have some of them myself, but if I understand the Sponsor correctly and he can correct me if I'm wrong. That this Bill does is merely to tighten up the requirements for licensing in this area to bring people under a more definitive control in the area of employment agencies. It seems to me that this is good legislation. It's an attempt to exert the type of control that the state wishes to . . . to extend into this field whereby people who should be licensed as . . . ah . . . as . . . under the Employment Agency Act will be and people will not be able to operate in a . . . in a fly-by-night fashion . . . ah . . . without coming under the . . . ah . . . the license act . . . activities of . . . or scrutiny of the state. It seems to me that the Sponsor here is attempting to tighten it up and make sure the people operating in this field are operating in a responsible manner. I don't think he . . . I don't think that it's an attempt, I'm sure it's not



JUN 5 1915

106.

an attempt to exclude anybody. I think . . . I know that it . . . that it is an attempt to bring more people under the supervision of the state agency and I think that this is desirable. I think that this is good legislation and I would hope that the House would support this Bill."

Bradley: "Further discussion? If not, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Farley, to close."

Farley: "Well, Mr. Collins expressed it absolutely correctly. This is good legislation. We are trying to tighten up the Act and I would appreciate a favorable vote."

Bradley: "The question is, shall Senate Bill 390 pass? All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will . . . Duff 'aye', Stearney 'aye', . . . ah . . . Fleck 'aye' . . . on this question there are 1 . . . take the . . . the Clerk will take the Record. On this question there are 128, I believe, 'ayes', 2 voting 'no', 12 'present'; this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. House Bill 4 . . . Senate Bill 432."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 432, Harold Washington, . . ."

Bradley: "We had Fleck 'aye' on that last Bill. Is Mr. Washington on the floor? Mr. Washington. Take it out of the Record. Senate Bill 434."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 434, Telcser . . ."

Bradley: "Is Mr. Telcser on the floor? Mr. Telcser? Take it out of the Record. House . . . Senate Bill 449."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 449, Kelly, . . ."

Bradley: "Take it out of the Record at the request of the Sponsor. 458."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 458 . . ."

Bradley: "Mr. Jones here?"

Jack O'Brien: ". . . J. D. Jones . . ."

Bradley: "Mr. Jones on the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: ". . . there he is . . ."

Bradley: "There he is."



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

JUN 5 1975

107.

Jack O'Brien: ". . . a Bill for an Act in relation to the American Bicentennial."

Bradley: "Read the Bill."

Jack O'Brien: "Third Reading of the Bill."

Bradley: "The Gentleman from Sangamon, Mr. D. . . . David J. Jones."

Jones: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, this is to allow municipalities to use some of their funds for . . . on a massive with a projects for the Bicentennial for local governments . . . ah . . . it's a permissive legislation so that . . . and it has a cut-off date . . . ah . . . January 1, 1977, and it's needed so that proper observance of the Nation's 200th Birthday can be done in the proper order by local communities and I . . . I solicit your support."

Bradley: "Further discussion? If not, the question is, shall Senate Bill 458 pass? All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the Record. On this question there are 131 'ayes', 1 'no', 2 voting 'present'; this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. Senate Bill 490."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 490, Terzich, . . ."

Bradley: "Mr. Terzich on the floor? Terzich? ~~Take it out of the~~ Record. 496. Senate Bill 496."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 496, Grotberg, out of the Record."

Bradley: "Out of the Record. Senate Bill 511."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 511, Shea. . . ."

Bradley: "Representative Shea, he wants . . . take it out of the Record."

Jack O'Brien: "Here he is."

Bradley: "Oop, there he is."

Jack O'Brien: "A Bill for an Act making appropriations to the State Comptroller. Third Reading of the Bill."

Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is the state officers salary Bill and I'd appreciate a favorable vote of the House."



JUN 5 1975

Bradley: "Any discussion? If not, the question is, shall Senate Bill 511 pass? All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the Record. On this question there are 134 'ayes', none voting 'present', none vote . . . no 'nos', none 'present'; this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. House Bill 5 . . . Senate Bill 518."

Jack O'Brein: "Senate Bill 518, Maragos, a Bill for an Act to amend the Revenue Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Maragos."

Maragos: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, this . . . ah . . . Bill allows for areas that are cities of 100,000,000 or more, of a 1,000,000 or more to require the notice and opportunity to be heard on a proposed non-quadrennial increase be previous to the final certification. What this does really gives the taxpayer an additional opportunity to know that his . . . ah . . . assessments or whatever it may be are gonna' be increased before it is locked in and the extension of a tax Bill. Any extension and an entry upon the assessment which does not constitute an assessment until the assessment is verified, and it provides that any assessor may provide for the file and complaint and make revision at times other than those dates published pursuant to Section 98 of the Act. But . . . ah . . . it gives additional notice, as I say, because many times unless there's proper notice, the taxpayers do not have an advantage to know that the tax, the property, the tax groups is being increased and therefore it . . . ah . . . submits a . . . a possible, I mean, a more additional opportunity for the taxpayer to know what's going on. It passed the Revenue Committee 20 to nothing."

Bradley: "Any discussion? If not, the question is, shall Senate Bill 518 pass? All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the Record. On this question there are 127 'ayes', 1 'no', none voting 'present'; this Bill having



JAN 5 1915

received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed.  
Senate Bill 520."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 520, Terzich, a Bill for an Act to amend  
the Chicago Sanitary District Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Terzich."

Terzich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, may I hear Senate Bill 490, I was . . . ah  
. . . on my way in here and you've just passed it up."

Bradley: "We will come back to it and we'll call it."

Terzich: "Well, I just had a cup of coffee spilled on all my papers and  
I . . ."

Bradley: "We . . . we'd better to go to Senate Bill 590."

Terzich: "All right, well, that's what the coffee is on."

Bradley: "Do you want to take it out of the Record?"

Terzich: "Better."

Bradley: "We'd better take it out of the Record until the coffee gets  
wiped up. Senate Bill . . . take that out of the Record, Mr.  
Clerk . . . Senate Bill 522."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 522, Malloy, out of the Record."

Bradley: "Out of the Record? Take it out of the Record. 527."

Jack O'Brein: "Senate Bill 527, Capparelli, a Bill for an Act to  
provide for reimbursement for reduced transit fares and fares sup-  
ported for special transportation services for the handicapped.  
Third Reading of the Bill."

Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Capparelli, if no coffee has  
seeped over to your desk."

Capparelli: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen, Senate Bill 527  
is . . . ah . . . the reimbursement for reduced fares and fares  
for support of special transit service for the handicapped. Ah  
. . . It provides reimbursement for carriers providing reduced  
rates for the handicapped . . . ah . . . up to .25 cents for  
passenger or one half of the regular adult fare plus transfer or  
which is least; and I would ask for an affirmative Roll Call."

Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Would the Sponsor yield?"

Bradley: "He indicates that he will."



The appearance of the   1   images

following this page is due to

**Poor Quality Original Documents**

**and not the scanning or filming processes.**

**Com Microfilm Company  
(217) 525-5860**

Schlickman: "I notice that this Bill was reported out of Committee 110-110-110  
a very narrow margin of 7 to 6. There is accompanying  
accompanying appropriation's Bill in the amount of \$1,000,000  
. . . ah . . . can you tell me where Senate Bill 528 is.  
appropriation's Bill?"

Capparelli: "Ah . . . That's come over from the Senate, it's  
D.O.T. appropriation, there'll be \$1,000,000 appropriated  
that, yes."

Schlickman: "But the Appropriation's Committee has not acted  
appropriation have they?"

Capparelli: "I can't answer that, Gene, but I . . . I'm assured  
Mr. Egan that it will be put into the D.O.T. appropriation"

Schlickman: "Well, Mr. Speaker, the past practice of this House  
Speaker, . . ."

Bardley: "Pardon me, Sir."

Schlickman: ". . . the past practice of this House has been  
substantive Bills until the accompanying appropriation's  
joined it on the Calendar. Ah . . . I would suggest that  
ah . . . past practice supports . . . ah . . . my suggestion  
this Bill be pulled out of the Record. If it passes and  
to the Governor's office and there hasn't been an accompanying  
appropriation's Bill, it will be for naught. ah . . ."

Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shea."

Shea: "Well, the appropriation's Bill are all exempt under  
and what happens is that some of these Bills get stalled  
we're moving along pretty good, let's pass the Bills, work  
out and down to the Governor's desk, the appropriation's  
pass, let the Governor veto the Bills."

Schlickman: "Well, I would suggest, Mr. Speaker, if I may, that  
think we're in . . . should be in that much of a hurry  
have until next week or the week after to pass this Bill  
do think we ought to hear from the Appropriation's Committee  
as to whether or not this is a program that should be  
and funded."

Capparelli: "Gene, it's going to be funded by the Federal Government"



anyway and . . . ah . . . there's really no problem. We've done this before . . . ah . . . if you want me to pull it out, I would, but there's no sense in it. Ah . . . It's coming over, it's gonna' be in the regular appropriation. I'd like to ask for a Roll Call and see what we can get."

Bradley: "Further discussion? If not, the question is, shall . . . the Gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Skinner."

Skinner: "I got to ask a question first, right?"

Bradley: "Do you want to ask a question of the Sponsor, Sir?"

Skinner: "May I?"

Bradley: "He indicates he'll yield."

Skinner: "Ah . . . Why do we need this in R.T.A. vote?"

Capparelli: "This is for the handicapped."

Skinner: "I believe one . . . isn't one of the specific mandates of the R.T.A. Act . . ."

Capparelli: "Only on off peak hours."

Skinner: "On . . . that's in the Act?"

Capparelli: "Yeah, right, the National Subsistence Act, it only says that . . . the disabled right now they give the . . . ah . . . reversed the fares for those on the off-peak hours. This will mean this Bill will give them that prerogative all day long."

Skinner: "I'm . . . I'm not sure whether you're mixing the C.T.A. with the R.T.A. or not . . . ah . . . I was talking about the . . ."

Capparelli: "I'm not mixed up, now."

Skinner: "I was . . . I was talking about the R.T.A. Act and the purpose is enumerated in it and I . . . well, I'm very confused. I don't know why one would need both."

Bradley: "Mr. Skinner, were you asking a question of the Chair originally, did you have to ask a question first? You can speak on the Bill without asking a question, if that was the question that you asked."

Skinner: "No, no, thank you."

Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Barnes."

Barnes: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker and Members



JUN 5 1975

of the House, just a little clarification. This is a state-wide program. It has no application directly to the R.T.A. or any other transportation carrier or mass-transit district in the state unless they conform with the guidelines that sit out in the Program and then apply to the Department of Transportation for reimbursements thereof. So in terms of the direct correlation as such, it has no direct correlation with the R.T.A., C.T.A. or any other mass carrier unless they implement a program of this type, then apply for reimbursement, meet all the prerequisites and then would be reimbursed . . . reimbursed through apparatus set out in this program. It's a very good program and should be passed."

Bradley: "Further discussion? If not, the Gentleman wish to close? Mr. Capparelli to close."

Capparelli: "I would just like an affirmative Roll Call. Thank you."

Bradley: "The question is, shall Senate Bill 527 pass? All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the . . . the Clerk will take the Record. On this question we have 135 'ayes', 2 'nos', 6 voting 'present'; this Bill having received the constitutional ma'ority is, hereby, declared passed. Senate Bill 601. Ralph Dunn, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

Dunn: "Ah . . . I . . . I'd like to rise on a matter of personal privilege and to make an introduction of a former Member of this House. Ah . . . A good long time friend of mine, he's served four terms in the House, and then he went across the rotunda. In spite of that, he's still a great guy and a good friend of ours, Gordon Kerr, standing right behind me."

Bradley: "The Gentleman from Marion, Mr. Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Senator Kerr is the only Senator that served in the Illinois Senate from Kentucky."

Bradley: "Back to Senate Bill 527. The . . . Senate Bill 601, did we read it, Mr. Clerk?"

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 601, Richmond, a Bill for an Act to amend the Weights and Measurements Act. Third Reading of the Bill."



JUN 5 1913

113.

Bradley: "The Gentleman from Jackson, Mr. Richmond."

Richmond: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

Senate Bill 601 amends the Weights and Measures Act to . . . and it removes the position of Deputy Director of Weights and Measures and authorizes the Director to appoint persons to represent him. It provides for such an appointed representative to have several . . . certain powers and duties when acting under instructions of the Director and makes it a misdemeanor to impersonate or obstruct appointees and special sealers in performance of their duties. The Department of Agriculture has been recognized . . . has been reorganized and after July the 1st, the Division of Feeds, Fertilizers and Standards will go out of existence. The Superintendent of Division is by statute responsible for regulating weights and measures. The Senate . . . ah . . . this is a Senate Bill, of course, and it passed in the Senate 44 to nothing. Ah . . . If there are any questions, I will attempt to answer them."

Shea: "Is there any further discussion? The Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Simms."

Simms: "Briefly, Mr. Speaker, if the Sponsor of the Bill . . . I . . . with the noise I didn't hear it clearly, would you just briefly say . . ."

Shea: "All right, Mr. . . . Mr. Simms, would you wait a minute please? Would the Members please be in their seats? Would those people not entitled to the floor please remove themselves from the floor of the House. Now, Mr. Richmond, would you want to go over briefly again for Mr. Simms?"

Richmond: "All right, yes, Mr. Speaker. This amends the Weights and Measures Act to authorize the Director of the Department of Agriculture to be the Director of Weights and Measures for the state. It provides that the Superintendent of Division of Feeds, Fertilizers and Standards will be Deputy Director of Weights and Measures. It permits the Director to designate representatives in this capacity as Director of Weights and Measures and that its powers and duties will be inserted upon such



JUN 5 1975

114.

representatives. Substitutes to the representatives of the Director or the Deputy Director. A position which will not exist after July the 1st. This is due to reorganization of the division of the...of the Department of Agriculture. Also, there was an Amendment placed on in the...ah...Senate which would allow the department upon request and upon the decision after study of the request to adopt various new container sizes. Thank you very much Mr. Speaker and Mr. Sponsor."

Shea: "The question is shall House...shall Senate Bill 601 pass? On the question all those in favor will vote 'aye' those opposed will vote 'nay'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the Record Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 134 'ayes', 1 'nay' 2 voting present. Senate Bill 601 having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. On the order of Senate Bills Third Reading appears Senate Bill 609. Is Mr. Bradley...Mr. Bradley wants that out of the Record. Senate Bill 619. Is Mr. Polk on the Floor? Mr. Griesheimer is your seatmate Mr. Polk about or is he left for the day or playing golf or what?"

Griesheimer: "In defense of my seatmate he is with 12 constituents over at the old State Capitol Building doing what we are paid to do down here. He'll be back shortly."

Shea: "Take the Bill out of the Record. On the order of Senate Bills Third Reading appears Senate Bill 648. Is Mr. Taylor on the Floor?"

O'Brien: "Senate Bill 648, Taylor. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act relating to Probation Officers. Third Reading of the Bill."

Taylor: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. House Bill 648 is the same as...ah...House Bill....Senate Bill 648 is the same as House Bill 28 that passed out of this House 125 to nothing. It regulates the...ah... it gives the Circuit Court the right to lower the age requirement and keep the records in various locations or where they feel it is necessary to keep them. I solicit your support for Senate Bill 648."

Shea: "The question is shall Senate Bill 648 pass? On a question



the gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Simms. JUN 5 1916

Simms: "A question of the Sponsor. Representative Taylor this...ah  
...the Digest says increases the salaries of all Probation  
Officers based on classification of the county. Does this  
mandate it or does this just raise the...ah...maximum?"

Taylor: "No, it's not mandated and I don't think that that's the  
Bill that really increases the salaries."

Simms: "It makes it optional, right?"

Taylor: "Right."

Simms: "Thank you."

Shea: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Madison on the question."

Madison: "Thank you very much Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield  
for a question?"

Shea: "He indicated he will."

Madison: "Representative Taylor if this is an identical Bill to  
a House Bill that we passed out and it's now over in the Sen-  
ate being considered, why do we need this Bill?"

Taylor: "Well...ah...I held the Bill because the Sponsor likes me  
to handle the Bill. Now...ah...I don't really know if they  
need it. Maybe the Governor might not sign my Bill...ah...be-  
cause of some of the action I have taken on this Floor...ah...  
may indicate that he won't sign it so I think we should...ah...  
pass this one out and make sure that the Probation Officers  
will be protected."

Madison: "I agree."

Shea: "The question is shall Senate Bill 648 pass? On the question  
all those in favor will vote 'aye' those opposed will vote 'nay'.  
Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the  
Record Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 131 'ayes', 1 'nay'  
and none voting present. Senate Bill 648 having received the  
Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. On the order  
of Senate Bills Third Reading appears Senate Bill 703. The  
gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lundy. Read the Bill Mr. Clerk."

O'Brien: "Senate Bill 703, a Bill for an Act to amend the Civil Ad-  
ministrative Code of Illinois. Third Reading of the Bill."

Shea: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lundy."



Lundy: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. <sup>5 1975</sup> Ask for leave to take this Bill back to Second Reading for purposes of an Amendment?"

Shea: "The gentleman asks leave to return the Bill to the order of Second Reading for the purposes of an Amendment. Is there objection? Hearing none the leave is granted. Second Reading."

O'Brien: "Amendment #1, Ebbeson. Amends Senate Bill 703 on page 1 line 3 by changing to and to amend Section 4 and so forth."

Shea: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lundy."

Lundy: "This is not my Amendment Mr. Speaker."

Shea: "Mr. Ebbeson on the Amendment. May we hear Mr. Ebbeson, please?"

Ebbeson: "Ah...Mr. Chairman...I...ah...can't locate my file here. Just a moment."

Shea: "Would somebody send him back a copy of the Amendment? Would one of the Pages please bring Mr. Ebbeson back a copy of the Amendment? The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lundy."

Lundy: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. If I'm correct, I believe Representative Ebbeson's intention is probably to table this Amendment since the...ah...substance of it is contained in another Bill which he is handling which has been reported out favorably from Committee."

Shea: "Is that right Mr. Ebbeson?"

Ebbeson: "Just a moment. Who made that statement?"

Shea: "Mr. Lundy did."

Ebbeson: "O'kay...ah..fine. Ah...well if the Sponsor has no objection to the Amendment, Representative Lundy do you have any objection to this Amendment going on the Bill? What the Amendment really does is it addresses itself to...ah...without increasing anything monetarily but...ah...addresses itself to the...ah..."

Shea: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lundy."

Lundy: "I apologize for interrupting the Sponsor of the Amendment. Ah.. I apparently was under a misapprehension about his intention with regard to this Amendment. I have not had a chance to speak to the Senate Sponsor about it...ah...so I would ask to take the Bill out of the Record at this time."



Ebbeson: "Thank you. I'm sorry that I was not <sup>STORY</sup> prepared."

Shea: "Alright now...ah...the Bill has been read a second time and is on the order of Second Reading. On the order of House Bills Third Reading appears House...Senate Bill 836. Read the Bill Mr. Clerk."

O'Brien: "Senate Bill 836, a Bill for an Act to provide for Decatur Metropolitan Exposition Auditorium and Office Building Authority. Third Reading of the Bill."

Shea: "Now, do we have two Sponsors on this? The gentleman from Macon, ...er...from Decatur, Mr. Dunn, J."

Dunn: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 836 creates the Decatur Metropolitan Exposition Authority. The Bill was amended in the Senate to include Danville. There is one House Amendment on the Bill which is a technical Amendment to include the City of Danville at a place it happened to be admitted when the...ah...Senate Amendment was placed on the Bill. There are no funds authorized to... ah...as a result of this Bill at the present time. I know of no opposition. The Bill passed the House Committee...ah...unanimously and is similiar to a House Bill which was passed out of this House sometime ago. So I urge a favorable Roll Call on this ..on this Bill."

Shea: "The question is shall House...Senate Bill 836 pass? On a question the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Walsh to explain his 'aye' vote."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the vote. That wasn't exactly the reason why I was rising. The reason I have arisen is I was wondering if the gentleman would be willing to take this Bill back to the order of Second Reading so that we could amend it and include Calumet City and LaGrange Park because I rather join these people than try to lick them. They're tough. This Bill as I hope everyone knows is a continuation of the Exposition Authority adding to it Decatur and Danville, and pretty soon if we keep this up LaGrange Park and Calumet City are going to be the only places that don't have Exposition Authorities. It's no less good now for these two cities, Mr



Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House than it is for the eight or nine that we approved a year ago and then sanctioned finally a couple of months ago. It provides that they can issue bonds that the state guarantees and will go total state indebtedness in the effect that it is to weaken the state's credit and for bonding houses to sell bonds at a higher rate for all general obligation bonds. I submit to you that this is a bad idea...that Exposition Authorities ought to be supported locally not by the state, not by the full faith and credit of everyone in this state, and I would urge a 'no' vote and even a 'no' vote from my friend Joe Lucco who I now think has seen the light now."

Shea: "The gentleman from Vermilion, Mr. Campbell."

Campbell: "Ah...Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

I rise in support of this legislation and I think all of you have heard the story that the friendly Majority...Minority Leader has told before and those Bills did pass. So I just want to tell you that don't pay any attention to him and vote 'aye'."

Shea: "Is there any further discussion? The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Collins to explain why Calumet City is not in."

Collins: "Well thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I can't explain why Calumet City is not in. It should be...ah...now I don't know about LaGrange Park but in Calumet City we have the best show in town. So I certainly think that we should be included, and I would like to warn my friends from Chicago that I think that this is a very dangerous Bill for you to vote for. You know what is going on up there with Halas and the Mayor and if this thing is built in Decatur...ah...Halas will take the Bears back to Decatur and he will call them "the Staleys." So I think that this Bill should be defeated."

Shea: "The gentleman from Macon, Mr. Dunn moves that Senate Bill 846 pass. All those in favor will vote 'aye' those opposed will vote 'nay'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The gentleman from.... Have all voted who wish?"



Take the Record Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 98 there are 100 'ayes', 14 'nays' 10 Members voting present. Senate Bill 836 having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. On the order of Senate Bills Third Reading appears Senate Bill 1050."

O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1050, Calvo. A Bill for an Act to amend the Tri-City Regional Port District Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Shea: "The gentleman from Madison, Mr. Calvo."

Calvo: "Ah...Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

This Bill merely authorizes the...ah...Tri-City Port District Area or...ah...District Authority to apply for and I repeat to make application for a Foreign Trade Zone, and after the application is made if it is necessary to have a study made a feasibility study and a lot of other things before there is any determination of such a zone. It has to be approved by both the State of Illinois and the Federal Government. However, looking in this matter it was discovered that you would have to have statutory authority even before an application can be made. Ah...the...ah...the City of Chicago Port Authority has this statutory authority which was put into the statute either four or six years ago. I would appreciate your favorable support. I know of no opposition to this legislation."

Shea: "The question is shall Senate Bill 1050 pass? On the question the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Would the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Shea: "He indicates he will."

Schlickman: "I'm sorry, but I don't know what a Foreign Trade Zone is. Could you explain that or define it?"

Calvo: "A Foreign Trade Zone is an area that is after the designation of such it becomes...ah...ah...somewhat of a Free Trade Port for purposes of direct shipment and acceptance of goods from countries outside of the United States."

Schlickman: "Does this ultimately result in capital improvements?"

Calvo: "Not by itself no. It doesn't have anything to do with that Gene. Sometimes...what we have in this instance is we've had



about three foreign corporations thinking about the possibility of establishing...ah...factories or...ah...in the area and if they do that then they would desire that we designate a Foreign Trade Zone if possible. All this does is give us the authority to make an application when and if it ever... ah...would become feasible for us to make such application. Without this statutory authority we can't even apply for such a zone which has to have the approval of both the State and the Federal Government. In other words this just gives us the authority to make an application in the event that it is feasible in the future to do so."

Shea: "Does that answer your question? Can I go to Mr. Collins now, Mr. Schlickman? Mr. Collins."

Collins: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. If the gentleman would yield for a question?"

Shea: "He indicates that he will."

Collins: "Horace, I understand that before you can make application for a Foreign Trade Zone that a feasibility study must be made...ah...to be submitted with the application. Ah... has such feasibility study been made?"

Calvo: "Yes it has. It has been made by the St. Louis Regional and Growth Council for the entire area, and...ah...there might be a necessity of doing a little bit of...ah...you know...ah... Amendment or updating...ah...not really updating but an Amendment to include this particular area. In their feasibility study they've talked to me about this and agree and feel without question that their feasibility study could be used."

Collins: "Alright, I know that this is...ah...a very select field and that there are very few people who the government accepts as those who can't make such feasibility studies. Thank you."

Shea: "The gentleman from Will, Mr. VanDuyne."

VanDuyne: "Ah...would the gentleman yield for a question?"

Shea: "He indicates that he will."

VanDuyne: "Mr. State Representative...ah...I would like to hear you talk about these things like airports and...ah...regional transportation things and so on. It intrigues me...ah...who...how



would the State of Illinois benefit by us...ah...easing the Federal Government out of all of these import tariffs and so?"

Calvo: "Well, the State of Illinois would benefit considerably if we had two new plants that employed five or six thousand people that were paying an annual income tax into the coffers. That would be one quick way."

VanDuynes: "Ah...well then I would like to ask you another question. What kind of boats are we going to build down there that will employ these five or six thousand people?"

Calvo: "It's not a question of building boats, sir. It's a question of new industry in the area which will be financed perhaps by some of our oil money from...ah...the Arab Countries coming back to us."

Shea: "The question is shall Senate Bill 1050 pass? All those in favor will vote 'aye' all those opposed will vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the Record Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 133 'ayes', no 'nays' no present. Senate Bill 1050 having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. On the order of Senate Bills Third Reading appears Senate Bill 1488. Is Mr. Lechowicz on the Floor? On the order of Senate Bills Third Reading appears Senate Bill 73. Mr. Greiman...ah...does he still wish that out of the Record? He isn't here. Mr. Porter do you want to call any of your Bills now? 103 or 173? Mr. Porter doesn't want his Bills called. Mr. Daniels on 200. Did you work out your problems yet with Mr. Fleck?"

Daniels: "Ah...we have an Amendment being prepared and...ah..."

Shea: "That will be taken out of the Record at the Sponsor's request. Senate Bill 257. Is Mr. Flinn on the Floor?"

O'Brien: "Senate Bill 257, a Bill for an Act making an appropriation to the Department of Conservation. Third Reading of the Bill."

Shea: "The gentleman from St. Clair, Mr. Flinn."

Flinn: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentleman of the House. Senate Bill 257 appropriates fifty thousand dollars for the purpose of purchasing the Jarrot Mansion in



Cahokia and rehabilitating that and...ah...originally the Department of Conservation had some serious reservations about this, but...ah...that had to do with them having to own the building there outright and a lot of cost in maintaining it ... ah ...we have finally ironed that out with the Village of Cahokia agreeing to take over the thing, and I don't know of any real serious opposition and I would ask for your favorable vote."

Shea: "The question is shall Senate Bill 257 pass? All in favor will vote 'aye', those opposed will vote 'nay'. Have all who wish? Have all voted who wish? Grotberg 'aye'. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record Mr. Clerk. On this question ther are 122 'ayes', no 'nays' and no present. Senate Bill 257 having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. On the order of Senate Bills Third Reading appears Senate Bill 295, Mr. Kornowicz."

O'Brien: "Senate Bill 295, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Pension Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Shea: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Kornowicz:"

Kornowicz: "Thank you. Mr. Speaker, Members of the House...Ladies and Gentlemen. In regards to Senate Bill 295. It amends the Cook County Employees and Officers and Annuity Benefit Fund of the Illinois Pension Code. It increases the rate of the automatic annual increases from...ah...two percent to three percent. This tax should be levied and collected in like manner with the General Taxes of the county. I appreciate a favorable vote. Mr. Speaker would you take that out of the Record, please? Senate Bill 295."

Shea: "The question is shall Senate Bill 295 pass? All those in favor..... The question is shall Senate Bill 295 pass? All those in favor will vote 'aye', those opposed will vote 'yes'. Shea 'aye'. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 130 'ayes', 7 'nays' and 6 voting present. Senate Bill 295 having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. On the order of Senate Bills Third Reading appears Senate Bill 316."



O'Brien: "Senate Bill 316, Richmond. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Aeronautics Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Shea: "The gentleman from Jackson, Mr. Richmond."

Richmond: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 316 exempts aircrafts from duplicate registration if the plane involved is also registered and regulated by the Illinois Aeronautics Board. It amends the Illinois Aeronautics Act to add a provision that any aircraft registered and regulated by the Illinois Aeronautics Board need not also be registered with the Department of Aeronautics. The impact of the Bill is that it would eliminate duplicate registration of aircrafts in Illinois under jurisdiction of state agencies regulating them."

Shea: "The question is shall Senate Bill 316 pass? On the question all those in favor will vote 'aye', those opposed will vote 'nay'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the Record Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 134 'ayes', 1 'nay' 1 voting present. Senate Bill 316 having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Is Mr. Keller here? Is he on the Floor now? On the order of Senate Bills Third Reading appears Senate Bill 619. The gentleman from Rock Island, Mr. Polk is back with us."

O'Brien: "Senate Bill 619, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act concerning Public Utilities. Third Reading of the Bill."

Shea: "The gentleman from Rock Island, Mr. Polk. Mr. Polk did you want to pass this Bill or?"

Polk: "Thank you Mr. Shea, yes. Just a moment please."

Shea: "While we're waiting for Mr. Polk, Mr. Simms."

Simms: "Mr. Speaker as a...ah...as an introduction it's my privilege to introduce to a Body our former colleague of the Illinois House and also the Illinois Senate the Honorable Burt Rosander from Rockford. Senator Rosander."

Shea: "Are we ready to proceed Mr. Polk or do you want that out of the Record? Take that Bill out of the Record for a minute. I see that Mr. Keller's returned. Let's see on the order



of Senate Bills Third Reading we have one of his Bill's there someplace. Senate Bill 318."

O'Brien: "Senate Bill 318, a Bill for an Act to amend the Civil Administrative Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Shea: "The gentleman from Effingham, Mr. Keller."

Keller: "Ah...Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Ah...this is just a technical Bill. Amends the Civil...ah...Administrative Code. It adds a Section authorizing the Department of Local Government Affairs to make a reasonable charge for certain material published for the use of local officials. I...ah...move for the passage of House Bill...ah...ah...Senate Bill 318."

Shea: "The question is shall Senate Bill 318 pass? On the question all those in favor will vote 'aye', those opposed will vote 'nay'. Have all voted who wish? The gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Skinner."

Skinner: "I'm sorry. We're very fast on the gun today and I appreciate your trying to get through. I'm wondering if this is going to allow the Department of Local Government Affairs to charge for assessment information which is previously been available free, and if that's the case it certainly shouldn't pass because we should want it to disseminate that information. It doesn't look like it's going to pass anyway. So what the hell."

Shea: "Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this question there are 120 'ayes', 8 'nays' and 6 Members voting present. Senate Bill 318 having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Is Mr. McPartlin on the Floor? Is Mr. Beaupre...are you on that? Senate Bill 357."

O'Brien: "Senate Bill 357, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act concerning Public Utilities. Third Reading of the Bill."

Shea: "The gentleman from Kankakee, Mr. Beaupre."

Beaupre: "I'm sorry Mr. Speaker for not being prepared. Ah...Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Ah...this is a Bill involving Illinois Commerce Commission which eliminates the statutory...ah...references to Assistant Commissioner's



JUN 5 1975

125.

. . . ah . . . the function of Assistant Commissioners, I'm informed, is now being conducted by hearing examiners. It's really a Bill to clean up the language."

Shea: "The question is, shall Senate Bill 357 pass? All those in favor will vote 'aye', those opposed will vote 'nay'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the Record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 126 'ayes', no 'nays', 1 voting 'present'. Senate Bill 357 having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. On the order of Senate Bills, Third Reading, appears Senate Bill 432. Mr. Washington. Take that out of the Record. On the order of Senate Bills, Third Reading, appears Senate Bill 434."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 434, a Bill for an Act creating the Electronic Fund Transfer System Study Commission. Third Reading of the Bill."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, the Assistant Majority Leader, Mr. Telcser, Assistant Minority Leader."

Telcser: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, Senate Bill 434 creates an Electronic Funds Transfer System Study Commission. Ah . . . It passed out of . . . ah . . . the Substantive Committee unanimously. I think most of you are familiar with the recent technological developments . . . ah . . . regarding money changing machines . . . ah . . . cables from banks to . . . ah . . . various retail establishments at which customers can . . . ah . . . cash checks, credit their savings or checking accounts and conduct . . . ah . . . various other . . . ah . . . banking functions . . . ah . . ."

Shea: "The question is, shall Senate Bill 434 pass? On the question all those in favor will vote 'aye', those opposed will vote 'nay'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the Record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 115 'ayes', 10 'nays', 5 voting 'present'. Senate Bill 434 having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. Is Mr. Kelly on the floor? On the order of Senate Bills, Third Reading, appears Senate Bill 490. Mr. Terzich."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 490, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois



JUN 5 1979

126.

Pension Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Terzich: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, . . . ah . . . House Bill or Senate Bill 490 amends the State University Retirement System Article of the Pension Code. It par . . . permits participation while on lay-off status up to 120 rather than 100. It provides credit for half-time service, precludes transfer of credit from state employees retirement system to service covered by social security. It revises provision for employee contributions for service rendered outside the system. This Bill passed the Senate 53 to nothing. It . . . It's approved by the Pension Laws Commission, it passed out of Committee 13 to 0 and I urge your support."

Shea: "Now, that the Gentleman has dry papers, the question is, shall Senate Bill 490 pass? On the question all those in favor will vote 'aye', those opposed will vote 'nay'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 129 'ayes', 2 'nays', 5 voting 'present'. Senate Bill 490 having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. On the order of Senate Bills, Third Reading, appears Senate Bill 496. Mr. Grotberg in the Chamber? Do you want that out, Mr. Grotberg?"

Grotberg: "Pardon me?"

Shea: "Out of the Record at the Sponsor's request. On the order of Senate Bills, Third Reading, appears Senate Bill 520."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 520, a Bill for an Act to amend the Chicago Sanitary Districts Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Terzich."

Terzich: "Ah . . . This Bill provides the authority . . . ah . . . would be used primarily as means of short-term financing in the event interest rates would be so high to permit issuance of long-term bonds as a result of the interest rate ceiling set forth in the statute. Ah . . . This . . . ah . . . authority is presently . . . ah . . . given to the Public Buildings Commission, The Illinois Housing Development Facility and the Illinois Building Authority have similar powers, and I would appreciate your support."



Shea: "The question is, shall Senate Bill 520 pass? On the question, all those in favor will vote 'aye', those opposed will vote 'nay'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Is it 'aye', now, Walter? Have all voted who wish? Take the Record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 124 'ayes', 3 'nays', 5 voting 'present'. Senate Bill 520 having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. On the order of Senate Bills, Third Reading, appears Senate Bill 619."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 619, Polk, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act concerning public utilities. Third Reading of the Bill."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Rock Island, Mr. Polk."

Polk: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen, this is Senator Partee's Bill, it passed out of the Senate 46 to nothing. It simply raises the . . . sets the salary of the Chairman of the Commission . . . ah . . . at . . . ah . . . \$32,000 a year. It passed out of . . . ah . . . Public Utilities 12 to 1; and I would appreciate your support."

Shea: "The question is, shall Senate Bill 619 pass? On the question all those in favor will vote 'aye', those opposed will vote 'nay'. Mr. Lechowicz, you have a Bill, do you want to call it, 488? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the Record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 124 'ayes', 9 'nays', 8 Members voting 'present'. Senate Bill 619 having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. On the order of Senate Bills, Third Reading, appears Senate Bill 1488."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1488, a Bill for an Act making a supplemental appropriation to the Department of Finance. Third Reading of the Bill."

Shea: "Turn Mr. Lechowicz on in Mr. Simms' seat."

Lechowicz: "Well, . . . ah . . . thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, . . . ah . . . Senate Bill 1488 is a supplemental appropriation for \$100,000 for the . . . Department of Finance M.I.D. Section. What it really amounts to is an additional amount of money needed because of the cost . . . the additional printing costs for the Members of the General Assembly



and their staffs. I move for its adoption."

Shea: "The question is, shall Senate Bill 1488 pass? On the question all those in favor will vote 'aye', those opposed will vote 'nay'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the Record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 151 'ayes', no 'nays', no 'presents'; Senate Bill 1488 having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. Mr. Mann wishes to vote 'aye'. I think that Miss Willer votes 'aye'. I think that completes all the Bills wished to be called by the Members on Third Reading, but to insure that we are, we'll go through them. I think Mr. Porter had one. All right, now, Mr. Greiman, do you wish to call Senate Bill 73, Sir? Do you want to call that, Mr. Greiman? Mr. Greiman wishes that out of the Record. Senate Bill 103, Mr. Porter, are you ready on that now? Read the Bill."

Fredric Selcke: "Senate Bill 103, an Act to provide for the exclusion from Illinois Income Tax a certain disability and retirement benefits and so forth. Third Reading of the Bill."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Porter."

Porter: "Well, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Bill 103 . . . 103 simply provides that distributions from qualified pension and retirement plans . . . ah . . . made for the year 1971 will be taxed in the same way that those were for the years 1972 and after and for the years 1969 and '70. That is not at all. You'll recall that the . . . ah . . . House in 1972 passed a Bill that provided that . . . ah . . . distributions from qualified pension retirement plans would not be taxed for that year and every year thereafter. Subsequently, the Department of Revenue after litigation . . . ah . . . interpreted a court decision to mean that the 1970 distributions and the 1969 distributions would not be taxed either. Now, that leaves 1971 open, and as a matter of fact, that year should not be taxed either. I think it would be ridiculous that we tax just one year in the . . . ah . . . entire history of the Illinois Income Tax Act. Now, this Bill . . . ah . . . would provide for refunds . . . ah . . . for those taxpayers who had distributions that were taxed in 1971 would



cost approximately \$100,000. The Department of Revenue is neutral on the matter . . . ah . . . this Bill is the same as H.B. 655, which passed the House last Session, S.B. 103, passed the Senate 41 to 1, this will now put it all together and I would urge an 'aye' vote."

Shea: "The question is, shall Senate Bill 103 pass? On the question all those in favor will vote 'aye', those opposed will vote 'nay'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the Record, Mr. Clerk. Take the Record. McClain votes 'aye'. On the question there are 151 'ayes', 1 'nay', 1 'present'. Senate Bill 103 having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. What about 170 . . . Mr. Porter, your other Bill you want out, right? Now, back to Mr. Greiman on Senate Bill 73."

Fredric Selcke: "Senate Bill 73, give me the Bill, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relation to the adoption of persons. Third Reading of the Bill."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Greiman."

Greiman: "Sen . . . Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Bill 73 . . . ah . . . removes the requirement of consent in the adoption of adults. It does not change the law with respect to the adoption of minors, but merely adults. Ah . . . It passed the House . . . ah . . . the Senate with no . . . ah . . . negative votes, and through the House Judiciary Committee 10 to nothing."

Shea: "The question is, shall Senate Bill 73 pass? On the question the Gentleman from Kane, Mr. Hill."

Hill: "Just wondered if the Sponsor would yield? Not being an attorney, I wish you would clarify this Bill more than you have. I don't understand what's going on. If what you say is true, it must be a very insignificant Bill, and must have been introduced by some in . . . insignificant person in the Senate."

Greiman: "Well, I . . . I don't want to address myself to the significance of anybody in either Body . . . ah . . . Mr. Representative Hill, however, the Bill is . . . ah . . . does just precisely . . .



ah . . . what the . . . ah . . . Digest says that it does. Ah . . ."

Hill: "Why would we . . . something like that?"

Greiman: ". . . we have a requirement that the . . . ah . . . parental consent is necessary for an adult and I . . . that would be an unusual thing. If a . . . if an adult wishes to be adopted by someone . . . ah . . . and that's permissible by law, which it is now under our law, then he ought not have to go to his . . . ah . . . natural parents for consent. We do have . . . ah . . . he doesn't divest himself of heirship on the consent of the new one. He doesn't do that, but he . . . he's an adult, he should be able to control his future destiny."

Hill: "Well, what happens . . . ah . . . under the conditions if a prevail like this that a individual would be adopted by some adult and the . . . ah . . . former parents passed away. Ah . . . What sort of status would that individual have then as heir to the . . ."

Greiman: "He . . . he remains the natural child at that point. He doesn't divest himself necessarily of . . . of the relationship from his natural parents for the purpose of heirship, but he does . . . ah . . . essentially add an heirship provision from . . . ah . . . his other par . . . his adopted parents."

Hill: "Well, I have here, it says . . . ah . . . the writer . . . and this is our diagnosis of . . . of the Bill, the writer is not sure if the Act as amended would require a notification of the parents of the adult. This could possibly create problems relative to the wills."

Greiman: "Well, I . . . I think that the . . . the person who analyzed it . . . ah . . . sees problems that aren't there. However, there just are no problems in that regard, Jack. Ah . . . Notwithstanding, the staff analysis."

Hill: "Well . . ."

Greiman: "But just let me make this one point. Ah . . . Representative Hart has come to me and asked that I take this out of the Record. He has an Amendment he'd like to offer . . . ah . . . tomorrow and I



JUN 5 1975

131.

would give him that courtesy. Ah . . . There is no . . ."

Shea: "Take the Bill out of the Record."

Hill: "Thank you very much."

Shea: "Yes, yes, Mr. Greiman?"

Greiman: "Under . . . ah . . . in line with Representative Hart's request, if I could move it back to Second now so that I wouldn't be . . . find myself losing a day."

Shea: "Well, I don't see how you're going to lose it, but that's right, is there . . . the Gentleman asks leave to take the Bill back to the order of Second Reading . . . ah . . . Second Reading, is there objection? Mr. Pierce, you object?"

Pierce: "No, I have a parliamentary inquiry on Second Reading. I wonder if this is for adult adoption, I wonder if you could put in a clause or maybe I could be adopted by my Ward Committeeman."

Shea: "Phil . . . bring the Bill back to the order of Second Reading and leave it there. Now, M . . . leave it there, the Amendments isn't prepared yet. Now, Miss Geo-Karis, do you want to talk about the Bill?"

Geo-Karis: "No, may I just . . . ah . . . make a . . . ah . . . ask a question of the Sponsor, in one small . . ."

Shea: "The Bill is out of the Record, if you want why don't you just walk over and ask him?"

Geo-Karis: "Okay, Gerry, I'll do that."

Shea: "On the order of Senate Bills, Third Reading, I think we've called almost everybody's Bill. Mr. Daniels wants his out of the Record. We're holding it. Mr. McPartlin? Is Mr. McPartlin on the floor? Mr. Washington, you want your Bill held, is that right? Mr. Kelly, what about Senate Bill 449, are you prepared to go? That's out at the Sponsor's request. Mr. Grotberg, you want to hold your Bill? Mr. Malloy, you want to hold your Bill? You ready to go with your Bill? Mr. Malloy? Out of the Record. Mr. Bradley isn't here. I think that completes the Senate Bills, Third Reading, on House Bills, Third Reading, I want to go back and see if anybody wants to pick them up. Mr. Calvo. Mr. Kozubowski, what about your Bill 1552? No, that's Second



Reading, I'm . . . do you want to go with that? On the order of House Bills, Second Reading, appears House Bill 1552."

Fredric Selcke: "House Bill 1552, an Act making certain reappropriation to the Capital Development Board for permanent improvements.

Second Reading of the Bill. Ah . . ."

Shea: "Are there any Committee Amendments?"

Fredric Selcke: ". . . yeah, yeah, just a minute. Ah . . . Two Committee Amendments. Committee Amendment #1 amends House Bill 1552 on page 12 and so forth."

Shea: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Committee Amendment #1, is there any discussion? All those in favor will say 'aye', those opposed 'nay', the 'ayes' have it, the Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments?"

Fredric Selcke: "Ah . . . Committee Amendment #2 amends House Bill 1552 on page 1, line 14, and so forth."

Shea: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Committee Amendment #2. All those in favor will say 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Downs, on a question."

Downs: "Mr. Speaker, I would appreciate some explanation of the Amendments to the Bill."

Shea: "Mr. Kozubowski on Amendment #2."

Kozubowski: "Certainly. Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment #2 reappropriates the sum of \$310,000 for the purpose of completing the remodeling and renovation of the office space for the Capital Development Board in Springfield, and I would move its adoption."

Shea: "Is there any discussion? All those in favor will say 'aye', those opposed 'nay'; the 'ayes' have it, the Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments?"

Fredric Selcke: "Ah . . . Floor Amendment #3, Winchester, amends House Bill 1552 on page 2, line 20, and so forth."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Hardin, Mr. Winchester."

Winchester: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, . . . ah . . . Amendment #3 reduces the land acquisition line item in the Department of Conservation's request. The \$143,000 is eliminated to prevent the Department of



Conservation from . . ."

Shea: "Mr. Winchester, would you wait a minute, please? Would the House be at order . . . in order, would the Members please be in their seat."

Winchester: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Shea: "Could we wait . . . we'll wait and get some order. Will the Members please be in their seats and could we have some order in the Chambers?"

Unknown: "Hey, Bud, okay."

Shea: "All right, Mr. Winchester."

Winchester: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This Amendment would reduce the land acquisition line item and the Department of Conservation's request in this Bill. Ah . . . It would . . . there's \$143,000 that wou . . . that would be eliminated and it would prevent the Department from purchasing an additional 900 acres of land in the Les Creek area, and as you all know, there has been several Bills on this floor pertaining to the little county of Polk County, and this is just . . . we passed those Bills out to help Polk County who is near bankruptcy and this Bill . . . this Amendment if it's not adopted, would allow conservation to come back in and buy another 900 acres and take it off the tax rolls, and for the farmers, for the Legislators who have . . . ah . . . farming districts, there's approximately 300 to 350 acres of prime agricultural land involved in this acquisitioning and the Department only wants to pay approximately \$141 an acre. There's no way that these people can sell these farms and try to find another farm at \$141 an acre. I appreciate your help in adopting this Amendment."

Shea: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, regra . . . regretfully, I have to speak against this Amendment. This Amendment was offered in Committee and withdrawn after the Director of the Department of Conservation came in and testified against the Amendment. When . . . if I remember his testimony correctly . . . ah . . . Mr. Dean's testimony in Committee stated



that this is probably one of the most beautiful places in Illinois and they . . . what the Department intends on doing is preserving that portion . . . ah . . . for the people of Illinois, and we're not talking about that much in money, approximately \$150,000, but as far as the . . . the testimony that was heard in Committee, it's probably one of the most picturesque areas in Illinois, and as the Sponsor pointed out, he told us that, I believe, there was seven or eight owners of that property and they in turn intend on . . . ah . . . keeping it within its natural preserve, but I think that probably for the best interest of the people in Illinois, we should defeat this Amendment and let the Department of Conservation do the work that they intend on doing. So I have to speak against this Amendment and hope it's defeated."

Shea: "Is there any further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Totten, on the Amendment."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I rise to support the Amendment and for these reasons. One, as the Sponsor of the Amendment had indicated we had before this General Assembly not so long ago a Bill to bail out a bankrupt county, one of the reasons, of course, that we had this Bill before is the state owns most of the county now; and here we're going to take another large size of the county, put into state lands, and inevitably what will happen is Polk County will be back here for more money as this will be taken off the tax roll. Secondly, let me point out that time after time the Department of Conservation has gone and picked up land and bought it for a price and then they come back to the General Assembly again . . . again and again asking for additional monies to either develop the park, restore the park, restore the historic sites and they never let us know what the future cost is going to be. I think that this Amendment is a good Amendment, it's a sound fiscal Amendment, the people of this area want it, and I think the Amendment should be supported."

Shea: "The Minority Leader, the Gentleman from Grundy."

Washburn: "Thank you, . . . ah . . . Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I arise also to support . . . ah . . .



Representative's . . . Representative Winchester's Amendment to House Bill 1552. We learned the plight of a couple of the counties in Southern Illinois a few days ago as Representative Totten pointed out and certainly it behooves us to . . . ah . . . do what we can to save what's a bad situation now; and certainly if this Amendment is not adopted, we're only adding fuel to the fire that exists out in Polk County; and I would hope that Amendment #3 would be adopted."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Bureau, Mr. Mautino."

Mautino: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I, too, rise in support of Amendment #3 . . . ah . . . to House Bill 1552 because I believe it has been the policy and the priority situation of the Department of Conservation to buy existing good property and leave it lay waste or in natural resource . . . or natural areas. It is . . . it is the opinion of most people in downstate Illinois that the Department of Conservation should be renovating, repair and maintaining the existing facilities that they own rather than buying additional property and not using that particular property. I think Representative Winchester's Amendment is a good Amendment and should be adopted to 1552."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Henderson, Mr. Neff."

Neff: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I . . . I, too, rise in support of this Amendment. I think we've got to all realize that here's a small county and again it's going to be in the same condition that many of these counties in effect continue to buy in this property up there, the Conservation Department, and taken it off the tax rolls and many of us are familiar with ground that's owned by the Conservation Department, some of it 15, or 20 years, they aren't doing anything with it and . . . ah . . . thereby they're taking this ground up and holding it out of taxation and . . . ah . . . if we continue to do this, we're going to have a lot of counties coming in here and we're just going to have to bail them out, the State of Illinois; and therefore, I support this Amendment."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Hardin, Mr. Winchester, to close. Oh, I'm



sorry, I thought Mr. Beaupre didn't want to speak and I dropped my Calendar over . . . the Gentleman from Kankakee, Mr. Beaupre."

Beaupre: "Well, Mr. Chairman, I don't want to take a lot of time, but I would like to point out . . . ah . . . the erroneous nature of the statements which indicate the poor Polk County has no tax money because of the fact that . . . ah . . . the State and the Federal Government own all the land. That just simply isn't the fact and hazarding being accused by the Speaker of making my House Bill 990 . . . ah . . . speech all over again, I would merely like to point out that the reason that Polk County doesn't have the money is because they choose to assess themselves at an assessment level of 12 percent. The rea . . . that's the reason they don't have the money. We're talking about increased recreational . . . ah . . . sites and developing recreational sites for the people of the State of Illinois. I would strongly resist this Amendment and I hope that we'll take into consideration the validity of the arguments that have been made."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Kozubowski, on the Amendment."

Kozubowski: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would just urge a 'no' vote."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Franklin, Mr. Hart, on the Amendment."

Hart: "Well, thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I support this Amendment very strongly. We had . . . ah . . . House Bills 955 and 956 in Senate Revenue yesterday afternoon and they were voted out favorably, but one of the comments that . . . ah . . . was made by some of the Senators on the Revenue Committee was that we ought to . . . to do what we can to keep it . . . the State of Illinois from buying additional land in Polk and other counties where this is creating a problem, and this is the way that we can keep them from doing that. We defeated . . . ah . . . already put on the Interim Study Committee a Bill that was suggested by the Department of Con . . . Conservation and handled by a Gentleman from Fulton . . . ah . . . which would have given them additional . . . ah . . . rights of eminent domain to acquire property, and that was a very broad and far reaching Bill and we



were successful in passing and having . . . ah . . . Director Dean postpone any further consideration on it and put it on the Interim Study Calendar. But if . . . if we're going to continue to allow the Department of Conservation to acquire property in these counties . . . ah . . . then we're going to have to come back here with our hands out for more for these counties . . . ah . . . by way of state aid and that's really what the people want, their forced to that proposition. But this . . . this . . . this Amendment would . . . ah . . . stop the acquisition of land in the Shwanee Forest and Les Creek Canyon and . . . ah . . . and fur . . . and help to reduce the problems that have been created by the prior acquisition. So I think we . . . Representative Winchester has a good Amendment here. I would hope that the vote of the House would be in support of it."

Shea: "The question is, shall this Amendment be adopted? All those in favor will say 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. On the question, all those in favor of the Amendment will vote 'aye', those opposed will vote 'nay'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the Record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 89 'aye' votes, 44 'nay' votes, 2 'present', 42 Members not voting. Mr. Keller wishes to vote 'no'. Amendment #3 has been adopted."

Fredric Selcke: "Amendment #4, Kozubowski, amends House Bill 1552 on page 3, by deleting lines and so forth."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Kozubowski, on Amendment 4."

Kozubowski: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment #4 would delete \$610,000 from the Department of Conservation for the development of the Seven Mile Lake project in White County. I would move for the adoption of Amendment #4."

Shea: "The question is, shall Amendment #4 be adopted? All those in favor will say 'aye', those opposed 'nay'; the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments? Third Reading. On the order of House Bills, Second Reading, appears House Bill 2036, Mr. Ryan, . . ."

Fredric Selcke: "House Bill . . ."



JAN 5 1975

Shea: "Take that out of the record at the Sponsor's request. Do you want it out George? All right now on the order of House Bill Third Reading, Mr. Mugalian you want your Bill out of the record? Mr. VonBoeckman we passed his Bill or did we take that out? Are we ready to go on Mr. VonBoeckman's Bill now? Take that out of the record. Mr. Duff. I guess he doesn't want to call it. Mr. Schneider we passed your Bill did we not sir? O'kay. Miss Chapman on 2103. Out of the record. Mr. Boyle on 20 2440. That's out of the record. Mr. Yourell on 2445. That's out of the record. 2476 is out. Mr. Deuster. What about 2580? Is Mr. Deuster here? That's out, no Sponsor. What about Washburn on...ah...2790, Mr. Washburn. Out of the record. Mr. Barnes what 2790? Is Mr. Barnes on the floor? Take that out of the record. Lechowicz on 3017 was amended today can't be called. That's everything on House Bills Third Reading. All the Senate Bills Third have been called on the order of Senate Bills Second Reading. Mr. McPartlin on the floor? Mr. Marovitz on 173. Is Mr. Marovitz on the floor? House Bill or Senate Bill 355. Mr. Keller is that Bill ready to go now? Do don't want to call that? All right what about Senate Bill 506? Mr. Londrigan. Is Mr. Londrigan on the floor? What about Senate Bill 543? Mr. McClain. Fiscal note on that one. Mr. Walsh what about Senate Bill 639? Take that out of the record. Is the Minority Leader on the floor? Senate Bill 749. How is that? On the order of conference Committee reports appears House Bill 1090. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Getty this a conference Committee report in it's final action on the Bill."

Getty: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the conference Committee report which has been signed unanimously by the Members on the part of both the the House and the Senate...ah...puts into the Bill...ah...the 'Ispern' Radios and also provides for the deficiency appropriation for the Illinois Law Enforcement Commission of...ah...\$38,000. In it's present form I know of no opposition and I would ask that the House does adopt the conference Committee Report."

Shea: "The question is shall the House adopt the conference Committee report on Senate Bill or House Bill 1090. On the question the



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

JUN 5 1975

Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Totten."

Totten: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. Would the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Shea: "He indicates he will."

Totten: "Representative Getty had the \$53,000 transfer within operation is that still in there?"

Getty: "There's a \$38,000 transfer."

Totten: "Does the Department concur with the 53 with the \$38,000 as opposed to the 53?"

Getty: "That's correct."

Totten: "Thank you."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Maragos on the question."

Maragos: "Mr...ah...Speaker I'd like to ask is the report on our desk, has it been distributed?"

Shea: "It has been distributed."

Maragos: "All right. That's what I want to know. Thank you."

Shea: "The question is shall the House adopt the conference Committee report on House Bill 1090? All those in favor will vote aye. Those opposed will vote nay. This is final action. Shea aye. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 123 ayes, 1 nay, 4 voting present. Conference Committee report on House Bill 1091 having received the constitutional majority is hereby adopted. Gentleman from Champaign, Mr. Hirschfeld."

Hirschfeld: "Yes Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I rise in a point of order. I serve on the Higher Education Committee and Senate Bill 16 was referred to that Committee which dealt with the subject of a separate Board of Trustees for Southern Illinois University at Edwardsville. Now we went to the Committee hearing today and there'll be another one this afternoon we suddenly find that this Bill has been taken away from the Higher Education Committee where it obviously belongs because it deals with Higher Education and it has been assigned to the Executive Committee and I've examined the rules Mr. Speaker and it says that the Committee on assignment of Bills may not reassign Bills or resolutions unless at least one Member of the majority party and



one Member of the Minority party is assigned to the Committee on assignment of Bills are present and I would like to know what Member of the Minority party was present when that Bill was yanked from Higher Education and reassigned to the Executive Committee?"

Shea: "Well, since there's only one Member of the Minority party on the Committee is Mr. Klozak but I think Mr. Bradley could answer your question so could you hold that until he returns sir? He's off the floor. Mr. Hirschfeld."

Hirschfeld: "Mr. Speaker, I'll hold that part of the question but I would like to say in passing that it seems to me that it..... the rules of the House when a Bill that obviously deals with Higher Education is assigned to a Committee where it has absolutely no business simply because in this case of course the Sponsor knew that the Higher Education Committee did not favor the concept of the Bill and I think that kind of Russian roulette is inappropriate in the House of Representatives. It's the way things are done in the Senate and I'm sorry to see this happening in the House and so that's why I'm raising the question but even if I find out that a Member of the Minority party was present I want you to know I formally protest that type of action."

Shea: "Committee reports."

Fredric Selcke: "Mr. Lechowicz from Appropriations I to which Senate Bills 512, 666, 1048 referred reported the same back with recommendation that Bills do pass. Mr. Lechowicz from Appropriations I to which House Bills 1996, 3002 and 3006 referred reported the same back with Amendments added thereto, with the recommendation the Amendments be adopted and the Bills as amended do pass. Mr. Lechowicz from Appropriations I to which Senate Bills 343, 344, 488, 608, 663, 1120, 1497 were referred reported the same back with Amendments thereto with the recommendation that Amendments be adopted and that the Bills as amended do pass. No further Committee Reports."

Shea: "On the order of Senate Bills Second Reading appears Senate Bill 749. Mr. Minority Leader do you want that Bill called? Take that out of the record. Committee reports and introductions. Mr. Hirschfeld would you come to the rostrum please sir?"

Selcke: "Ah...Mr. Fennessey from the Committee on Elections to which



House Bill #666 was referred reported the same back ~~with~~ <sup>with</sup> a substitute therefore and recommended that the substitute do pass...ah... is this a Committee Bill? What's this one? Ah...Mr. Barnes from Appropriations II to which House Bill 1264 was referred reported the same back with a substitute therefore and recommended that the substitute do pass. Introduction and First Reading. House Bill 3053...oh... hell...a Bill for an Act to amend the Election Code. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3054, VonBoeckman, et. al appropriates \$39,418,000 for a 5% cost of living increase and compensation of State employees in the Executive Branch."

Shea: "Mr. Clerk, how many of those were Committee Bills?"

Fredric Selcke: "Two of them."

Shea: "Could I look at them please?"

Fred Selcke: "Ah...House Bill 3055, Committee on Appropriations II Creates a Violent Crimes Study Commission to study causes, consequences, prevention, treatment and control of all crimes of violence. First Reading of the Bill."

Shea: "House Bill 3053 and 3055 will go to the order of Second Reading. On the Speaker's table appears House Resolution 252. Is Mr. DiPrima in the chambers? 252. He's not here. House Resolution 229, Mr. Holewinski."

Holewinski: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House...ah... House Resolution 229 addresses a problem that was really brought to our the attention of the House Human Resources Committee earlier this session by Representative Kelly and that was the area of of fetal research...ah...the federal government has been very active in this area and there are apparently some new regulations that are going to come out. There are some problems and questions..ah.. ah..relating to whether there's a necessity for regulations in the area of this research and it was the feeling of the Human Resources Committee that this is an area that'd be appropriate for us to study and...ah...ah...that's what this Resolution provides the..ah the method for doing that and I would move it's adoption."

Shea: "The question is shall House Resolution 229 be adopted? On the question all in favor will say aye. Those opposed will say nay. In the opinion of the chair the ayes have it and the resolution is



adopted. Further Resolutions. On the House Resolution 241. I'll get to it. Mr. Porter. Is Mr. Porter on the floor? Take 241 out of the record. House Joint Resolution 32. Is Mr. Sevcik on the floor? Take that one out of the record. Mr. Sharp you want to handle House Joint Resolution 40 or Senate Joint Resolution 40, I'm sorry? That was the one I handled for Mr. Chew. Do you know what that is? Take that out of the record. On the order of concurrences. Mr. Porter has now returned so we'll go back to the Speaker's table. House Resolution 241. Mr. Porter on the Resolution."

Porter: "Ah...Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House...ah... this Resolution was originally a Bill that was passed in the 78th General Assembly and then...ah...was not carried forward and was introduced in this General Assembly and was passed out of Committee and then we decided that a Resolution would do and it simply provides...ah...or urges the Illinois Department of Transportation in view of the impending energy crisis to undertake feasibility studies...ah...including investigations of the experience of those States which have initiated variable tow roads and computer matching of car pool...ah...participants...ah...those types of studies that would design to increase ridership and automobiles in commuter areas and...ah...it simply is is urging the Department of Transportation to make those studies. We think they'll be of help in solving what's going to be even a greater crisis I think...ah... in the future in the area and I would urge it's adoption."

Shea: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of House Resolution 241. On the question all those in favor will vote aye or say aye. Those opposed will say nay. All those in favor will say aye. Those opposed will say nay. The ayes have it and the Resolution is adopted. Messages from the Senate."

Fredric Selcke: "Ah...message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate's refused to concur with the House in the adoption of Amendment #1 in the House to a Bill of the following titles Senate Bill 66 action taken by the Senate June 5, 1975 Kenneth Wright, secretary. Mr. Speaker I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives



the Senate's adopted the following conference Committee report on House Bill 1090. Adopted by the Senate June 5, 1975, Kenneth Wright, secretary. Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, secretary. Mr. Speaker I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate's concurred with the House in the adoption of House Amendments 1, 2, 3 and 4, to Senate Bill 426 concurred in by the Senate June 5, 1975 Edward E. Fern or Kenneth Wright, secretary."

Shea: "Try to get the right people. Agreed Resolutions."

Fredric Selcke: "House Resolution 327, Ralph Dunn, et al. House Resolution 328, Kelly, et al. House Resolution 329, Totten. House Resolution 330, Mahar. House Resolution 331, Terzich, et al. House Resolution 332, LaFleur, et al. House Resolution 333, Randolph, et al. House Resolution 334, Schlickman, et al."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Giorgi on the agreed Resolutions."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, House Resolution 327 by R. Dunn commends the Marissa Elementary School for their work on possum day. Kelly's Resolution of 328 honors the Mayor Joseph Stark for calling Municipal Clerks Week. House Resolution 329 by Collins commends the...ah...National Junior Midget Champions of Hoffman Estates. House Bill Resolution 330 by Mahar recognizes Mr. Schultz who served the people of Homewood for 25 years of the Superintendent of School. Terzich honors...ah..the firemen Albert H. Petersen who's returning after 59 6 years of fire service in Chicago. Representative LaFleur House Resolution 332 recognize that the 75th U. S. Open Golf Tournament will be held at the Medinah Country Club and it is also the Golden Anniversary of the Medinah Country Club. House Resoltuion 333 by Randolph honors the Worsham College in Chicago and the for it's dedication in the graduating morticians. Representative Sims can take note of that. House Resolution 334 by Schlickman commends the Rotary Club of Springfield on A Guide to Springfield, Illinois."

Shea: "The Gentleman moves that the adoption of the..."

Giorgi: "Just a moment Mr. Speaker..ah..is that Collins or that's Totten. I recognized Collins for Totten. I move for the adoption



of the agreed Resolutions,"

Shea: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption to the agreed Resolutions. All those in favor will say aye. Those opposed will say no. The ayes have it. The Resolutions are adopted. On the order of the Speaker's table. Committee Reports."

Fredric Selcke: "Ah...Mr. Mann from Higher Education which Senate Bill 891 was referred reported the same back with the recommendation the Bill do pass. Mr. Mann from Higher Education which Senate Bill 751 was referred reported the same back with Amendments thereto recommendation that the Bills be adopted and Bills as amended do pass. Mr. Mann from Higher Education which Senate Bill 175, 302, 381 and 530 referred reported the same back with recommendation that Bills do pass...ah...Committee report Mr. Mann from Higher Education reported the following Committee Bill, House Bill 3038 and recommended that it do pass. Mr. Mann from Higher Education referred the following Committee Bill, House Bill 3039 and recommended that it do pass. Mr. Fennessey from Elections reported the following Committee Bill number House Bill 3056 and recommended that it do pass. Mr. Fennessey from Elections...ah...reported the following Committee Bill, House Bill 3057 and recommended that it do pass."

Shea: "Can I look at those Committee Bills please?"

Fredric Selcke: "You want me to read them first?"

Shea: "Ah...read the Bills would you please?"

Fredric Selcke: "Ah...House Bill 3038...ah...a Bill create...ah...for an Act creating a Council for Family Practice Residency and support. First Reading of the Bill...ah...House Bill 3039, Committee Bill appropriating \$150,000 to the Council for Family Practice Residency Programs and support. First Reading of the Bill. Committee Bill 3056 an Act an Act to amend the Election Code. First Reading of the Bill. Committee Bill, House Bill 3057, a Bill for an Act to adds Section 23-1.1 and support the Election Code. First Reading of the Bill."

Shea: "Mr. Mann from the Committee on Higher Education on House Bills 3038 and 3039."

Mann: "Well thank you Mr. Speaker. These Bills are replicas of original Bills which were heard...ah...before our Committee referred to a



JUN 5 1975

145.

Subcommittee, the Subcommittee...ah...report was approved and then the Bills were voted out with a requisite majority of those appointed to the Committee...ah...having complied with the rules set forth by the Speaker...ah...I would respectively move that House Bills 3038 and 3039 be moved to the order of Second Reading."

Shea: "Are they substitute Bills for original Bills?"

Mann: "Yes sir."

Shea: "3038 will go on the order of Second Reading. 3039 will go on the order of Second Reading. The Gentleman from Cook, the Minority Leader, Assistant Minority Leader, Mr. Walsh."

Walsh: "Have these Bills passed a Committee, been voted out of a Committee?"

Shea: "Yes sir, that's what the Gentleman just said."

Walsh: "Now could they reasonably be supposed to go to the same Committee? What about a Bill that...ah...had been...ah...not been voted out of a Committee and was exactly the same or a Bill like this that could possibly or like any Bill it could possibly go to any...ah...number of Committees. This procedure is just real bad, Mr. Speaker and...ah...I think we ought to discuss it before we compound it."

Shea: "Thank you Mr. Walsh."

Walsh: "When do we discuss it?"

Shea: "I would imagine that Mr. Washburn and the Speaker will discuss it sir."

Walsh: "Can we make it a form?"

Shea: "I've always found that Mr. Washburn is an.....spokesman for himself. I see him standing there and I'm sure he can handle it. Mr. Fennessey on Senate or on House Bills 3057 and 3056 are those substitute Bills?"

Fennessey: "Yes. Can we can we move them to Second Reading?"

Shea: "These Bills are on election contest Mr. Walsh. They tell me they're substitute Bills. Who's your spokesman on elections?"

Fennessey: "Mr. Bluthardt is the...ah..."

Shea: "Is Mr. Bluthardt here? I see him standing there."

Fennessey: "Beg your pardon, Mr. Kempiners is our is our spokesman."

Shea: "Mr. Kempiners. Mr. Kempiners isn't here so why don't we just



hold these on First Reading for a minute until Mr. Kempiners gets on the floor. Is that all right? All right on the order on the Speaker's table are election contest. Are we ready to proceed with those election contest, Mr. Fennessey? The report on those."

Fennessey: "Yes we have a final report on four of those contests."

Shea: "All right. Let us proceed this is with regards to seating of Members to this chamber. On the order of the Speaker's table appears the qualifications of the seating of Mr. Stearney. Is Mr. Stearney on the floor? Well perhaps is Mr. Stearney is absent himself from the floor he's not interested in this report being read into the record. What about Mr. Miller? Is Mr. Miller on the floor? Would you like me to proceed with this Mr. Miller? Have you got the report on Mr. Miller."

Fennessey: "Yes do you wish me to read the full report or just the recommendation?"

Shea: "Just the recommendation, I believe will be sufficient."

Fennessey: "The Committee has adopted the report of the Subcommittee attached hereto as a report in this election contest pursuant to that report the Committee recommends that the election of Thomas H. Miller to the House of Representatives for the 79th General Assembly be confirmed and that Representative Miller remain seated as a duly elected Member of the House of Representatives. This recommendation is based on the recount and proceedings conducted in this contest. The results of which are set out on page 7 of the Subcommittee report. Adopted by the Committee by a Roll Call of 13 to 0 on June 3, 1975."

Shea: "You've heard the you've heard the report of the Committee. Is there any questions? All those in favor of adopting the Committee report will vote aye. Those opposed will vote nay. Will you vote Shea aye please? Have all voted on the question that wish? Have all voted on the question that wish? Mr. Borchers wishes to be voted aye. Mr. Borchers seems that you were voted before you left your seat. Gaines aye. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question there are 151 ayes, no nays, 2 voting present. The report is adopted. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Miller."



Miller: "Yes Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House I would like to extend my...ah...thanks and appreciation to the Members of the Committee and in particular the Members of the Subcommittee who dealt with the...ah...the issue at hand. I think it was handled ah...expeditiously and very fairly on their part and...ah...I can recall two years ago Mr. Speaker, and Members of the House when there was another election contest and a present Member won by two or three hundred votes and I said to myself at that time, boy I'll never let myself get in that kind of box if I can help it. Just having won an election by about twenty-five thousand votes I thought it could never happen and all I can say to my fellow Members is never never let the guard down because it...ah...it's a heck of a thing to have to go through and...ah...nobody should have to do it if they campaign as hard as they can. Thank you again."

Shea: "Report was adopted. On the motion on the calendar appears the election contest of Washington V. Pouncey and on that report the Committee on elections, Mr. Fennessey."

Fennessey: "If I may...ah...Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House on the last election contest there was a final difference of only 136½ votes in the .....Miller contest. Now on...ah... Washington vs. Pouncey contest in the 26th Legislative District. The Committee recommends that the election contest in the 26th Legislative District for the 79th General Assembly be dismissed and they action taken by the Committee be approved and adopted by the full House. It was adopted by the Committee in a Roll Call of 13 to 0 on June 3, 1975. I move for the adoption of the report."

Shea: "On the chairman of the Elections Committee, Mr. Fennessey moves for the adoption of the Committee report. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Harold Washington wishes to disavow that the Washinton in the report in and the contest is Harold Washington but there's another person by that name and not himself. On the question all in favor of adopting the report will vote aye. Those opposed will vote nay. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question there are 151 ayes, no nays, no present. The Committee report is adopted. On the calendar appears Mr.



Pouncey stand up. Do you wish to be recognized, Mr. Pouncey? Turn him on."

Pouncey: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I too also would like to thank the Election Committee and all the people who did support me but the margin was close to 7,000 difference in the Republican and it's not this Washington here. It was a Jerry Washington. I do want to thank you again from the bottom of my heart. Thank you."

Shea: "On the order of the Speaker's table is an election contest entitled Moore v. Coffey. On that the chairman of the Elections Committee Mr. Fennessey."

Fennessey: "O'kay, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House on the contest of the election for the 53rd Legislative District Moore v. Coffey and others. The Committee recommends that the election contest of the 53rd Legislative District for the 79th General Assembly be dismissed and that the action taken by the Committee be approved and adopted by the full House. Adopted by the Committee on a Roll Call of 13 to 0 on June 3, 1975. Move for the adoption of the report."

Shea: "Is Mr. is Mr. Coffey on the floor? The on the question is there any discussion? All those in favor of adoption of the report will vote aye. Those opposed will vote nay. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record Mr. Clerk. Jones, Emil aye. On this question there are 155 ayes, no nays, no present and the Committee report is adopted. On the order of concurrences. Or wait we're back on Mr. Leinenweber. I see he's on the floor now. Mr. Leinenweber or I'm sorry Mr. Kempiners. I'm going a little punchy. Mr. Kempiners, Mr. Fennessey from the Committee on Elections on behalf of the Committee has introduced two Bills 3056 and 3057 as Committee Bills. He stated that they were heard in Committee and that they are Committee Bills and should be should go to the order of Second Reading without reference. Your Assistant Minority Leader Mr. Walsh raises an objection so we thought we'd be here until you got here. Were these substitute Bills for Bills heard prior to that time?"

Kempiners: "No Mr. Speaker these are not substitute Bills. They are



Bills which were...ah...drafted...ah...as one of them is a constitutional implementation Bill. They were heard in Committee...ah... the minority had input."

Shea: "There was notice on these Bills was there not?"

Kempiners: "They were...ah...posted, yes."

Shea: "And then notice was in with requirement to Section 18 of the rules of this House? Which requires posting."

Kempiners: "I'll take your word for the Section 18 but...ah...there was posting in the calendar...ah...that these Bills or that these proposed Bills would be considered by the Committee."

Shea: "House Bills 3056 and 3057 having been read a first time will be ordered on the order of Second Reading. Mr. Grotberg where is that Bill located of yours?"

Grotberg: "It's it's on Third Reading...ah...and it...ah..."

Shea: "Senate or House?"

Grotberg: "Senate ..... Bill 496 on."

Shea: "Senate Bills Third Reading on that order of business."

Grotberg: "I would ask to...ah...take it back to Second for a one to instead of or to, to or a typographical error."

Shea: "On the order Senate Bills Third Reading appears Senate Bill 496 the Sponsor moves that this Bill be returned to the order of Second Reading for the purposes of an Amendment. Does he have leave? Hearing no objection leave is granted. Return the Bill to the order of Second Reading."

Kempiners: "Is that the Amendment?"

Shea: "Is the Amendment here?"

Fredric Selcke: "Amendment #1 what is that, #2 Grotberg, amends Senate Bill 496 on page 2 line 7 by deleting 'of' and inserting in lieu thereof 'or.'"

Shea: "The Gentleman moves to the adoption of the Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 496. On the question all in favor will say aye. Those opposed nay. The Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments? Third Reading. On the order of Senate Bills Second Reading appears or Senate Bills Third Reading appears Senate Bill 207 and on that question the Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Daniels ask leave of the House to take the order the Bill from the order



of Third Reading and return it the order of Second Reading for the purposes an Amendment. Does he have leave? Hearing no objection leave is granted and the Bill will be returned."

Frederic Selcke: "Amendment #1, Daniels, amends Senate Bill 207, page 1, line 28, and so forth."

Shea: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Daniels moves for the adoption of Amendment #1. Is there any discussion. All those in favor will say aye. All those. Well Mr. Schlickman I didn't hear your light on. Do you want to ask the Gentleman a question, proceed?"

Schlickman: "I think we should like to know what the Amendment does. At least I would if not you."

Shea: "Mr. Daniels."

Daniels: "Ah...Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House Amendment #1...ah...was in response to Representative Fleck's request as to the...ah...tax problem and...ah...we amended this...ah...Bill to provide that if a Member dies having received only a portion of the amount payable as compensation the unpaid balance shall be paid to the surviving spouses such Member or if there be none to the estate of such Member now this is basically what the existing law is but we just change it to a a portion. Also...ah...it did strike...ah... the ability to receive two years compensation at one time and I move for the adoption."

Shea: "Is there any further discussion? Mr. Walsh on the Amendment."

Walsh: "Not on the Amendment, Mr. Speaker. I think that...ah..Mr. Fleck ought to be here though when this is...ah...being considered be-it was he that raised the...ah...question on the language."

Shea: "Check with Fleck. Fleck said he was going to object? Well where is Mr. Fleck? Is Mr. Fleck on the floor? Turn Mr. Daniels on maybe he can explain Mr. Fleck's absence."

Daniels: "I I...ah...I checked this with...ah...Representative Fleck and he...ah...o'kayed the Amendment but he said he'd find other grounds to which to oppose the Bill."

Shea: "Can we adopt the Amendment now...ah...Mr. Walsh are you still fronting for Fleck?"

Walsh: "Nope."

Shea: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate



Bill 207. All in favor will say aye. Those opposed nay. The ayes have it. The Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments? Are there further Amendments Mr. Clerk? Third Reading. On the order of consideration postponed appears House Joint Resolution Constitutional Amendment #6. Do you want to hear that Mr. Hirschfeld? Take that out of the record. Miss Dyer do you want to hear Constitutional Amendment #30? Do you want to hear that now Miss Dyer or do you want to take it out of the record?"

Dyer: "Ah...well what do you think? I think we'll take it out of the record for now. Thank you."

Shea: "Mr. Brummet on Senate Bill 163. Take that out of the record. Mr. Houlihan on 941. Out of the record. Mr. Craig on House Bill 1522. Out of the record. Mr. Brinkmeier on House Bill 1569. Mr. McAuliffe on 2056. Is he here? Turn Mr. Hill on please."

Hill: "Mr. Speaker I'd like to make a suggestion to you. Why don't you just say if there's anyone on the floor wants there Bill called let them raise their hand. This is about the fifth time now you've droned through every one of things and I'm sitting getting awful tired and disgusted."

Shea: "Well I tell you if you could get Mr. Walsh to make sure that he wouldn't raise any objections I'd like to do it that way but every time I go to move out of order Mr. Walsh stands up and says the rules require you to do thus and so. On the order of concurrences appears House Bill 67. Is Mr. Duff on the floor? House Bill 149, Mr. Porter."

Porter: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House...ah...House Bill 149 is Bob Juckett's Bill. Originally when it left the House it required the University of Illinois Hospital and all institutions under the control of the Department of Mental Health to meet the requirements of the Joint Commission on accreditation of hospitals not later than July 1, 1977. In the Senate it was amended and it now requires the Department of Public Health in setting standards for facilities for the mentally ill and separate standards for the facilities for the mentally handicapped...ah... applying to State institutions under the jurisdiction of the Department of Mental Health that they shall consider guidelines



JUN 5 1975

152.

of the federal government in determining eligibility for Medicaid and Medicare payments. It further requires the Department of Mental Health to comply with those regulations not later than July 1, 1977. As I said it's Bob it's Bob Juckett's Bill. It's in...ah...satisfactory condition to all the Departments that are concerned...ah...I would move that the House concur on Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 149."

Shea: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 149. On the question is there any discussion? This is final action and will require 89 votes. All those in favor will vote aye. Those opposed will vote nay. Have all voted who wish? Take the record Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 139 aye votes, no nay, 1 voting present. House Bill 149 having received the constitutional majority in it's amended form is hereby declared passed. On the order of concurrences appears House Bill 1051. Is Mr. Terzich on the floor? Vote Mr. Mautino aye on that last one. On House Bill 1051."

Terzich: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House...ah...Amendment #1 just...ah...changes the reporting date from the 79th General Assembly to the 80th General Assembly. Amendment #2 expands the...ah...members of the commission from 10 to 12 members and Amendment #3 is the...ah...automatic kill...ah...the Bill as of...ah...June 30, 1977 and I request for your concurrence with these Amendments."

Shea: "The Gentleman moves for the concurrence of Senate Amendment #1 2 and 3 to Senate Bill 10 or House Bill 1051. On the question the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Barnes."

Barnes: "Thank you very much Mr. Speaker. I'm not opposed to it but I'm just wondering. The only concurrence that I've seen on my desk was 1090 along. That was a Conference Committee Report. This is concurrence. O'kay."

Shea: "Are we all set? The Gentleman again renews his motion for the adoption of the Amendments on the question. Mr. Schlickman."

Schlickman: "May I? I'm not recognized."

Shea: "I recognized you Mr. Schlickman on the question."

Schlickman: "Yes Mr. Speaker...ah...I did. Would the Sponsor yield?"



Shea: "He indicates he will."

Schlickman: "I'm sorry but I didn't get the explanation of Amendment #3."

Terzich: "#3...ah...just eliminates the commission as of...ah...June 30, 1977. Kills the commission."

Schlickman: "May I speak to the Bill or the Amendments Mr. Chairman, Mr. Speaker?"

Shea: "Proceed."

Schlickman: "Thank you very much. Mr. Speaker, Members of the House Senate Amendment #3 which repeals this commission as of June 30, 1977 slightly improves what I consider to be a bad Bill...ah.... this Bill would create a law revision commission and that authority now is vested in the Legislative Reference Bureau. I see no reason absolutely no reason whatsoever why there should be a duplication of effort within the General Assembly. We know that this is the last final action on this Bill and I would encourage a no vote and for those of you who are Cubs fans, the Cubs won 8 to 4."

Shea: "Are you...I'm sorry some of our colleagues up here you, are you objecting to Amendment #3 sir?"

Schlickman: "I'm just opposing it."

Shea: "Do you want to take a Roll Call on each of the Amendments then?"

Schlickman: "No I would suggest they go up and down as a group."

Shea: "The question is shall Amendments #1, 2 and 3 be adopted to Senate or House Bill 1051. All those in favor will vote aye. Those opposed will vote nay. Takes 89 votes. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record Mr. Clerk. On these questions there are 109 ayes, 14 nays, 2 Members voting present. The House adopts Senate Amendment #1, #2 and #3 to House Bill 1051. House Bill 1051 having received the constitutional majority in it's amended form is hereby declared passed. On the order of House Bills on concurrence appears House Bill 1173, Mr. Mulcahey are we ready to go on that one?"

Mulcahey: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentleman of the House. Senate Amendment #1 decreases the Lincoln State School and the Illinois mental health institutes' personnel service a total of



\$107,890. I would move at this time that the House not concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1173."

Shea: "The Gentleman moves that the House do nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1. All those in favor say aye. Those opposed nay. The House does nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 and will so be reported to the Senate. On the order of House Bills on concurrence appears House Bill 2238. The Lady from Cook, Miss Barnes. You want to call that? House Bill 67, Mr. Duff do you want to go ahead with that one now?"

Duff: "Ah...thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 67...ah...changes the word 'immediate to prompt'...ah...which is not a major change and then it limits...ah...on line 31...ah...when it says to 'disclose information sought by the department' it simply defines it a little better and says...ah...'information to which it is entitled'. I move concurrence with Senate Amendment #1."

Shea: "The Gentleman moves for the concurrence with Senate Amendment #1. On the question is there any discussion? All in favor will vote aye. Those opposed will vote nay. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 118 ayes, no nays, no present. House Bill 60 Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 67 is adopted and House Bill 67 in it's amended having received the constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. Schneider aye. On the supplemental calendar #1 on the order of Senate Bills Second Reading appears Senate Bill 220. Is Mr. Maragos on the floor? On the order of Senate Bills is appears Senate Bill 419. The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijevich. Is Mr. Matijevich on the floor? We're going to have to take that out of the record for a minute the Bills, they're bringing them down. On the order of nonconcurrences appears Senate Bill 24. That one out of the record. On the order of the Speaker's table is House Resolution 252. Is Mr. DiPrima there now? He came up and asked this to be called. House Resolution 252. Mr. DiPrima."

DiPrima: "When oh..Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House all this House Resolution 252 does is...ah...emplores the Congress the Congressman from the State of Illinois to help...ah..."



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

pass legislation that's currently in...ah...Congress...ah...to allow veterans of World War I .....veterans to get \$100 a month to supplement the shortage...ah...they have in subsisting under conditions today and...ah...I'd appreciate an affirmative vote."

Shea: "The question is shall the House adopt House Resolution 252? On the question all those in favor will vote or say aye. Those opposed will say nay. Opposed will say nay. The ayes have it and the Resolution is adopted. The House will be at ease for a few minutes. On the order of the, there is a motion on motions the Gentleman from Champaign, Mr. Hirschfeld has a motion with regards to the assignment of Senate Bill 16. Mr. Clerk read the motion."

Frederic Selcke: "Motion. I move to reassign Senate Bill 16...ah...to the House Higher Education Committee, John Hirschfeld."

Shea: "On that question the Gentleman from Champaign, Mr. Hirschfeld."

Hirschfeld: "Well Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 16 was assigned to the Higher Education Committee by the Committee on assignments and was posted for hearing today. Now this particular Bill, Senate Bill 16, deals with the Board of Trustees of a Higher Education Institution namely Southern Illinois University and the possibility of splitting board into more than one board. In the Senate this particular Bill went before the Executive Committee but the fact of the matter is that in the Senate they do not have a Higher Education Committee. They only have an Education Committee and I think that's a substantial difference from the situation here in the House. Ladies and Gentlemen of this House it seems to me that it subverts the clear intent of the rules of this House when Bills are transferred from one Committee to another at the whim of the Sponsor who might fear that he's going to lose a Bill. I was always under the impression that Bills were assigned based upon the presumed expertise of the Members of the Committee and it's a presumption at least that Bills that deal with Higher Education should go to a Committee where Members serve who has some expertise in the field of higher education. I think that it does a great injustice to the House. I think it's a slap in the face to the Higher



Education Committee and I think it's. . .subverts the system of rules to permit a Sponsor to transfer a Bill that plainly deals with Higher Education to some other Committee because of the fact that the Bill might be lost if it stays in the Committee where it belongs and based upon those arguments I would respectfully move this House that we require Senate Bill 16 to be reassigned to the...ah...Higher Education Committee of the House of Representatives where we can hear it this afternoon because it has already been posted."

Shea: "On the question is there any discussion? The Gentleman from McLean, Mr. Bradley."

Bradley: "Well thank you Mr. Speaker and Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House I rise to oppose this motion. The Committee on assignment of Bills have assigned over some 4,000 Bills to various Committees various House Committees for them to address them those...ah...themselves to that particular Bill and we have adopted a rule of some on occasions and one of the rules that we've kind of abided by is the fact that if there is a Act a new Act and I believe this is a new Act we're going to create a new board for this university that we've assigned that Bill to the Executive Committee. On every occasion a new Act should go to Executive Committee. It went to Executive Committee in the Senate. Now on the surface when you take a quick glance at the synopsis of that Bill and this is what happened in the assignment of Bills Committee. It appears that it would go to Higher Education and no question about we made an error and I would be the first to admit that but when we're assigning some 4,000 Bills I think we're entitled to one or two errors. I think the Executive Committee can make a decision on this new Act. They're capable of doing so. They're expertise in that Committee they some of those Members have served on Higher Education and I would say in response to the last speaker that the Committee of on Education and the Senate deals with both elementary and secondary and higher education problems so that Bill could have been assigned over there to that same Committee if they would have been their wills and wishes, not that I think that we should go along with what the Senate does but



it appears to me that a new Act should go to the Executive Committee and that's why we reassigned it to the Executive Committee and we've done that on other occasions and I would hope that we would defeat this motion."

Shea: "On the question is Gentleman from... Mr. Walsh perhaps next time when you're up here you can direct how the chair will operate. The Gentleman from Perry, Mr. Dunn."

Dunn: "Thank you very much Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I'd respectively urge that this Bill be assigned or reassigned back to where it was. We went into the Higher Education Committee, I'm a Member of that Committee, this morning with the intention of voting for it. It's posted as Senate Bill 16 in our digest in our ah...calendar, it shows in the digest that the Bill is in Higher Education..ah..the Committee of Higher Education has had matters like this before it before and we have acted upon them. I might say that we have two Members from the two districts that this concerns, the 58th and the 56th districts. There are two Members two House Members from each one of those districts on this Committee and we know something about it and we would respectively urge and I would respectively urge that this be reassigned or assigned back to the Higher Education Committee so we can hear it this afternoon. Thank you."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Mann."

Mann: "Well..ah..Mr. Speaker and Members of the House...ah...I rise not to...ah...be critical at all of the assignment Committee. I understand their burden..ah..nor of the House Sponsor who's a friend and a Legislator whom I respect dearly, however, looking at the subject matter I would think that...ah...the House Committee on Higher Education would be an appropriate place for it to be heard and certainly...ah...it would receive a fair hearing there and therefore I would..ah..respectively urge that the motion be supported."

Shea: "The Lady from DuPage, Miss Dyer on the motion."

Dyer: "Ah...Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House...ah...yes I would like to...ah...support...ah...Representative Hirschfeld's motion and and respectively add my request as Minority Spokes-



person to that of the chairman of the Higher Education Committee and to that of those Members of the Higher Education Committee who are extremely interested in this subject and suggest that it should be assigned back to the Higher Education Committee. I'd like I ask this question not critically but for information Mr...ah...Speaker ah...in rule 31 F...ah...I understand that the Committee on the assignment of Bills may not reassign Bills or Resolutions unless at least one Member of Majority Party and one Member of the Minority Party assigned to the Committee on assignment of Bills are present...ah...when I came up from Higher Education Committee this morning I spoke to the Minority Member of assignment of Bills Committee Representative Klosak and asked if he had been aware of this...ah...reassignment from House Higher Education Committee to Executive Committee and he said no that that he had not been aware. Now I recognize in the rush...ah...assignment of Bills this may have been an oversight but I do question...ah...let's bring rule 31 F into play here."

Shea: "All right now Mr. Klosak is not on the floor and he called my office and said that he was there and I know he was so we will since you questioned that there can be nothing else done but this taken out of the record until Mr. Klosak gets back. On that Mr. Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker I was going to make the point of order to that. The presumption is that he was there. As long as it was reassigned and I I don't think anybody can raise that and and especially as long as he's not on the floor...ah...I I would say that the presumption is that he had to be there or it wouldn't have been reassigned and anything else is heresy as far as I'm concerned but if you want to."

Shea: "I know Mr. Klosak. I think he was over in the Senate and."

Matijevich: "I'd just as soon get rid of it Mr. Speaker, it takes 107 votes and...ah...I was going to speak on it only to say tha...ah.. that we need a change of pace next week in Executive Committee. We've got the remap and I I like to have something else there to have a to give us a little change of pace and I can guar guarantee everybody that our the Executive Committee will treat the matter



fairly. Of all the Committees we handle a variety of subject matter and surely we can handle such an issue like this with no problem at all."

Shea: "Now Mr. Hirschfeld you've opened do you have a point of order?"

Hirschfeld: "Yes I do Mr. Speaker. I don't think this matter should be taken out of the record. I don't really care whether Mr. Klosak was there or not. I filed a motion that it it's been reassigned assigned to the wrong Committee and that's the point that I."

Shea: "Well then am I to assume by the filing of your motion that the presumption that Mr. Klosak was there is not in question?"

Hirschfeld: "I'm not going to raise that point. I'm raising."

Shea: "Well one of your Members has. Miss Dyer."

Dyer: "Ah...Mr. Speaker I would like to apologize to the chair...ah.. I was not really aware of the fact that Representative Klosak was not here to to...ah...answer...ah...personally for this and I I did not mean to imply any...ah...dishonor on anyone's part...ah... it was a question of procedure that I wanted cleared up in the rush...ah...of business. I think we should vote this motion up or down on the merits of whether this Bill which the subject of which is Higher Education should be heard before the Higher Education Committee."

Shea: "All right. Back on the motion again then. Mr. Calvo."

Calvo: "Well Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I...ah... for the life of me can't understand the...ah...supporters of this motion's....ah...rational. We're not talking about building a school or...ah...anything else to do with the university. We're talking about Southern Illinois University at Carbondale retaining their Board of Trustees but we're talking about the establishment of a Board of Trustees for Southern Illinois University at Edwardsville. This is a governing body of the University. If this isn't executive I don't know what is, number 1, number 2 these Trustees will be appointed by the Governor of the State of Illinois and if you're going to tell me that when you've got a matter concerning appointments by the Governor of this State that that's not an executive matter and it does not properly belong in Executive Committee I don't know why it wouldn't and I urge your defeat of this motion."



Shea: "On the question the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Walsh."

Walsh: "Ah...I'm sorry. Take that out Mr. Speaker."

Shea: "On the question the Lady from Champaign, Miss Satterthwaite."

Satterthwaite: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I rise simply to point out that in a very rough.....of the first 137 Bills..ah.. on the House calendar on on the...ah...first 137 numerical numbers of our House Bills. A great many of them indicate in the synopsis that they are new Bills and with very few exceptions they are signed to a Committee that deals with the substance of that new Bill and not to the Executive Committee and so if there has recently been a policy adopted to put new Acts in Executive it has certainly not been consistent throughout the whole term of this Assembly and I would hope that we can have this Bill back in Higher Education."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Sangamon, Mr. Londrigan on the question."

Londrigan: "Mr. Speaker I move the previous question."

Shea: "The question is shall the main question be put. All those in favor will say aye. Those opposed will say nay. In the opinion of the chair the ayes have it. Now back to Mr. Hirschfeld to close. The question. Mr. Kane."

Kane: "Parliamentary inquiry. What's the vote required for this motion to carry?"

Shea: "In the opinion of the chair it takes 89 votes."

Kane: "On the basis of what rule?"

Shea: "On the basis that our rules are silent with regards to anyway of transferring a Bill other than by the Committee on assignments. Would be the opinion of the chair in order to reassign a Bill you'd have to it would be the equivalent of suspending that rule. Rule 31."

Kane: "If the rules are silent though don't we revert to Robert's which would mean that a motion would require just a majority of those voting?"

Shea: "The motion Mr. Kane is inconsistent with the rules of the House and it would be the opinion of the chair that you must suspend the rules in order for a reassignment to occur. On the question. All those in favor of the Gentleman's motion will vote aye. Those



JUN 5 1975

opposed will vote nay. The Gentleman from Madison, Mr. Steele to explain his vote."

Steele: "Ah...Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House in explaining my no vote...ah...I do believe this is an executive matter. If it did appear before the Executive Committee in the Senate and so should so properly in my judgment...ah...appear before the Executive Committee here in the House. I would submit to you that there are many Bills being assigned to Committees here in the House on which we might question their assignment but I think if we're going to support our Committee on assignments, our Committee systems in the interest of time I think that most certainly we should vote no on this and back up the Committee on assignments that has that jurisdiction."

Shea: "Is there any further discussion? Take the Roll Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 105 ayes, 31 nays, none voting present. The motion to reassign Senate Bill 16 from Executive to Higher Education is adopted. Mr. Mann."

Mann: "Ah...parliamentary inquiry Mr. Speaker. In connection with the just completed action...ah...of the House in as much as the Bill was posted for Executive what would the ruling of the chair be with regard to...ah...the timing of the hearing before Higher Education?"

Shea: "If you want to hear it today I would presume that somebody would make the motion to suspend the appropriate rule and have it heard today. If not it would have to be posted for a hearing."

Mann: "Mr. Speaker, I'm not I'm not going to make that motion. I just wanted to inquiry. I think...ah...ah...that motion would be...ah...up to the...ah...ah...the House Sponsor but while I'm on my feet may I indicate Mr....ah...Speaker that...ah...Higher Education will meet immediately after adjournment. Thank you Mr. Speaker."

Shea: "Mr. Dunn do you seek recognition? Ralph Dunn, I'm sorry. The Gentleman from Madison, Mr. Calvo."

Calvo: "Well Mr. Speaker I think that maybe as Sponsor of the Bill I ought to have a little consideration as to when it's heard at least. The Bill was posted...ah...for Executive Committee a week from today and...ah...of course on that basis I assumed that's at



least when it would be heard wherever it's heard and...ah...I don't know why I'd be forced to hear the Bill today when I've got two other Committee meetings at exactly the same time."

Shea: "I'm sorry Mr. Calvo did you make a motion?"

Calvo: "No I did not sir I I'm only pointing out that it looks like people are deciding the Bills to be heard immediately and I've got two conflicting Committees and Bills in two other Committees at the same time."

Shea: "All right the motion the motion is adopted. Mr. Calvo."

Calvo: "Well Mr. Speaker I hate to take up the time of the House but because I feel without question this is an executive matter. I guess I don't know what Committee is...ah...a Committee in the education in the Senate going to hear the appointments by the Governor to this board? I I ask for a verification of that Roll Call."

Shea: "Mr. Calvo I did announce the vote but I don't will anybody object to the verification of the Roll Call? There's been objections. I think Mr. Calvo your motion comes too late. Turn on Mr. Calvo please."

Calvo: "Well Mr. Speaker I'm sorry I I did hear you say something about a motion carrying and I understood another Member had made another motion about when the Bill would be posted...ah...we did not go to the next order of business and I do think that...ah...for all of this session and the last several session if we have not gone to the next order of business through courtesy we've had the opportunity to verify the Roll Call."

Shea: "Well Mr. Calvo if you're supported by five Members I think I'm required to give you a verification of the Roll Call. I've got a Roll Call here that was taken and til I go to the next order of business....Mr. Walsh."

Walsh: "Yeah now Mr. Speaker you did go to another order of business. You went to the order of announcements. The chairman of the Higher Education Committee made an announcement. I don't know whether it was with respect to this Bill or not. It was with respect to a meeting. Now I think the Sponsor of the Bill has a valid point in perhaps not wanting it heard today because he may



not have his witnesses here today since he did not expect it to be heard today even though it was posted so I for one would support him if he wanted to have the Bill heard next week in the Higher Education Committee but I certainly don't think that he can at this time request a verification since the result was announced and we went to another order of business namely announcement."

Shea: "Well we certainly didn't go to another order of business announcements. Mr. Mann said something. Mr. Calvo is supported by five Members. I'm obligated in my opinion to give him a verification of that Roll Call. Mr. Calvo. You wish a verification Mr. Calvo?"

Calvo: "Yes sir. I'd, yes sir."

Shea: "And are you supported by five Members? Proceed to call the affirmative Roll Call. Mr. Hirschfeld on the question."

Hirschfeld: "Yes Mr. Speaker I'd ask a poll of the absentees."

Shea: "All right. Mr. Hirschfeld moves for a poll of the absentees and Mr. Mann did you seek recognition?"

Mann: "Yes. Mr. Speaker I just wanted to clear for you clarify this point regardless of your ruling. I did not move for an immediate hearing. That's up to Mr. Calvo."

Shea: "I know that sir. Call the absentees please."

O'Brien: "Arnell, E. M. Barnes, Beatty, Berman."

Shea: "Mr. Barnes votes aye."

O'Brien: "Brandt, Brinkmeier, Caldwell, D'Arco, Darrow, DiPrima, Ebbesen, Ewell, Farley, Fary."

Shea: "Fary votes no."

O'Brien: "Fleck, Garmisa, Giglio, Gene Hoffman, Ron Hoffman, Dan Houlihan, Emil Jones, Kelly, Klosak, Kosinski, Lechowicz."

Shea: "Lechowicz votes no."

O'Brien: "Leon, Leverenz, Madison, Mautino, McPartlin, Molloy, Mulcahey."

Shea: "Mulcahey votes no."

O'Brien: "Nardulli, Porter, Sangmeister, Sevcik, VanDuyne, White, Williams, Younger."

Shea: "Williams votes no. Porter votes aye. Proceed sir."

O'Brien: "Younger and Mr. Speaker."



JUN 5 1975

Shea: "Mr. Kosinski do you wish recognition?"

Kosinski: "Mr. Speaker I just returned. How am I recorded?"

Shea: "How is Mr."

O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as not voting."

Kosinski: "Not having heard the controversy leave me that way please."

Shea: "Mr. Merlo."

Merlo: "Mr. Speaker how am I recorded?"

Shea: "How is Mr. Merlo recorded?"

O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting aye."

Merlo: "Would you please change it to no?"

Shea: "Mr. Merlo wishes to be changed from aye to no. Mr. Leon. Mr.

Leon wishes to be reported as no. Mr. Kornowicz."

Kornowicz: "Recorded no."

Shea: "How is Mr. Kornowicz recorded sir?"

O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting no."

Shea: "No. Mr. Caldwell how are you is he recorded?"

O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Shea: "Record him as no. Mr. Madigan. How are you recorded? Is Mr.

Madigan recorded?"

O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting no."

Shea: "Proceed with the verification of the affirmative vote."

O'Brien: "Anderson, J. M. Barnes, Beauvois, Birchler, Bluthardt,

Borchers, Brummet, Byers, Campbell, Capuzi, Carroll, Catania,

Chapman, Choate, Coffey, Collins, Daniels, Deavers, Deuster,

Downs, Duff, Ralph Dunn, Dyer, Epton, Ewing, Friedland, Gaines,

Geo-Karis, Getty."

Shea: "Mr. Klosak did you seek recognition sir? Proceed sir."

O'Brien: "Greiman, Griesheimer, Hanahan."

Shea: "Mr. Hanahan."

Hanahan: "Change me to no please."

Shea: "Mr. Hanahan wishes to change from aye to nay. Proceed sir."

O'Brien: "Hart, Hill, Hirschfeld, Holewinski, J. M. Houlihan."

Shea: "Mr. Houlihan did you wish recognition sir? Proceed."

O'Brien: "Hudson, Huff, Jaffe, J. D. Jones, Kane, Katz, Keller."

Shea: "Would you hold on sir. Mr. Matijevich on a point of order."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker I'd make I'd like to make a point of order



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

JUN 5 1975

165.

which would be a clarification because of what Representative Dyer said on the House floor. She did apologize in making the statement when Representative Klosak wasn't here, but I don't think it was understood clearly that Representative Klosak did also agree to the reassignment. I talked to Representative Klosak and he made it clear and it ought to be stated on the floor that there was surreptitious about this that the assignment . . . reassignment was made and he was there."

Shea: "Mr. Hirschfeld."

Hirschfeld: "Now, Mr. Speaker, that comment by the distinguished Gentleman from Lake is totally uncalled for, it's a dilatory tactic while we're in the middle of a verification. I . . . I plainly sit up here and stated that it didn't matter to me if all three Members of the Committee of Assignment were there, that wasn't the point I was raising. All I was raising the substantive issue that the Bill dealt with a certain subject matter and it ought to go to Higher Education, and I think that the Gentleman is out of order in his comment."

Shea: "Mr. Matijeovich."

Matijeovich: "I take offense to that, I think any issue ought to be cleared up, and that was an issue that was raised, and I only made it to clear up . . . clear the air. I don't care where this Bill goes at all, but I don't an issue to be hanging in the air when someone may have made a misstatement. Any misstatement, I think, ought to be clarified and that's the only reason I made it."

Shea: "Proceed with the Roll Call. Now, Miss Dyer wishes to be recognized on a point of personal privilege."

Dyer: "Yes. Yes, . . . ah . . . I would like to . . . ah . . . clear up any . . . ah . . . any misapprehension that . . . ah . . . that Representative Matijeovich may have had. Ah . . . My apology was . . . was not only making a statement, and raising a question of a rule when Representative Klosak was not on the floor, but my apology was for any possible implication that there could have been an intentional bypassing of Mr. Klosak. I thought I made it



JUN 5 1915

166.

very clear that, you know, in the numbers of Bills we have and in the rush . . . ah . . . you know, there can be confusion. So I do not want any cloud left on anyone's name as a result of anything I said. I repeat my apology to the Chair."

Shea: "Proceed with the verification of the affirmative Roll."

Jack O'Brien: "Kempiners. Kent. Kucharski. LaFluer. Lauer."

Shea: "Excuse me, Sir. Mr. Huff, do you seek recognition?"

Huff: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, how . . . how am I recorded?"

Shea: "How is Mr. Huff recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Huff: "Record me as . . . as voting 'no' please."

Shea: "Mr. Huff wishes to go from 'aye', to 'nay'. Proceed with the verification, Sir."

Jack O'Brien: "Leinenweber. Luft. Lundy. Macdonald. Mahar. Mann. Maragos. Marovitz. McAuliffe. McAvoy. McClain. McCourt. McLendon. McLendon wants recognition."

Shea: "Mr. McLendon."

McLendon: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, please change me from 'aye', to 'no'."

Shea: "Mr. McLendon wishes . . . 'aye' to 'nay'. Mr. Patrick, do you seek recognition, Sir?"

Patrick: "Mr. Speaker, change my 'aye' to 'no'."

Shea: "Mr. Patrick wishes to go from 'aye' to 'no'. Proceed with the verification please. Mr. Kosinski, do you seek recognition, Sir?"

Kosinski: "Mr. Speaker, I since found out what this is all about, and I'll go along with the Assignment Committee. Mark me 'no' please."

Shea: "Mr. Kosinski wishes to be voted 'no'. Mr. Kelly, do you seek recognition. How is Mr. Kelly recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Shea: "Mr. Kelly wishes to be voted 'no'. Proceed with the . . . Mr. Darrow, do you seek recognition, Sir?"

Darrow: "Yes, I'd like to vote 'no'."

Shea: "Mr. Darrow wishes to be voted 'no'. Mr. Luft, do you seek recognition, Sir?"

Luft: "Ah . . . How am I recorded, Mr. Speaker?"

Shea: "How is Mr. Luft . . ."



JUN 5 1971

167.

Jack O'Brien: "Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Luft: "Please change it to 'no'."

Shea: "Mr. Luft wishes to be recorded 'no'. Mr. Taylor wishes to be recorded as voting 'no'. Mr. Mudd wishes to be recorded as voting 'no'. Proceed with the verification, Sir."

Jack O'Brien: "McMaster. Meyer. Miller. Mugalian. Neff. Palmer. Peters. Pierce. Polk. Porter. Randolph. Rayson. Reed. Richmond. Rigney. Rose. Ryan. Satterthwaite. Schisler. Schlickman. Schneider. Schoeberlein. Schraeder. Schuneman. Simms. Skinner. Stearney. C. M. Stiehl. Stone. Telcser. Tipword . . . Tuerk. Waddell. Wall. Walsh. Washburn. Willer. Winchester and Yourell."

Shea: "Now, Mr. Clerk, while you're totaling those up, some Members seek recognition. Mr. Downs, did you seek recognition, Sir? Mr. D'Arco. Mr. D'Arco wishes to be voted 'no'. Mr. Walsh."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, I call your attention to Rule 50D, which says, 'Notwithstanding a motion to reconsider a Member supported by five other Members is entitled to a verification of a Roll Call on the Bill or Resolution last considered by the House until either the next Bill or Resolution is called or the House has proceeded to the next order of business.' Mr. Speaker, we proceeded to announcements and this verification should not be taking place."

Shea: "Mr. Walsh, I've already ruled we didn't do that."

Walsh: "Well, would you ask . . . would you ask Representative Mann what he was doing after the Roll Call was announced. Would you ask him what he did? Would you ask him to respond to that, Mr. Speaker? Perhaps you ought to be relieved, Mr. Speaker, and the permanent Speaker ought to replace you, it's been a long afternoon."

Shea: "On this question there are 98 'ayes' at this point. Now, before we go ahead and verify it, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Klosak."

Klosak: "Mr. Speaker, I am not recorded on this and I just would . . . being the Minority Member of the Assignment Committee, I don't like being overruled. Now, last year I was the Chairman of the Committee on Assignment of Bills. There isn't a Member in this



House, outside of the freshman Members, who didn't come to me and say, Klosak, I have my best chance of passing this Bill as you would put it in this Committee, invariably I have done this for you. If we've made a mistake, and I put it on . . . in a Committee, and you would come to me and say, I don't have a chance of getting this Bill out of that Committee, give me a fair chance to reassign it. I have done that for you, each and every one of you, we have done that 100 times. Now, once again, this not I am the Chairman, and I don't have that authority, but there has never been a Bill reassigned that I was not consulted with or advised. Now, what are we doing in this particular case, here is a Member, Representative Calvo, who came to me and says, Mr. Klosak, I would have a better chance of passing my Bill if it went to a certain Committee, and I say, of course, Representative Calvo, we're going to put that in Committee, in your Committee, wherever you think you have the best chance. Now, this is the same thing every one of you have done previously, and I can't understand why the Committee of Assignment has to be overruled. The Sponsor wants it where it's at, the Committee on Assignment put it there, now, all of a sudden you are taking it upon yourselves and say, hey, Committee on Assignment, you're wrong, we're going to tell you where to put the Bill. If that's the way you want, fine, let you assign every Bill. We don't need a Committee on Assignment. This Bill has had a fair hearing. The Committee on Assignment of Bills has made its decision, and I resent being overruled."

Shea: ". . . wish to vote, Mr. Klosak."

Klosak: "No."

Shea: "Record Mr. Klosak as 'no'. Now, how many are we starting with so we know on this verification where we're starting? We have 98 . . ."

Jack O'Brien: "98 'ayes' and 10 'nays'."

Shea: "98 'ayes'. Proceed with the verification, Mr. Calvo. Are . . . is there any question?"

Calvo: "Yes, Mr. Speaker . . ."



JUN 5 1975

169.

Shea: "Well hold on Mr. Schlickman. Are you on a point of order sir?"

Schlickman: "Yes, I'd like to know if this motion is still within the control of the House?"

Shea: "Well if it isn't it could be perhaps lost then. Mr. Calvo."

Calvo: "Yes Mr. Speaker, thank you. Ah...Mr. Merlo."

Shea: "Is Mr. Merlo here?"

Calvo: "Oh I'm sorry. He changed. Sorry about that John...ah...Mr. Brummet."

Shea: "Is Mr. Brummet on the floor? Is Mr. Brummet here? How is he recorded sir?"

Jack O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Shea: "Take him off the Roll Call."

Calvo: "Representative Capuzi."

Shea: "Mr. Capuzi. Is Mr. Capuzi on the floor? How is he recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Shea: "Take him off the Roll Call."

Calvo: "Representative Catania."

Shea: "Miss Catania is in her seat."

Calvo: "Representative Choate."

Shea: "Representative Choate. Is Representative Choate on the floor? How is he recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Shea: "Take him off the Roll Call."

Calvo: "Representative Coffey."

Shea: "Representative Coffey. He's here...ah...Mr., excuse me sir, Mr. Meyer do you wish to be recognized for some pertinent reason?"

Meyer: "Yes, change me from 'aye' to 'no'."

Shea: "Mr. Meyer goes from 'aye' to 'nay'. Miss Barnes. Miss Barnes wishes to be changed from 'aye' to 'no'. Mr. Kucharski. How is he recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Shea: "He wishes to be changed to 'nay'. Mr. Palmer."

Palmer: "Change me from 'aye' to 'no' please."

Shea: "Mr. Palmer wishes to be changed from 'aye' to 'nay'. Mr. Calvo do you have any further questions?"

Calvo: "Ah...yes...ah...Representative Epton."



JUN 5 1965

170.

Shea: "Representative Epton. Is that Mr. Epton in his seat or is that a page? Might I ask the pages not to sit in the seats of the Members during a verification. Mr. Epton is not on the floor. How is he recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Shea: "Take him off the Roll Call."

Calvo: "Representative John Friedland."

Shea: "Mr. Friedland. How is Mr. Friedland. Mr. Friedland's in his seat."

Calvo: "Representative Getty."

Shea: "Mr. Getty. Is Mr. Getty on the floor? How is he recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Shea: "Take him off the Roll Call. The Gentleman from Madison, Mr. Byers. Mr. Byers wishes go from 'aye' to 'nay'."

Calvo: "Representative Greiman."

Shea: "Is Rep...Representative Greiman is in his seat sir."

Calvo: "Representative Jaffe."

Shea: "Mr. Jaffe is in his seat. Miss Reed do you seek recognition?"

Reed: "How am I recorded?"

Shea: "How is Miss Reed recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "The Lady's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Reed: "Change me to 'no' please."

Shea: "Miss Reed wishes to go from 'aye' to 'nay'. Mr. Calvo."

Calvo: "Representative Keller."

Shea: "Representative Keller. How is he recorded? Keller. On you're he's in his seat sir."

Calvo: "Oh...I'm sorry. Ah...Representative Kent."

Kent: "Rep...Miss Kent. Mrs. Kent is in her seat."

Calvo: "Representative...ah...Miller. Oh he's here. Representative Peters."

Shea: "Representative Peters. Is Mr. Peters on the floor? How is he recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Shea: "Take him off the Roll Call."

Calvo: "Ah...might I...well...Representative Gaines."

Shea: "Is Mr. Gaines in his seat? Is Mr. Gaines on the floor of the



JUN 5 1938

House? How's he recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Shea: "Take him off the Roll Call."

Calvo: "Representative Wall."

Shea: "Representative Wall. Is Representative Wall in his seat? How is he recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Shea: "Take him off the Roll Call."

Calvo: "Representative Willer."

Shea: "Would you hold on for a minute? Would the Members please be this their seats during the verification and would those people on the floor of the House not entitled to the floor please remove themselves? Mr. Maragos for what purpose do you wish to be recognized?"

Maragos: "I was off the floor sir. Am I recorded on this Roll Call?"

Shea: "How is Mr. Maragos recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Maragos: "Please change it to 'no'."

Shea: "Mr. Maragos wishes to go from 'aye' to 'nay'. Mr. Calvo."

Calvo: "Representative Stearney."

Shea: "Is Representative Stearney on the floor? How is he recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Shea: "Take him off the Roll Call."

Calvo: "Representative Waddell."

Shea: "Is Representative Waddell on the floor? Mr Sims I can't see. Would you could you please be in your seat sir? I can not see if Mr. Waddell is there. How is Mr. Waddell recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Shea: "Take him off the Roll Call."

Calvo: "Representative McAvoy."

Shea: "Is Representative McAvoy on the floor? How is he recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Shea: "Take him off the Roll Call."

Calvo: "Ah...I'm not sure Representative Marovitz's was recorded or not. Would the clerk take?"

Shea: "How is Mr...is Mr. Marovitz on the floor of the House? How is he



JUN 5 1975

172.

recorded Mr. Clerk?"

Jack O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Shea: "He's recorded as 'aye'. He's now back on the floor and wishes to go from 'aye' to 'nay'. He's down here in the well Mr. Hirschfeld."

Calvo: "I I believe that's all Mr. Speaker."

Shea: "What is the Roll Call now Mr. Clerk? Mr. Hirschfeld."

Hirschfeld: "Well Mr. Speaker I think you'll find that when the clerk tallies the Roll it's 81 and I...ah...certainly have been in this House long enough to realize that you play by the rules...ah... both ways and so you know I'll take my beating with the best of them but I would like to say this to the distinguished Gentleman from Cook who's a very good friend of mine and who's a Minority Member on the Committee on assignments that the speech he made to me justifies the motion that I made. In five years on the floor of this House I have never once not once have I ever asked to have a Bill taken from the Committee to which it belonged because of it's substantive value and assigned to a Committee where I thought I had the best chance of passing it. I always have felt that the Committee system was sacrosanct. That if a Bill dealt with a subject of law it should be a judiciary. If it dealt with the subject of elementary education, it should be in elementary education because that's where the expertise lays. I still feel this way. I don't mind taking the defeat. That's part of the ballgame but I think this points up a sad lacking in the House rules when somebody can take a Bill 30 minutes prior to the time it's going to be heard and have it switched from the Committee to which I feel it belongs and to which the title says it belongs and put in a Committee where it can be passed. I respect the Gentleman from Cook for being amenable to his Members. I know that he did that out of the goodness of his heart and I think that's great but it certainly defeats the rules and I think it's a a poor approach to government when we can put things in a Committee where we can pass them when we know that the Committee that's got the expertise in the subject would tube the Bill."

Shea: "On this question there are 79 'aye' votes, 64 'nay' votes."



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

JUN 5 1947

The Gentleman's motion having failed to receive 89 votes is hereby declared lost. Mr. Madison do you seek recognition sir?"

Madison: "Yes Mr. Speaker I did not vote on this motion and it doesn't really matter I but for the record I would like to be recorded as voting 'aye'. It will not change the results."

Shea: "Mr. Madison wishes to be recorded as 'aye'. Mr. Calvo."

Calvo: "Mr. Speaker, briefly on a point of personal privilege. Now the Gentleman from Champaign in every way he could in two speeches on this floor insinuated that I manipulated a Bill where I wanted it. Now just a minute. Mr. Hirschfeld I think you've had your voice. I think it's a perf perfectly."

Shea: "Mr. Calvo Mr. Calvo that's a dead issue right now. Mr. Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Well Mr. Speaker one thing that isn't a dead issue and I said that I'd like to clear up a misstatement. That reassignment didn't come 30 minutes before your hearing. It came up about three days ago at least. When I as a chairman of the Executive Committee I had been told by the reassignment Committee that that Bill was referred to my Committee. So that is a misstatement. At least that ought to be cleared up."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Ah...thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I like to make a motion at the time and the motion has been cleared with the Majority the Speaker, the Majority Leader, the Minority Leader and the co-spokesman on the Appropriations I Committee. I like to move to suspend Rule 18 of the House in order to post House Bills 1947 and 1948 for Monday, June 9th on adjournment of the House. These Bills are the annual budget Bills for the Department of Transportation. They have been posted in Appropriation I now since about the middle of May. Unfortunately we haven't had the input from the Department as we requested. I believe the Membership received a a copy of a letter or actually a status report from Representative McClain on the Department of Transportation budget Bills. I also sent a letter to the Governor asking for his cooperation in getting this information submitted to both staffs. Unfortunately this item has not been forthcoming



JUN 5 1945

174.

as of today's date. The Bill have been posted. They were scheduled for hearing for tomorrow morning. We do not have our information as of yet and for this reason I'm asking to move to suspend Rule 18 and have these Bills once again posted for Monday after adjournment. Thank you very much."

Shea: "The Gentleman's moves to have Rule 18 the provisions of Rule 18 suspended so House Bills 1947 and 1948 may be posted for hearing on Monday morning in Appropriations I. Pardon me, afternoon. Right. On Monday. It requires 107 votes. All those in favor will vote 'aye'. Those opposed will vote 'nay'. On this question there are 128 'ayes', 130 'ayes', take the record, I'm sorry, 130 'ayes', 2 'nays', 1 present. The Gentleman's motion with regards to House Bills 1947 and 1948 are recognized. Are there any further announcements? The Gentleman, the Lady from Cook, Miss Chapman."

Chapman: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. The House Committee on Human Resources will meet immediately upon adjournment in Room 118, Capitol Building."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Pierce, the chairman of the Environmental Energy and Natural Resources Committee."

Pierce: "Ah...Mr. Speaker for an announcement. The...ah...House Committee on Environment Energy and Natural Resources will meet on the floor of the House immediately after adjournment...ah... rather than in Room...ah...112A as...ah...as previously...ah... previously posted. We hope the Members will assemble quickly so we can get underway because we have witnesses that have been waiting since before 2 o'clock the posted time."

Shea: "The Gentleman from Fulton, Mr. Schisler, the chairman of the Agricultural Committee."

Schisler: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The Agricultural...ah...Committee will meet immediately. C-1."

Shea: "Now let's see we have Mr. Porter do you have some kind of an announcement?"

Porter: "Ah...yes...ah...Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The General Assembly Tennis Tournament is all...ah...going tonight. It's on for...ah...this evening and there were matches beginning



INDEX

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

June 5, 1975

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

TRANSCRIPT INDEX

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
1	10:15	Speaker Redmond	House come to order.
		Father Krueger	Prayer.
		Speaker Redmond	Roll Call for attendance.
	10:20	Jack O'Brien	Senate Bill, 2nd reading.
2	10:25	Speaker Redmond	Third reading.
	10:26	Speaker Redmond	Former Mayor, City of Pekin.
	10:27	Speaker Redmond	Senate Bill 4.
		Jack O'Brien	SB 4, 2nd reading, no committee amendment and one floor amendment.
		Speaker Redmond	
		DiPrima	Amendment #1.
	10:28	Speaker Redmond	Amendment #1 adopted, 3rd reading.
5		Jack O'Brien	SB 99, 2nd reading, no committee amendment.
		Speaker Redmond	Third reading.
	10:30	Hart ) Speaker Redmond)	Take out of record. Take out.
		Jack O'Brien	SB 196, 2nd reading, no committee amendment.
		Speaker Redmond	Third reading.
	10:31	Jack O'Brien	SB 208, 2nd reading, no committee amendment.
		Speaker Redmond	Third reading.
6		Jack O'Brien	SB 209, 2nd reading, no committee amendment.
		Speaker Redmond	Third reading.
		Jack O'Brien	SB 241, 2nd reading, no committee amendment.
		Speaker Redmond	Third reading.

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

TRANSCRIPT INDEX

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>	
6	10:31	Jack O'Brien	SB 271, 2nd reading, no committee amendment.	
		Speaker Redmond	Third reading.	
	10:32	Jack O'Brien	SB 325, 2nd reading, no committee amendment.	
		Speaker Redmond	Third reading.	
	10:33	Jack O'Brien	SB 335, 2nd reading, no committee amendment.	
		Speaker Redmond	Third reading.	
Jack O'Brien		SB 353, 2nd reading, no committee amendment.		
7	10:34	Speaker Redmond	Third reading.	
		Jack O'Brien	SB 375, 2nd reading, no committee amendment.	
	10:35	Speaker Redmond	Third reading.	
		Jack O'Brien	SB 391, 2nd reading, no committee amendment.	
	10:36	Speaker Redmond	Third reading.	
		Jack O'Brien	SB 334, 2nd reading, no committee amendment, one floor amendment.	
		Speaker Redmond		
	8	10:37	Giorgi	Amendment #1.
			Speaker Redmond	
			Younge	No objections.
10:36		Speaker Redmond	Amendment #1 adopted, 3rd reading.	
		Jack O'Brien	SB 456, 2nd reading, three committee amendments.	
		10:37	Schisler	Amendment #1.
Speaker Redmond	Amendment adopted.			
		Jack O'Brien	Amendment #2 tabled in committee, Amendment #3.	

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

TRANSCRIPT INDEX

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
8	10:37	Speaker Redmond	
	10:38	Schisler	Amendment #3 adopted.
		Jack O'Brien	Floor amendment #4.
		Speaker Redmond	
		Schisler	Amendment #4.
9		Speaker Redmond	Amendment #4 adopted, third reading.
		Jack O'Brien	SB 506.
		Speaker Redmond)	Fiscal note request.
	10:39	Londrigan )	
		Speaker Redmond	
	10:40	Ebbessen	"Calendars", etc.
		Speaker Redmond	
	10:42	Jack O'Brien	SB 529, 2nd reading, no committee amendments.
		Speaker Redmond	Third reading.
	10:43	Jack O'Brien	SB 572, 2nd reading, no committee amendments.
		Speaker Redmond	Third reading.
10		Jack O'Brien	SB 645, 2nd reading, no committee amendments.
		Speaker Redmond	Third reading.
		Jack O'Brien	SB 675, 2nd reading, no committee amendments.
		Speaker Redmond	Third reading.
		Speaker Redmond	Fiscal note request on SB 693.
		Jack O'Brien	SB 704, 2nd reading, no committee amendments, one floor amendment.
		Speaker Redmond	

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
10	10:43	Schisler	Amendment #1.
11		Speaker Redmond	Amendment adopted, Third Reading.
	10:44	Speaker Redmond	Introduced Judge.
		Shea	Introduced Norm Shea again.
	10:45	Jack O'Brien	SB 705, 2nd reading, no Committee amendments.
		Speaker Redmond	Third reading.
		Jack O'Brien	SB 740, 2nd reading, no Committee amendments.
	10:46	Speaker Redmond	Third reading.
		Jack O'Brien	SB 753, 2nd reading, no Committee amendments.
		Speaker Redmond	Third reading.
		Jack O'Brien	SB 767, 2nd reading, no Committee amendments.
12		Speaker Redmond	Third reading.
	10:47	Jack O'Brien	SB 779, 2nd reading, no Committee amendments.
		Speaker Redmond	Third reading.
		Jack O'Brien	SB 804, 2nd reading, no Committee amendments.
		Speaker Redmond	Third reading.
		Jack O'Brien	SB 819, 2nd reading, no Committee amendments.
		Speaker Redmond	Third reading.
		Jack O'Brien	SB 829, 2nd reading, no Committee amendments.
	10:48	Speaker Redmond	Third reading.

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
12	10:48	Jack O'Brien	SB 830, 2nd reading, no Committee amendments.
		Speaker Redmond	Third reading.
		Jack O'Brien	SB 831, 2nd reading, no Committee amendments.
13		Speaker Redmond	Third reading.
		Jack O'Brien	SB 832, 2nd reading, no Committee amendments.
		Speaker Redmond	Third reading.
		Jack O'Brien	SB 834, 2nd reading, no Committee amendments.
		Speaker Redmond	Third reading.
	10:49	Jack O'Brien	SB 911, 2nd reading, one Committee amendment.
		Speaker Redmond	
		Hoffman, G.	Amendment #1.
14		Speaker Redmond	Amendment #1 adopted.
		Jack O'Brien	Amendment #2.
		Speaker Redmond	
	10:50	Hoffman, G.	Amendment #2.
		Speaker Redmond	Amendment #2 adopted, third reading.
	10:51	Speaker Redmond	
		Totten	
		Jack O'Brien	Amendment #1.
		Totten	Committee amendment #1.
15		Speaker Redmond	

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
15	10:51	Jack O'Brien	Amendment #2.
		Speaker Redmond	
		Shea )	Explains amendment.
		)	
16	10:53	Totten)	Take out for a moment.
		Jack O'Brien	SB 913, 2nd reading, no Committee amendment.
		Speaker Redmond	Third reading.
		Jack O'Brien	SB 965, 2nd reading, two Committee amendments.
17	10:55	Jack O'Brien	SB 1066, 2nd reading, no Committee amendments.
		Speaker Redmond	Third reading.
		Jack O'Brien	SB 1070, 2nd reading, no Committee amendments.
		Speaker Redmond	Third reading.
		Jack O'Brien	SB 1084, 2nd reading, no Committee amendments.
		Speaker Redmond	Third reading.
		Jack O'Brien	SB 1087, 2nd reading, no Committee amendments.
	10:57	Speaker Redmond	Third reading.
		Jack O'Brien	SB 1139, 2nd reading, no Committee amendments.
		Speaker Redmond	Third reading.
18		Jack O'Brien	SB 1152, 2nd reading, no Committee amendments.
		Speaker Redmond	Third reading.
		Jack O'Brien	SB 1153, 2nd reading, no Committee amendments.
		Speaker Redmond	Third reading.

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

TRANSCRIPT INDEX

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
18	10:57	Jack O'Brien	SB 1165, 2nd reading, no Committee amendments.
		Speaker Redmond	Third reading.
		Jack O'Brien	SB 1173, 2nd reading, no Committee amendments.
		Speaker Redmond	Third reading.
		Jack O'Brien	SB 1258, 2nd reading, no Committee amendments.
	10:59	Speaker Redmond	Third reading.
		Jack O'Brien	SB 1260, 2nd reading, one Committee amendment.
		Speaker Redmond	
		Kozubowski	Amendment #1.
19		Speaker Redmond	
		Schlickman	Explanation.
		Kozubowski	Take out of record.
		Jack O'Brien	SB 1285, 2nd reading, no Committee amendments.
	11:00	Speaker Redmond	Third reading.
		Jack O'Brien	SB 1289, 2nd reading, no Committee amendments.
		Speaker Redmond	Third reading.
		Jack O'Brien	SB 1291, 2nd reading, no Committee amendments.
	11:01	Speaker Redmond	Third reading.
		Jack O'Brien	SB 1369, 2nd reading, no Committee amendments.
		Speaker Redmond	Third reading.
		Jack O'Brien	SB 612, 2nd reading, one Committee amendment.
		Speaker Redmond	

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

TRANSCRIPT INDEX

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
19	11:02	Houlihan, D.	Amendment #1.
20		Speaker Redmond	Committee amendment #1 adopted.
	11:03	Jack O'Brien	Floor amendment #2.
		Speaker Redmond	
	11:05	Calvo	Amendment #2.
		Speaker Redmond	
		Houlihan, D.	
		Speaker Redmond	Amendment #2 adopted, third reading.
		Jack O'Brien	SB 404, 2nd reading, One Committee amendment.
21		Speaker Redmond	
	11:06	Bradley	Amendment #1.
	11:07	Speaker Redmond	Amendment #1 adopted, third reading.
		Shea	Waiting for amendment.
	11:08	Speaker Redmond	
		Jack O'Brien	SB 742, 2nd reading, one Committee amendment.
		Speaker Redmond	
		Capparelli	Amendment #1.
		Speaker Redmond	Amendment adopted, Third reading.
		Shea	
22		Jack O'Brien	SB 1260, 2nd reading, one Committee amendment.
		Kozubowski	
	11:10	Speaker Redmond	Amendment #1 adopted, Third Reading.

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

TRANSCRIPT INDEX

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
22	11:10	Jack O'Brien	SB 837, amendment #2.
		Totten	Amendment #2.
		Speaker Redmond	Amendment adopted, Third Reading.
		Jack O'Brien	SB 100, 2nd reading, one Committee amendment.
		Speaker Redmond	
		Greiman	Amendment #1.
		Speaker Redmond	
23	11:12	Schlickman	Oppose.
		Speaker Redmond	
		Greiman	Ask for adoption of amendment.
24	11:13	Speaker Redmond	Amendment #1.
		Lechowicz) Greiman )	Sponsor explain amendment.
	11:16	Speaker Redmond	Amendment #1 adopted, third reading.
		Jack O'Brien	SB 1487, Third reading.
		Speaker Redmond	
25	11:17	Jaffe	Sponsor.
		Speaker Redmond	SB 1487 passed.
		Jack O'Brien	SB 1489, Third reading.
		Hanahan	Sponsor.
		Speaker Redmond	SB 1489 passed.
26	11:18	Speaker Redmond	Announce schedule for today.
		Geo-Karis	
		Speaker Redmond	
		Jack O'Brien	SB 980, 2nd reading, no Committee amendments.

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

TRANSCRIPT INDEX

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
26	11:18	Speaker Redmond	
27	11:23	Catania) Shea ) Catania)	Move it to third.
		Speaker Redmond	Third reading.
		Madison	Personal objection to schedule.
		Speaker Redmond	
		Hill	Agrees.
28		Speaker Redmond	
	11:26	Pierce	
	11:27	Speaker Redmond	"Slow day yesterday."
29		Skinner ) Speaker Redmond)	Answer from Ringo.
	11:28	Lechowicz	Response to Skinner.
		Speaker Redmond	
	11:29	Skinner	
		Speaker Redmond	
		Griesheimer	Senate failing to give House Members notices.
30		Speaker Redmond	
	11:30	Lundy	Point of order.
		Speaker Redmond	
		Hill	
31		Speaker Redmond	
	11:31	Jack O'Brien	SB 8, 2nd reading, no Committee amendments, one floor amendment.
		Speaker Redmond	

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

TRANSCRIPT INDEX

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
31	11:32	Mautino	Amendment #1.
		Speaker Redmond	
		Shea )	Sponsor yield.
	11:33	Mautino)	
		Speaker Redmond	
32	11:35	Kempiners	Support.
	11:36	Speaker Redmond	
		Byers	Oppose.
33		Speaker Redmond	
	11:37	Mautino	
		Speaker Redmond	
	11:38	Washburn	For amendment.
		Speaker Redmond	
		Coffey	Supports.
		Speaker Redmond	
	11:39	Friedrich	Supports.
34		Speaker Redmond	
	11:40	Ebbesen	Move previous question.
		Speaker Redmond	
		Totten	Explain vote-not previous question.
	11:41	Speaker Redmond	Motion.
		Mautino	To close.
	11:42	Speaker Redmond	Motion carries.
35		Shea	Explain no vote.
		Speaker Redmond	
	11:43	Kempiners	Explain yes vote.

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

TRANSCRIPT INDEX

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
35	11:43	Speaker Redmond	
	11:44	Friedrich	
		Speaker Redmond	
		Mautino	Take amendment out of record for time.
36	11:45	Speaker Redmond	Committee reports.
		Shea in Chair	Introduction on 1st bill.
		Jack O'Brien)	
	11:47	Shea )	HB, Third reading.
37		Duff)	Point of clarification.
		Shea)	Read the bill.
		Jack O'Brien	HB 783, Third reading.
	11:48	VonBoeckman	Sponsor.
		Shea	
	11:49	Tuerk ) VonBoeckman)	Yield.
38	11:52	Mann	Will you take it out?
		Speaker Redmond	
	11:53	VonBoeckman	
39		Shea	Take out.
	11:54	Duff	Take 899 out.
		Shea	
	11:55	Epton	Inquiry.
		Shea	
	11:56	Jack O'Brien	HB 2812.

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

TRANSCRIPT INDEX

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
39	11:56	Shea	
		Taylor	Sponsor.
40		Schlickman	
		Speaker Redmond	
		Hart	Oppose.
		Shea	
	11:57	Houlihan, J.	Yield.
		Taylor	
		Shea	
	11:58	Jaffe )	Yield.
		)	
41		Taylor)	And to close.
	11:59	Shea	HB 2812 passed.
		Hart	Asks for verification.
	12:00	Speaker Redmond	
		Taylor	Poll absentees.
		Shea	
		Hoffman, G.	Why don't they take it out?
		Shea	Take out.
		Keller	Take 2834 & put on Interim Study.
42		Shea	Leave.
	12:02	Shea	
		Fred Selcke	
		Shea	
	12:03	Lechowicz	Waiting for amendment, hold in 2nd.
		Shea	HB 3028.

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

TRANSCRIPT INDEX

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
42	12:03	Schisler	Opposed, yield to Brinkmeier.
		Shea	
	12:04	Brinkmeier	Speaks on Bill.
43		Shea	
	12:05	Walsh	Has bill been distributed.
		Shea	Yes.
		Walsh )	Respond.
		Brinkmeier)	Yield to Jones.
		Shea	
	12:07	Jones, J.D.	Explains.
44		Shea	
	12:08	Neff	
		Shea	
		Duff	
		Shea	
	12:09	Brinkmeier	To close.
		Shea	HB 3028 passed.
45		Brinkmeier	Did you bypass 3027?
	12:10	Shea	Yes.
		McMasters	
		Fred Selcke	
	12:11	McMasters	Wait till Bus is on the floor.
		Shea	
	12:12	Madison	
		Shea	

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

TRANSCRIPT INDEX

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
46	12:12	Schneider Shea	
	12:13	Fred Selcke Schneider Shea Polk ) Schneider) Shea )	HB 1172, Third reading.  HB 1172. Yield.
47		Schlickman) Schneider ) Shea )	Yield.
	12:15	Shea Borchers Shea	HB 1172. Explain vote. HB 1172 passed.
48		Fred Selcke Shea	SB 28, Third reading.
	12:16	Houlihan, D.L. Shea	Leave to #28, move back to 2nd for amendment. Leave granted.
	12:17	Fred Selcke Shea Houlihan, D.L.	Amendment #1.  Amendment #1.
	12:18	Shea Fred Selcke	Amendment adopted, Third Reading. SB 69, Third Reading.
49		Shea	
	12:19	Sharp Shea	

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

TRANSCRIPT INDEX

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
49	12:20	Palmer) Sharp ) Shea	Yield. SB 69 passed.
50		Fred Selcke Shea Shea Fred Selcke	SB 73, Third reading. SB 200, Third reading.
	12:21	Kane Shea	Sponsor. SB 200.
51	12:23	Duff Shea	
	12:25	Borchers Shea Kane	Against.
52	12:27	Shea	SB 200.
	12:29	Shea	Walsh, come to podium.
	12:30	Shea	SB 200 passed.
53		Fred Selcke Shea	HB 3017, Third reading. Take back to 2nd for amendment.
	12:31	Fred Selcke Shea	Amendment #5.
	12:32	Brandt	Amendment #5.
54		Shea	Amendment #5 adopted, Third reading.
55		Fred Selcke Shea	HB 3026.

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPT INDEX

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
55	12:35	Yourell	Speaks on Bill, yields to McMasters.
		Shea	
		McMasters	
56		Shea	
	12:36	Kane ) ) McMasters) ) Shea )	Yield.
		Schlickman	
	12:38	Shea	
		Kane	
57		Shea	
	12:39	Mahar ) ) McMasters) ) Shea )	Yield.
	12:42	Palmer	
58		Shea	
	12:43	Londrigan	Oppose.
59		Shea	
		Schlickman	Oppose.
		Shea	
		Simms ) ) McMasters)	Yield.
	12:44	McMasters)	
61	12:47	Reed	
		Shea	
		Skinner	Question.

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

TRANSCRIPT INDEX

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
61	12:47	McMaster:	
		Shea	
		Fleck	Move previous question.
		Shea	Ayes have it.
		McMaster	To close.
62	12:49	Shea	HB 3026.
	12:50	Miller	Against.
		Shea	
		Hill	
63		Shea	
		Geo-Karis	
		Shea	
	12:52	Downs	
	12:53	Shea	HB 3026 passed.
		Walsh	Introduction, Mayor Richard Carver.
		Shea	HB 3027, Third reading.
		Yourell	Yield to Brinkmeier.
		Fred Selcke	
64		Brinkmeier	
		Shea	
	12:55	Brinkmeier	
		Shea	
65	12:56	Tipsword)	Parliamentary inquiry.
		Shea )	
	12:57	Simms	Against.
		Shea	

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

TRANSCRIPT INDEX

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
65	12:58	Griesheimer	Support.
66		Shea	
		Ryan )	Yield.
		)	
	12:59	Brinkmeier)	
67		Ryan	Address the Bill.
		Shea	
	1:00	Deavers	Move previous question.
		Shea	Ayes have it.
		Brinkmeier	To close.
68		Shea	HB 3027.
	1:02	Waddell )	To explain vote & question.
		)	
		Brinkmeier)	
		Shea	HB 3027 passed.
	1:04	Waddell	Vote me no.
		Shea	
	1:05	Yourrell	Identical to 3026 & 3027, move to table 3032 & 3033.
69		Shea	
		McPartlin	Rep. Leon will handle.
		Shea	Asks leave 3029 & 3030 together.
		Fred Selcke	3029 & 3030.
		Shea	
	1:06	Leon	
		Shea	HB 3029 & 3030.
		Palmer)	Yield?
		)	
		Leon )	

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPT INDEX

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
70	1:06	Shea	
	1:08	Barnes, E.M.)	Yield.
		Leon )	Discussion.
	1:09	Shea )	
			Schlickman
71		Shea	
	1:10	Friedrich	
		Shea	
		Mugalian	
		Shea	
1:11	Leon	To close.	
72		Shea	HB 3029 & 3030 lost.
	1:12	Fred Selcke	HB 3031, Third reading.
		Shea	
		Stearney	HB 3031.
		Shea	
		Downs	Yield.
	1:13	Berman	Point of order.
Shea			
73	1:14	Downs )	
		Stearney)	
		Shea	
	1:15	Geo-Karis)	
Shea )			
1:16	Geo-Karis)	Question.	
	Stearney )		

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

TRANSCRIPT INDEX

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
74	1:17	Geo-Karis	Speaks on Bill.
		Shea	
		Madison	Yield.
		Stearney	
		Barnes, E.M.	
	1:19	Shea	Proceed.
		Madison )	Discussion.
		)	
	1:20	Stearney)	
		Shea )	
77	1:21	Fleck )	
		)	
	1:22	Stearney)	
		Shea	
	1:25	Stearney	Speak for Bill.
		Shea	
78		Hill	
79		Shea	
	1:27	Capuzi)	Move previous question.
		)	
		Shea )	Ayes have it.
	1:28	Stearney	To close.
80	1:29	Shea	HB 3031 lost.
	1:30	Katz	
	1:31	Shea	SB 207.
		Fred Selcke	SB 207, Third reading.
		Shea	
	1:32	Daniel	
81		Shea	
		Fleck	

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

TRANSCRIPT INDEX

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
81	1:32	Daniels	SB 207.
		Fleck	
		Daniels	
82		Fleck	Hold.
		Daniels	Take it out of record.
		Shea	Take it out of record.
		Geo-Karis	SB 236.
		Shea	
		Jack O'Brien	SB 236, Third reading.
		Shea	
		Ebbesen	Explains the bill.
		Shea	Vote, take the record, passed.
83		Jack O'Brien	SB 256, Third reading.
		Shea	
		Geo-Karis	Explains the bill, sponsor.
		Shea	
		Rayson	Question of sponsor.
		Geo-Karis	
		Rayson	
		Shea	SB 256, vote, bill passed, SB 257.
84		Jack O'Brien	SB 258, Third reading.
		Shea	SB 258.
		Geo-Karis	SB 258, Explains the bill, Sponsor.
		Shea	Vote, bill passed.
		Jack O'Brien	SB 260, Third reading.

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

TRANSCRIPT INDEX

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATTON</u>
84	1:32	Shea	
		Capparelli	Leave to hear 261, also.
85		Shea	
		Jack O'Brien	SB 261, Third reading.
		Shea	
		Houlihan D.L.	Explains the bills, Sponsor.
86		Shea	Vote, Bills passed.
		Jack O'Brien	SB 262, Third reading.
		Shea	
		Houlihan, D.L.	Explains the bill, sponsor.
		Shea	Vote, passed.
		Shea	SB 316.
87		Jack O'Brien	SB 316, Third reading.
		Shea	Take out of record.
		Jack O'Brien	SB 327, Third reading.
		Shea	SB 327.
		Tipsword	Explains the bill.
		Shea	Vote, bill passed.
88		Jack O'Brien	SB 329, Third reading.
		Shea	
		Schisler	Explains bill.
		Shea	Vote, take the record, passed.
		Jack O'Brien	SB 330, Third reading.
		Shea	
		Maragos	Explains the bill, sponsor.

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

TRANSCRIPT INDEX

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
89	1:32	Shea	
		Skinner	Speaks on the bill.
		Shea	
		Skinner	
		Shea	
		Skinner	
		Shea	Vote.
		Maragos	Explain vote.
90		Shea	Bill passed.
		Jack O'Brien	SB 338, Third reading.
		Shea	
		Stubblefield	Explains the bill, sponsor.
		Shea	
		Epton	On the amendment.
91		Shea	Bill passed.
		Jack O'Brien	SB <del>349</del> , Third reading.
		Shea	
		Terzich	Hear 352, also.
		Shea	Leave granted.
		Jack O'Brien	SB 352, Third reading.
		Terzich	SB 349 & 352, Explains the Bills, sponsor.
		Shea	
		Willer	Question.
		Terzich	I'll try.
		Willer	Discussion on bill.

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

TRANSCRIPT INDEX

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
160	4:25	Shea	
		Satterthwaite	
		Shea	
		Londrigan	Moves previous question.
		Shea	So moved.
		Kane	Parliamentary inquiry.
		Shea	89 votes
		Kane	What rule?
		Shea	
		Kane	
		Shea	Vote on motion.
161		Steele	Explain no vote.
		Shea	Take the roll, motion adopted.
		Mann	Parliamentary inquiry.
		Shea	
		Mann	
		Shea	
		Calvo	
162		Shea	
		Calvo	
		Shea	
		Calvo	Verification of that roll call.
		Shea	Already announced it.
		Calvo	
		Shea	
		Walsh	
163		Shea	Obligated to verification.

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

TRANSCRIPT INDEX

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
163	4:25	Calvo	Yes sir.
		Shea	Affirmative roll.
		Hirschfeld	Poll absentees.
		Shea	
		Mann	
		Shea	
		Jack O'Brien	Polls the absentees.
		Shea	
		Jack O'Brien	Continues poll.
		Shea	Recording of votes.
		Jack O'Brien	
		Shea	
		Jack O'Brien	
		Shea	
164		Kosinski	
		Shea	
		Jack O'Brien	
		Shea	
		Merlo	
		Jack O'Brien	
		Merlo	No.
		Shea	Leon, no.
		Kornowicz	
		Shea	
		Jack O'Brien	

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

TRANSCRIPT INDEX

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
164	4:25	Shea	
		Jack O'Brien	
		Shea	
		Jack O'Brien	Madigan, no.
		Shea	Proceed with verification.
		Jack O'Brien	Affirmative.
		Shea	Klosak.
		Jack O'Brien	Proceed with verification.
		Shea	
		Hanahan	
		Shea	
		Jack O'Brien	Continues.
		Shea	
		Jack O'Brien	
		Shea	
		Matijevich	Point of order.
165		Shea	
		Hirschfeld	Dilatory tactic.
		Shea	
		Matijevich	Clear the air.
		Shea	
		Dyer	Point of personal privilege.
166		Shea	
		Jack O'Brien	Continues.
		Shea	
		Huff	

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

TRANSCRIPT INDEX

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
166	4:25	Shea	
		Jack O'Brien	
		Huff	No.
		Shea	Aye to Nay.
		Jack O'Brien	
		Shea	
		McLendon	Aye to No.
		Shea	
		Patrick	Aye to no.
		Shea	
		Kosinski	Aye to No.
		Shea	
		Jack O'Brien	
		Shea	Kelley, no.
		Darrow	No.
		Shea	
		Luft	
		Shea	
167		Jack O'Brien	
		Luft	
		Shea	No, Taylor, No.
		Jack O'Brien	Continues.
		Shea	
		Walsh	Rule 50-D.
		Shea	Already ruled.
		Walsh	What did Mann do?
		Shea	98 Ayes.

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

TRANSCRIPT INDEX

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
167	4:25	Klosak	
168		Shea	
		Jack O'Brien	
		Shea	98 Ayes.
		Calvo	
169		Shea	
		Schlickman	
		Shea )	Questions of affirmative.
		)	
		Calvo)	
		Jack O'Brien	
		Shea	
		Meyer	
		Shea )	
		)	
		Calvo )	
		)	
		Jack O'Brien)	
170		Reed	
		Jack O'Brien)	
		)	
		Shea )	
		)	
		Calvo )	
		)	
		Shea	
171		Maragos	
		Jack O'Brien)	
		)	
		Shea )	
		)	
		Calvo )	
		)	
		Shea	
172		Hirschfeld	

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

TRANSCRIPT INDEX

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
172	4:25	Shea	Motion declared lost.
173		Madison	Voting aye.
		Shea	
		Calvo	Point of personal privilege.
		Shea	Dead issues.
		Matijeovich	
		Shea	
		Lechowicz	Suspend rule 18.
174		Shea	Vote on motion to suspend, Adopted.
		Chapman	Announcement.
		Shea	
		Pierce	Announcement.
		Shea	
		Schisler	Announcement.
		Shea	
		Porter	Announcement, Tennis Tourn.
175		→ Shea	
		Lechowicz	Announcement.
		Shea	
		Matijeovich	Announcement.
		Shea	
		Londrigan	Announcement.
		Shea	
		Giorgi	Move to recess & then adjourn.
		Shea	
		Jack O'Brien	Committee Reports, Introduction & 1st Readings-Adjourned.

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

TRANSCRIPT INDEX

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
92	1:32	Terzich	
		Willer	
		Terzich	
		Shea	
		Washington	Question.
		Terzich	Right-O.
		Washington	
		Terzich	
		Washington	
		Terzich	
93		Washington	Speaks to the bill, opposes.
		Shea	
		LaFluer	Speaks to the bill.
		Shea	
		Hart	Question of chair.
		Shea	
94		Hart	
		Shea	
		Miller	Question.
		Shea	Yields.
		Miller	Question put.
		Terzich	
		Miller	
		Terzich	
		Miller	Thank you.
		Shea	

## HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

## TRANSCRIPT INDEX

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
94	1:32	Palmer	Question.
		Terzich	Discussion.
		Palmer	
		Terzich	
		Palmer	
		Terzich	
		Palmer	
95		Terzich	Depends how you interpret it.
		Shea	
		Palmer	
		Shea	
		Ewell	Opposes the bill.
96		Shea	
		Borchers	Speaks to the bill, opposes.
		Shea	
		Peters	Moves previous question.
		Shea	So moved.
		Terzich	To close.
97		Shea	Vote.
		Schlickman	Explains vote, opposes.
		Shea	All voted?
		Maragos	Explains vote, supports.
		Shea	
		Berman	Explains vote, supports.
98		Shea	
	2:12	Washington	Explains vote.
99	2:15	Bradley	

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

TRANSCRIPT INDEX

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
99	2:15	Duff	
		Terzich	Postponed consideration.
		Bradley	SB 350.
100	2:16	Deuster	
		Bradley	SB 350, passed.
101	2:18	Jack O'Brien	SB 351, Third reading.
		Kelly	
		Bradley	SB 351, passed.
		Jack O'Brien	SB 390, Third reading.
		Bradley	
	2:19	Farley	SB 390, sponsor.
103	2:24	Leverenz)	Question.
		)	
		Farley )	
104		Speaker Redmond	
	2:27	Davis	Yield?
		Farley	
105		Bradley	
	2:29	Collins	Question.
106		Farley	
	2:32	Bradley	SB 390, passed.
		Jack O'Brien	SB 432, Third reading.
		Bradley	Take out.
		Jack O'Brien	SB 458, Third reading.
		Bradley	
107	2:34	Jones, J.D.	Sponsor.
	2:35	Bradley	SB 458 passed.

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

TRANSCRIPT INDEX

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
107	2:35	Jack O'Brien Shea Bradley	SB 511, Third reading.  SB 511 passed.
108	2:37	Jack O'Brien Bradley Maragos Bradley	SB 518.  Sponsor. SB 518 passed.
109		Jack O'Brien Bradley	SB 520.
	2:39	Terzich Bradley	May I hear 490? Take out of record.
	2:40	Jack O'Brien Bradley Capparelli	SB 527.
	2:41	Schlickman)	SB 527.
110	2:42	Capparelli) Bradley	
	2:43	Shea Schlickman Capparelli Bradley)	
111		Skinner) Capparelli Bradley Barnes	Question.
112		Bradley	

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

TRANSCRIPT INDEX

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
112	2:43	Capparelli	To close.
		Bradley	Vote, passed.
		Dunn	SB 601, personal privilege.
		Bradley	
		Friedrich	Kentucky.
		Bradley	
		Jack O'Brien	SB 601, Third reading.
113		Bradley	
		Richmond	Explains the bill, sponsor.
		Shea	
		Simms	
		Shea	Order in house.
		Richmond	Once again explains the bill.
		Simms	
		Shea	Vote, bill passed.
	2:49	Shea	In chair.
	2:50	Richmond	
114		Shea	SB 601 passed.
		Griesheimer	
		Jack O'Brien	SB 648.
		Taylor	
		Shea	
115	2:52	Simms )	
		)	
		Taylor)	
		Shea	

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

TRANSCRIPT INDEX

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
115	2:52	Madison)	
		)	
		Taylor )	
		Shea	SB 648 passed.
		Jack O'Brien	SB 703, Third reading.
		Shea	
116		Lundy	Leave to take back to 2nd for amendment.
		Shea	Leave granted.
	2:54	Jack O'Brien	Amendment #1.
		Shea	
		Lundy	
		Shea	
	2:55	Lundy	
		Shea	
		Ebbeson	
			Shea
2:56	Lundy	Hold on 2nd.	
	Shea		
117		Shea	
		Jack O'Brien	SB 836.
	2:57	Dunn, J.	
		Shea	
		Walsh	Would he be willing to take back to 2nd for amendment.
118		Shea	
	2:59	Campbell	Support.
		Shea	
	3:00	Collins	
Shea		SB 836 passed.	

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
TRANSCRIPT INDEX

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
119	3:00	Jack O'Brien Shea	SB 1050, Third reading.
	3:01	Calvo Shea Schlickman	Sponsor.  Question.
120	3:02	Calvo ) ) Collins)	
	3:06	Shea VanDuyne ) Calvo     )	Question.
121		Shea Daniels Shea	SB 1050 passed. Amendment being prepared.
	3:08	Jack O'Brien Shea Flinn	SB 257, Third reading.  Sponsor.
122	3:09	Shea	SB 257 passed.
	3:10	Jack O'Brien Shea Kornowicz	SB 295, Third reading.  Sponsor.
	3:12	Shea	SB 295 passed.
123	3:13	Jack O'Brien Shea Richmond Shea	SB 316.  Sponsor. SB 316 passed.
	3:14	Shea Polk	

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

TRANSCRIPT INDEX

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
123	3:14	Shea	
	3:15	Simms	Burt Rosander.
		Shea	Take out of record.
124	3:16	Jack O'Brien	SB 318, Third reading.
		Shea	
		Keller	Sponsor.
		Shea	SB 318.
	3:17	Skinner	
	3:18	Shea	SB 318 passed.
		Jack O'Brien	SB 357, Third reading.
		Shea	
		Beaupre	
125		Shea	SB 357 passed.
		Jack O'Brien	SB 434, Third reading.
		Shea	
	3:20	Telcser	Sponsor.
		Shea	SB 434 passed.
		Jack O'Brien	SB 490, Third reading.
126		Terzich	
	3:21	Shea	SB 490 passed.
		Jack O'Brien	SB 520.
	3:23	Terzich	Sponsor.
127	3:24	Shea	SB 520 passed.
		Jack O'Brien	SB 619, Third reading.
		Shea	
	3:25	Polk	SB 619, sponsor.
		Shea	SB 619 passed.

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

TRANSCRIPT INDEX

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
127	3:25	Jack O'Brien Shea Lechowicz	SB 1488, Third reading. Sponsor.
128	3:26	Shea Fred Selcke	SB 1488 passed. SB 103, Third reading.
	3:28	Porter	Sponsor.
129	3:29	Shea	SB 103 passed.
	3:30	Fred Selcke Shea Greiman Shea	SB 73. Sponsor.
	3:31	Hill ) Greiman)	Yield. Discussion.
131		Shea Greiman Shea Pierce	Move it to 2nd. Parliamentary inquiry.
	3:34	Shea Geo-Karis	Leave.
	3:35	Shea	Completes SB, Third reading.
132		Fred Selcke Shea Fred Selcke	HB 1552, 2nd reading, two committee amendments. Committee amendment #1 Adopted.
	3:37	Shea Downs	Amendment #2. Amendment #2. Question, Explain amendment.

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

TRANSCRIPT INDEX

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
132	3:37	Kozubowski	
		Shea	Amendment #2 adopted.
		Fred Selcke	Amendment #3.
	3:38	Winchester	
133		Shea	
	3:40	Lechowicz	Against.
134		Shea	
		Totten	Support.
		Shea	
	3:42	Washburn	Support.
135		Shea	
	3:43	Mautino	Support.
		Shea	
		Neff	Against.
		Shea	
136	3:44	Beaupre	Against.
		Shea	
		Kozubowski	Urge no vote.
		Shea	
	3:45	Hart	Support.
137		Shea	Amendment #3-HB 1552-adopted.
	3:48	Fred Selcke	Amendment #4.
		Shea	
		Kozubowski	
		Shea	Amendment #4 adopted, Third Reading.
138	3:50	Shea	Conference Committee reports HB 1090.

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

TRANSCRIPT INDEX

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
138	3:50	Getty	
	3:52	Shea	
139		Totten) )	Yield.
		Getty )	
		Shea	
	3:53	Maragos	
		Shea	Conference Committee reports HB 1090 adopted.
	3:55	Hirschfeld	Point of order.
140		Shea	
	3:56	Hirschfeld	
	3:57	Shea	Committee reports.
		Fred Selcke	
		Shea	
	3:59	Fred Selcke	Introduction & 1st reading.
141		Shea	How many Committee bills.
	4:00	Fred Selcke	HB 3055.
		Shea	3053 & 3055 go to 2nd.
		Holewinski	HR 229.
	4:01	Shea	Resolution adopted.
	4:02	Shea	HR 241.
142	4:04	Porter	
	4:06	Shea	HR 241 adopted.
		Fred Selcke	Message from Senate.
143	4:07	Shea	Agreed Resolutions.
		Fred Selcke	
	4:08	Giorgi	

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

TRANSCRIPT INDEX

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
144	4:09	Shea	Resolution adopted, Committee reports.
	4:10	Fred Selcke	Committee reports.
		Shea	Read the Bills.
	4:11	Fred Selcke	HB, First reading.
		Shea	
		Mann	
145		Shea	3038 & 3039, 2nd reading.
	4:12	Walsh)	
		Shea )	
	4:13	Fennessey	3057 & 3056, Can we move them to second.
		Shea	Hold on 1st reading.
146		Shea	Qualifications for seating Members.
	4:15	Fennessey	Recommendation, Election Contest Miller.
	4:16	Shea	Committee reports adopted.
147	4:17	Miller	Thanks & appreciation.
		Shea	
		Fennessey	Election Contest, Pouncey.
		Shea	Committee reports adopted.
148	4:20	Pouncey	
		Shea	
		Fennessey	
		Shea )	Committee report adopted.
		)	
	4:25	Kempiners)	
149		Shea	3056 & 3057, Second reading.

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

TRANSCRIPT INDEX

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
149	4:25	Grotberg	Asks to take #496 back to Second.
		Shea	496 back to 2nd for amendment.
		Fred Selcke	Amendment #2.
		Shea	Amendment adopted, Third Reading. Leave to return to 2nd.
150		Fred Selcke	Amendment #1.
		Shea	
		Schlickman	
		Shea	
		Daniels	Explains the amendment.
		Shea	
		Walsh	
		Shea	Where's Fleck?
		Daniels	
		Shea	
		Walsh	
		Shea	Amendment #1 adopted, Third reading.
151		Shea	Consideration postponed.
		Dyer	
		Shea	
		Hill	Suggestion.
		Shea	Concurrences
		Porter	HB 149, Senate amendment #2, Explains bill, sponsor.
152		Shea	Senate amendment #2, Vote, Passed.

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

TRANSCRIPT INDEX

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
152	4:25	Terzich	HB 1051, Senate amendment #1, #2, & #3.
		Shea	
		Barnes	
		Shea	
		Barnes	
		Shea	
		Schlickman	
		Shea	
		Schlickman	
153		Shea	Yield.
		Schlickman	Question.
		Terzich	
		Schlickman	Speaks to the amendment, Concurrences, (Cubs won 8-4).
		Shea	
		Schlickman	
		Shea	
		Schlickman	
		Shea	Senate amendments #1, 2, 3, Vote-passed.
		Mulcahey	HB 1173, Senate amendment #1, Do not concur.
154		Shea	House does not concur.
		Shea	HB 2238.
		Duff HB 67	HB 67, Senate amendment #1.
		Shea	Vote-passed, Senate Bills, Second Reading.
		Shea	Non-concurrences, Speakers Table.

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

TRANSCRIPT INDEX

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
154	4:25	DiPrima	HR 252 explained.
155		Shea	Ayes have it, Resolution Adopted.
		Fred Selcke	Motion.
		Shea	SB 16.
		Hirschfeld	Explains his motion.
156		Shea	
		Bradley	Opposes motion.
157		Shea	
		Dunn	Re-assign to Higher Education Committee.
		Shea	
		Mann	Supports motion.
		Shea	
		Dyer	
158		Shea	
		Matijevecich	Point of order.
		Shea	
159		Hirschfeld	
		Shea	
		Hirschfeld	
		Shea	
		Dyer	Apologizes to chair.
		Shea	
		Calvo	
160		Shea	
		Walsh	